THE EFFECT OF TWO DIFFERENT TYPES OF OCCLUSION ON THE SUPPORTING STRUCTURES IN DISTAL EXTENSION REMOVABLE PARTIAL DENTURES

THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of Master
Degree in Prosthodontics.

By

ASHRAF PHILIP HELMY

B.D.S. Cairo University
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Y . . A

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Hamdy Abu El-Fatouh Hamed

Professor of Prosthodontics
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Moutaz Mostafa Bahgat El-Mahdy

Professor and Chairman of Prosthodontics department Faculty of Dentistry Suez Canal University

Prof. Dr. Zeinab Abd El-Salam

Professor of Radiology
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Acknowledgement

After thanking God, I express my deepest debt of gratitude and appreciation to Prof. Dr. **Hamdy Abu El-Fatouh Hamed** Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine. Cairo University, for his great willing, help, support, Keen supervision, and encouragement throughout the whole period of this study. I thank him from all my heart.

My deepest and most sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. **Moutaz Mostafa Bahgat El-Mahdy** Professor and Chairman of Prosthodontics department, Faculty of Dentistry, Suez Canal University, as he supervised this work and contributed greatly to it by his critical assessments and suggestions.

My deepest thanks and appreciation to Prof. Dr. **Zeinab Abd Salam**, Professor of Radiology, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her great help and support during the preparation of this study.

Finally, I thank everyone who shared in completion of this work, especially my colleagues and friends, with special thanks and appreciation to the staff members and workers in the Prosthodontic Department.

LIST OF CONTENTS

CONTENTS	PAGE
Introduction	١
Review of literature	٣
Jaw relation	٣
Occlusion in natural teeth	٧
Complete denture occlusion	١.
Occlusion concept in bilateral lower free end saddle cases	77
Upper complete denture opposing a lower bilateral free end saddles dentures	۲٩
Method of evaluation	
- Clinical evaluation	
- Radiographic evaluation	71
●Conventional radiography	71
Digital radiography	44
	77
	٣٤
Aim of the study	٤٨
Material and methods	٤٩
Results	٧٣
Discussion	1.7
Discussion of material and methods Discussion of the results	1.7
Discussion of the results	١٠٨
Summary and conclusion	117
references	110
Arabic summary	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table \: Gingival Index scores of group I patients during	٧٥
different follow-up periods (non balanced occlusion group).	
Table 7: Gingival Index scores of group II patients during	٧٥
different follow-up periods (balanced occlusion group).	, ,
Table 7:Probing depth measurements of group I during different	٧٨
follow-up periods (non balanced occlusion group).	V //
Table 4: Probing depth measurements of group II during	٧٨
different follow-up periods (balanced occlusion group).	V //
Table o:Comparison between the mean % change of abutment	٨٢
bone height at mesial and distal sides in group I patients.	
Table 7: Comparison between the mean % change of abutment	٨٢
bone height at mesial and distal sides in group I patients.	
Table Y:Comparison between the mean % change of abutment	۸٤
bone height at mesial and distal sides in group II patients.	
Table ^:Comparison between the mean % change of abutment	Λź
bone height at mesial and distal sides in group II patients.	
Table ⁹ :Comparison between the mean % change of abutment	۸۸
bone density at mesial and distal sides in group I patients.	
Table \cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot\cdot	۸۸
bone density at mesial and distal sides in group I patients.	

Table \\':Comparison between the mean \% change of abutment bone	
density at mesial and distal sides in group II patients.	٩.
Table \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	•
density at mesial and distal sides in group II.	٩.
Table \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
index scores in group I and II during different follow-up	90
periods.	
Table \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	
probing depth measurements in group I and II during different follow-up	90
periods.	
-	
Table \circ. Comparison between the mean % changes in abutment bone	9 V
height at mesial side in group I and II during different follow-up periods.	
Table 17:Comparison between the mean % changes in abutment bone	9 ٧
height at distal side in group I and II during different follow-up periods.	
Table \\':Comparison between the mean \% changes in abutment bone	
density at mesial side in group I and II during different follow-up periods.	99
Table \^:Comparison between the mean % changes in abutment bone	
density at distal side in group I and II during different follow-up periods.	99
Table 19: Correlation between bone density and bone height in Group I	1 • 1
Table Y: Correlation between bone density and bone height in Group II	1.1

