Fracture Resistance of Wide Canalled Endodontically Treated Teeth Restored with Different Fiber Post Systems with & without Accessories

A thesis Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree of Science in Crown and Bridge, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Presented by

Aisha Milad Mohammad Ben Ramadan

B.D.S Almergeb University-Libya 2007

> Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University 2016

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Amina Hamdy

Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ayman Galal El Dimeery

Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics

Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

All praise to **ALLAH** and all thanks. He has guided and enabled me by his mercy to fulfill this thesis, which I hope to be beneficial for people.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Amina Hmady**, Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, who freely gave me her time, effort and experience with continuous guidance throughout this work.

Many thanks go to, **Dr. Ayman Galal El Dimeery**, Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry-Ain Shams University, for his continuous directions and support throughout the whole work.

Special thanks are extended to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Salah Morsi**, Professor and Head of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University.

Thanks also for all staff of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for their great help and support.

I would like to extend my thanks for all my friends for their selfless love, support, and great help, for which I will forever be grateful.

Finally special and great thanks to my brother Ali for giving me three years of his life to be with me to get the master degree.

Aisha Milad Mohammad Ben Ramadan

Dedication

This work is dedicated to

The soul of my father, my mother, my sisters, and my brothers

The light that leads my way

List of Contents

	Title	Page No.
•	List of Tables	ii
•	List of Figures	iii
•	Introduction	1
•	Review Of Literature	3
•	Statement of problem	40
•	Aim of the Study	41
•	Materials & Methods	42
•	Results	64
•	Discussion	77
•	Summary & Conclusions	82
•	References	84
•	Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table N	lo.		Ti	tle			Page No
Table 1.	Sample gr	ouping	••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	50
Table 2.	Different	letter	in	the	same	column	indicating
	statisticall	y signif	icar	nt diff	erence		65
Table 3.	Different	letter	in	the	same	column	indicating
	statisticall	y signif	icar	nt diff	erence		67
Table 4.	Different	letter	in	the	same	column	indicating
	statisticall	y signif	icar	nt diff	erence		68
Table 5.	Different	letter	in	the	same	column	indicating
	statisticall	y signif	icar	nt diff	erence		70
Table 6.	Different	letter	in	the	same	column	indicating
	statisticall	y signif	icar	nt diff	erence		71
Table 7.	Different	letter	in	the	same	column	indicating
	statisticall	y signif	icar	nt diff	erence		73
Table 8.	Different	letter	in	the	same	column	indicating
	statisticall	y signif	icar	nt diff	erence		74
Table 9.	Different	letter	in	the	same	column	indicating
	statisticall	y signif	icar	nt diff	erence		76

List of Figures

Figure N	No. Title	Page No.
Figure 1.	DENTOCLIC Ivory glass fiber posts kit	43
Figure 2.	Ivory glass fiber post	43
Figure 3a.	Grand TEC fiber reinforced composite	44
Figure 3b.	Grand TEC fiber reinforced composite	44
Figure 4.	DENTOCORE body core build-up material	45
Figure 5.	Teeth samples	46
Figure 6.	Tooth dimensions	46
Figure 7.	Post space preparation	48
Figure 8.	Acid etching	51
Figure 9.	Rinsing	51
Figure 10.	Bond application	52
Figure 11.	After bond application	52
Figure 12.	Light curing of bond	52
Figure 13.	Application of risen impregnated fibers	52
Figure 14.	Light curing of resin fibers	53
Figure 15.	Root with resin fibers	53
Figure 16.	Vaseline application	54
Figure 17.	Composite backed on fiber post	54
Figure 18.	Remove of composite relined post from the cana	154
Figure 19.	Composite relined post	54
Figure 20.	Alcohol for cleaning the canal	55
Figure 21.	Injection of Dentocore into the canal	55
Figure 22.	Checking of the accessory posts inside the canal	56

List of Figures (Cont.)

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 23. Length of accessory	post inside the canal	56
Figure 24. Main fiber post and	two accessories cement	ed56
Figure 25. Fiber post marked 4	mm of fiber outside the	canal57
Figure 26. Cutting of excess po	ost	57
Figure 27. Fiber post cemented	inside the canal	57
Figure 28. Celluloid crown		58
Figure 29. Injection of core ma	terial inside the celluloi	d crown58
Figure 30. Core construction .		59
Figure 31. Sample with core		59
Figure 32. Plastic block former	for root fixation	61
Figure 33. Vertical positioning	of the sample	61
Figure 34. Universal testing ma	ichine	62
Figure 35. Angulation of sample	e	63
Figure 36. Position of load app	lied	63
Figure 37. Column chart of the	e fracture resistance me	ean values
for all groups		66
Figure 38. Column chart com	paring fracture resista	nce mean
values as function of	f post type	67
Figure 39. Column chart com	paring fracture resista	nce mean
values between pre	fabricated groups as fu	unction of
accessory		69
Figure 40. Column chart com	paring fracture resista	nce mean
values between custo	om made vs. customized	d groups70

List of Figures (Cont.)

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 41. Columi	n chart comparing fracture resistance	mean
values	between prefabricated (+) accessor	ry and
custom	made groups	72
Figure 42. Column	n chart comparing fracture resistance	mean
values	between prefabricated (-) accessor	y and
custom	made groups	73
Figure 43. Column	n chart comparing fracture resistance	mean
values	between prefabricated (+) accessor	ry vs.
custom	ized groups	75
Figure 44. Column	n chart comparing fracture resistance	mean
values	between prefabricated (-) accesso	ry vs.
custom	ized groups	76

Introduction

Reconstruction of endodontically treated teeth is a great challenge in restorative dentistry since the tooth crown is usually totally or partially lost due to caries, erosion, abrasion, previous restorations, trauma or endodontic access. When more than half of the coronal structure has been lost, a root canal post is required to provide retention for the restoration ⁽¹⁾.