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES	Page
Fig. (1): Upper secondary impression.	٥٣
Fig. (۲): The design of the metal framework.	٥٣
Fig.($^{\circ}$):Metal framework with the acrylic trays.	00
Fig.(٤):Altered cast impression.	00
Fig.(°):Framework accurately seated on the sawed cast.	٥٦
Fig.(\(\gamma\):M aster cast.	٥٦
Fig.(V): Fixed condylar path articulator.	٥٨
Fig.(^): Set up of teeth in centric occlusion.	٥٨
Fig.(٩):Face bow record.	٥٩
Fig.(' ·):Upper study cast mounted on the articulator by a	٥٩
maxillary face bow.	
Fig.(\): Setting of teeth in group II patient.	٦١
	, ,
Fig.(\forall \forall): Occlusion index.	٦١
Fig.(\rangle\rangle): The occlusion was refined in the centric occlusion.	٦٢
Fig.(\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	٦٢
Fig:(\cdot \cdot): The occlusion is refined in side to side movement.	٦٣
Fig.(\)?):The occlusion is refined in side to side movement.	٦٣
Fig.(\forall \forall): Lower denture delivery	٦٣
Fig.(\\\):Digora system.	٦٧
Fig.(\\frac{9}{2}): The imaging plate	٦٧

Fig.($^{\gamma}$ ·): The Rinn XCP periapical film holder.	٧١
Fig.(7):Linear measurement of bone height.	, ,
Fig.(۲۲):Close up of the bone height measurement.	٧١
Fig. (۲۳): Linear bone density measurement.	77
Fig. (Υξ): Close-up of bone density measurements.	٧٢
Fig. (۲°): Gingival index scores of group I patients	٧٦
during different follow- up periods.	, , ,
Fig. (۲٦): Gingival index scores of group II patients	٧٦
during different follow- up periods.	•
Fig. (۲۷): Probing depth measurements of group I patients	٧٩
during different follow-up periods.	, ,
Fig. (۲۸): Probing depth measurements of group II patients	٧٩
during different follow-up periods.	, ,
Fig. (۲۹): Abutment bone height of group I patients	۸۳
during the different follow-up periods.	
Fig. (r): Comparison between the mean% change of abutment	
bone height at mesial and distal sides in group I	۸۳
patients during different follow-up periods.	
Fig. (٣١): Abutment bone height of group II patients during	До
different follow-up periods.	,,, -
Fig. (٣٢): Comparison between the mean% change abutment	٨٥
bone height at mesial and distal sides in group II	
patients during different follow-up periods.	
Fig. (٣٣): Abutment bone density of group I patients	٨٩
during different follow-up periods.	

Fig. (٣٤): Comparison between the mean% change of abutment	٨٩
bone density at mesial and distal sides in group I	
patients during different follow-up periods.	
Fig. ($^{\circ}$): Abutment bone density of group II patients	9.1
during different follow-up periods.	91
Fig. (٣٦): Comparison between the mean% change of abutment	
bone density at mesial and distal sides in group II	91
patients during different follow-up periods.	
Fig. (*Y): Comparison between the mean% change in gingival	
index scores in group I and II during different	97
follow-up periods.	
Fig. (٣٨): Comparison between the mean% change of probing	
depth measurements in group I and II during	97
different follow-up periods.	
Fig. (٣٩): Comparison between the mean% change of abutment	
bone height at mesial side in group I and II during	٩٨
different follow-up periods.	
Fig. (٤٠): Comparison between the mean% change of abutment	
bone height at distal side in group I and II during	٩٨
different follow-up periods.	
Fig. (٤١):): Comparison between the mean% change of abutment	
bone density at mesial side in group I and II during	١
different follow-up periods.	
Fig. (٤٢): Comparison between the mean% change of abutment	
bone density at the distal side in group I and II	١
during different follow-up periods.	

INTRODUCTION

The goal of restoration is to preserve the remaining teeth and peridontium more than restore the missing teeth .So this must be highly considered when designing removable partial denture.

Management of distal extension partially edentulous cases presents problems to both the prosthodontists and the patients. Lack of uniform support, retention, and stability are the main reasons for destruction of the supporting structures. (Mensor, 1944).

The occlusion is important especially on partially edentulous patients. To give the correct distribution of occlusal forces between the teeth and the ridge (Davies et al., $7 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1$).

Many different occlusal schemes have become popular during the last few decades.

For kennedy class I mandibular partial denture, opposing completely edentulous maxilla, working, balancing and protrusive contacts of the posterior teeth, with light contact of the anterior teeth (bilateral balanced occlusal scheme) should be formulated to promote stability of the maxillary denture. (Phoenix et al., Y., Y; Carr et al., Y., O).

Although, a balanced occlusion is recommended if the restoration is to oppose a complete denture. Some clinicians believe that balanced articulation is not desirable or clinically obtainable and select a non-balanced articulation scheme (Ivanhor, J. R, and Plummer, K. D., 7....)

It is a matter of controversy, whether there is a different effect on the abutment between the balanced and non-balanced occlusal scheme or not?

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Jaw Relation

Many authors have explained the phenomenon of jaw relation according to their philosophies and their facilities available for their researches. In consequence, the same phenomenon may have received more than one nomenclature. **El Mahdy**, (197A).

The Glossary of prothodontic terms (' · · °) described the jaw relation (maxillomandibular relationship) as "any spatial relation of the maxilla to mandible, any one of infinite relationships of the mandible to the maxilla". While the jaw relation record is defined as "a registration of any positional relationship of the mandible relative to maxilla, these records may be made at any vertical, and/or horizontal orientation".

Boucher et al., (1940) pointed out that, "all jaw relations are bone to bone relations" and that the mandible has specific relationships with the cranial bones.

Zarb et al., (1990) classified the horizontal jaw relations into centric relation and eccentric relations. They cleared out that the eccentric positions might be either anterior or lateral; those that occur anteriorly were known as protrusive relations, while those occurring laterally were known as lateral relations.

Sheppard and Sheppard, (197A) described the centric relation as a physiologic relation of the mandible to the maxilla when the condyles are properly related to their articulation discs, and these condyle-disc units are stabilized and braced against the posterior slope of the articular eminences of the glenoid fossa.

Dawson, (1990) defined the centric relation as "the precise location of the horizontal condylar axis when properly aligned condyle-disc assemblies are completely seated in their respective bony sockets" and added that the mandible is in centric relation if four criteria are fulfilled:

- 1- The disc is properly aligned on both condyles.
- Y- The condyle -disc assemblies are at the highest point possible against the posterior slopes of the eminentiae.
- **\(^{\text{-}}\)** The medial pole of each condyle-disc assembly is braced by bone.
- E- The inferior lateral pterygoid muscles have released their contraction and are passive.

According to the **Glossary of prothodontic terms** ($^{\dagger} \cdots {}^{\bullet}$), the centric relation is defined as "the most retruded physiologic relation of the mandible to the maxilla to and from which the individual can make lateral movements. It is a position which can exist at various degree of jaw separation and it occurs around a hinge."

While the eccentric relationship is defined as any relationship between the jaws other than centric relation.

Winkler, (1914) classified eccentric relation records into protrusive jaw relation record and lateral relations record. Protrusive jaw relation record

could register the influence of the condylar paths over the mandibular movement. It depends upon the condylar path and might follow the contour of the glenoid fossae. Lateral movements are complex activities in most people.

Ash and Ramfjord (1990) advocated that, the goals for recording of eccentric relations are to provide multidirectional, unrestricted, and smooth gliding contacts.

Heartwell and Rhon (۱۹۸۲) reported that the centric relation is important in complete denture construction because it is a definite learned position that the patient can voluntarily and reflexly return to this position so this position can be recorded and repeated. The centric relation is a reference point in recording maxillomandibular relations and a starting point for developing occlusion.

Winker (1944) used the term occlusion to describe a static contact relationship of the teeth that exists after the jaw movement has stopped and tooth contact are identified whether the jaws are centrically or eccentrically related.

Lang R. (1995) stated that although this term occlusion has found its way into numerous publications on complete denture occlusion, its explanation as the functional and non-functional contacts of artificial teeth during mandibular movements is somewhat limited. A more appropriate term to be used would be "Articulation", which is defined as the contact

relationship between the occlusal surfaces of the teeth during function.

The definition implies that specific contacts occur during movements of the mandible as the teeth pass over one another which is are different than the contact in the static position.

According to the **Glossary of prothodontic terms** († ...) the term occlusion is defined as "Any contact between the incising or masticating surfaces of the maxillary and mandibular teeth", and that the dental articulation is defined as "The static and dynamic contact relationship between the occlusal surfaces of the teeth during function".

Ash and Ramfjord, (1990) described articulation by the contact relationship between the occlusal surfaces of the teeth during function.

According to the **Glossary of prothodontic terms** († ···•) the centric occlusion in the natural dentition is defined as "the occlusion of opposing teeth when the mandible is in centric relation" This may or may not coincide with the maximum intercuspation position.

The maximum intercuspation is defined as "the complete intercuspation of the opposing teeth independent of the condylar position".

However Lang R. (1997) pointed out that the tooth contacts in centric occlusion and maximum intercuspation distinctly could be different in the natural dentition and this is not the case in complete denture occlusion.