The survival of endodontically involved teeth has been greatly enhanced by continuing developments in endodontic therapy and restorative procedures. *Turner* (1982)⁽²⁾ reported that a large number of endodontically treated teeth are restored to their original function with many options. These options vary from a conventional custom cast post and core to one-visit techniques, using commercially available prefabricated post systems. (3,4) In the last few decades, various prefabricated post systems have been developed. The selection of post design is important, because it may have an influence on the longevity of the tooth. (5)

To achieve optimum results, the material used for the post should have physical properties similar to that of dentin, direct bonding to the tooth structure, and bio compatibly to the oral environment. (6) Traditionally, posts made of metal alloys were used. Recently, nonmetallic posts have been introduced, these are made of fiber-reinforced composites combining highly resistant

element (carbon fibers, glass, quartz or polyethylene) to resin matrices. (7,8)

Wide root canals (due to carious lesions, utilization of large posts, iatrogenic problems, incomplete development, internal resorption or developmental anomalies) are very challenging ⁽⁹⁾. One of the recent options for wide root canals is the development of prefabricated fiber posts with fiber strips added for filling empty spaces in the root canal caused by poor adaptation of the post, allowing a reduction in cement thickness. Another recent proposal, is the insertion of small accessory posts around the main glass fiber post⁽¹⁰⁾. *Braz et al* (2005) ⁽¹¹⁾ restored roots with wide canals using a main glass fiber post and three accessory posts, which provided higher strength than other reconstruction options investigated.

In contemporary restorative dentistry, post-root canal adaptation always represents an important role in successful and long-lasting treatment for the restoration of endodontically treated teeth. In some cases posts have to be placed in wide oval-formed root canal spaces. However, the impact of the treatment outcome of the increasing non-uniform cement thickness around the posts has not yet reached a consensus. The purpose of this research is to assess the fracture resistance of post systems with four different post systems, customized and prefabricated with/without accessory posts as an alternative technique in the wide root canals. (12)

Review of Literature

The successful restoration of an endodontically treated tooth can pose a difficult challenge to the restorative dentist. A tooth which has lost significant coronal and radicular structure due to caries, endodontic procedures or trauma must be reestablished as a fully functioning member of the dental arch.

Effect of root canal treatment on tooth structure:

The loss of structural integrity is the main reason behind the vulnerability of endodontically treated teeth and their reduced resistance to fracture according to *Peroz et al.* (2005) (13) Most endodontically treated teeth suffer massive reduction in their structural stability because of the great loss of coronal dental structure caused by caries, fractures, and access preparations. *Tang et al.* (2010) (14) summarized the risks that increased the potential of tooth fracture after endodontic treatment. The risks included loss of tooth structure, stresses attributed to endodontic and restorative procedures, and inappropriate selection of tooth abutments for prostheses.

Mireku et al. (2010)⁽¹⁵⁾ reported that the vertical root fractures of endodontically treated teeth prepared to receive endodontic posts were more frequent in the teeth of older patients

and when dentin thickness was reduced. *Dietschi et al.* (2007) (16) concluded that changes in tooth biomechanical behavior, tissue composition, and moisture after the loss of tooth vitality and proper endodontic treatment were limited and negligible. However, they found that teeth became weaker as they lost more coronal tissue because of caries or restorative procedures.

Akkayan et al. (2010)⁽¹⁷⁾ reported that root fractures have been cited as the most common cause of failure in endodontically treated teeth restored with posts. Cross-sectional surveys of failed posts have shown that most failures are due to post decementation followed by caries and post fracture.

Ree and Schwartz (2010) (18) reported that the long-term success of endodontic treatment is highly dependent on the restorative treatment that follows. Once restored, the tooth must be structurally sound and the disinfected status of the root canal system must be maintained. Radicular and coronal tooth structure should also be preserved to the greatest possible extent during endodontic procedures and post space preparations within the root canals as it weakens the root.

Also *Assif et al.* (1993) (19) demonstrated that the section of a dowel should be based on a system that preserved the most tooth structure and possesses suitable retention of a core for restoration of the tooth. They reported that if the anatomic crown

is sufficiently preserved and core retention can be achieved from within the natural crown, or if completion of the coronal surface is unnecessary, dowel-core systems are not required.

Post material:

To achieve optimum results, the material used for the post should have physical properties similar to that of dentin, and should be bonded to the tooth structure, and biocompatible in the oral environment. Post material should also act as a shock absorber by transmitting only limited stress to the residual tooth structure. Unfortunately, the materials used for post and cores, as well as luting agents, have distinct physical properties different from dentin and exhibit fundamentally different fatigue behavior.

Prefabricated posts have been introduced into the market with different materials. Metallic posts such as gold or titanium alloy or stainless steel. Nonmetallic posts such as ceramic, composite, and carbon fiber, quartz fiber, glass fiber and polyethylene fiber posts. Glass fiber being considered to be the most accepted by some authors. (22)

Zirconium ceramic, which is presently used for posts, has a high modulus of elasticity, and therefore the forces are assumed to be transmitted directly from the post to the tooth interface