



Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering

ANALYTICAL STUDY OF OFFSHORE COMPLEX STRUCTURES

By
ABDELRAHEIM MOHAMED MAHMOUD
B.Sc. (2004)

A Thesis
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
of the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural)

Under the supervision of:

**Prof. Dr. MOHAMED NOOR ELDIN
FAYED**

Structural Engineering Dept.
Professor of Structural Engineering
Ain Shams University, Cairo.

Prof. Dr. MAGDA EL-RAKABAWY

Structural Engineering Dept.
Professor of Structural Engineering
Ain Shams University, Cairo.

Dr. MOHAMED SAFAAN
Assistant Professor of Structural Engineering
Structural Engineering Dept.
Ain Shams University, Cairo.

Cairo – 2011

ABSTRACT

Assessment of existing offshore structures is performed in order to extend the service life of the facility, if one of the assessment initiators exist then the structure shall undergo for the assessment process, structural assessment is divided into steps as one of these steps is performing non-linear static analysis to demonstrate that the structure has an adequate strength and stability to withstand a significant overload with respect to the applied loads.

In this thesis non-linear static analysis was adopted in the analysis of the structural models to perform the progressive collapse analysis for offshore structures using SACS to get the structural capacity and study the effect of the mitigation procedures sponsored by API to improve the structural performance during the extreme environmental conditions in the non-linear static analysis.

A pre-study is performed in order to verify SAP2000 to investigate its capabilities in wave calculation and non-linear static analysis with comparison with SACS. The wave verification was done in two models , the first is a simple cantilever and the second one is a jacket model and are subjected to different wave loading conditions , the wave accelerations and velocities, base shear reactions , straining actions and displacements results shows that SAP2000 wave calculations are accepted in comparison with SACS results. The Comparison study between SACS(5.2) and Sap2000 revealed that SAP2000 can be used in design for offshore platforms for preliminary stages of the project and in the initial assessment steps. The Non-linear static verification model is a subsystem of a jacket model was used previously in USFOS verification using FENRIS, the load displacement diagram and collapse mechanisms results for SAP2000 and SACS are approximately the same with the FENRIS results.

Non-linear static analysis was performed for two offshore models, the first model is PS-2A Platform which contain of two jacket linked together with the top jacket bracings and the deck structure, this platform was pushed till the collapse occurred. The collapse failures was occurred in piles due to loss of soil capacity and the platform is very weak in resisting the extreme environmental conditions and the same steps was done to second model (P-1 Platform) as the platform is a four

legged jacket with X vertical bracing, the collapse failure was occurred in piles, the platform can withstand the extreme environmental condition.

The parametric study for the two models were done to study the effect of equivalent linear pile stubs, effect of reduction of vertical loads, effect of reduction hydrodynamics forces, platform strengthening ,increasing the jacket redundancy and decreasing jacket redundancy.

Using equivalent linear pile stubs is not recommended for pushover analysis however using equivalent linear piles stubs can be a good sign for determining the structure weak joints and members.

The mitigations actions procedures of **J.W. Turner, 1994 [17]** were studied which are reduction of vertical loads, reduction of hydrodynamics forces and platform strengthening and these procedures improved the platform collapse behavior under environmental loads for both cases of studies.

Finally using soldier piles in strengthening improved the collapse behavior of the platform especially when the connection of the new soldier piles and the existing piles are rigid enough.

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering.

The work included in this thesis has been carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Ain Shams University, from March 2006 to October 2011.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other university or institution.

Date : / /

Signature:

Name : Abdelraheim Mohamed Mahmoud Aly Refai

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	2
STATEMENT	4
TABLE OF CONTENTS	5
LIST OF FIGURES	9
LIST OF TABLES	14
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	Error! Bookmark r
CHAPTER 1	16
INTRODUCTION.....	16
1.1 INTRODUCTION	16
1.2 FIXED OFFSHORE PLATFORMS FAILURES	17
1.3 EXTENSION OF SERVICE LIFE OF PLATFORMS	18
1.4 AIM OF THE STUDY	19
1.5 THESIS ARRANGEMEUNT.....	20
CHAPTER 2	23
OFFSHORE STRUCTURES.....	23
2.1 INTRODUCTION	23
2.2 TYPES OF OFFSHORE STRUCTURE	24
2.2.1 FIXED OFFSHORE PLATFORMS	24
2.2.2 MONOPOD	24
2.2.3 GRAVITY TYPES.....	25
2.2.4 COMPLAINT TOWERS	25
2.2.5 FLOATING STRUCTURES.....	25
2.2.6 SPAR PLATFORM	26
2.3 COMPONENTS OF FIXED OFFSHORE PLATFORM.....	27
2.3.1 DECK	27
2.3.2 JACKET	28
2.3.3 PILES.....	29
2.4 APPLIED LOADS ON FIXED OFFSHORE STRUCTURES	29
2.4.1 DEAD LOADS	30
2.4.2 LIVE LOADS	30
2.4.3 INSTALLING LOADS.....	30
2.4.4 ENVIRONMENTAL LOADS.....	32
2.4.4.1 WIND LOADS.....	32
2.4.4.2 CURRENT LOADS	33
2.4.4.3 WAVE LOADS.....	33
2.5 WAVE THEORIES	34
2.5.1 LINEAR WAVE THEORY	34
2.5.2 FIFTH -ORDER STOKES WAVE THEORY	35
2.5.3 STREAM FUNCTION THEORY.....	36
2.5.4 APPLICATION OF WAVES.....	36
2.6 MORISON EQUATION	37
2.7 SEISMIC LOADS	39
2.8 ACCIDENTAL LOADINGS	40
2.9 SUMMARY	40
CHAPTER 3	55
LITERATURE REVIEW	55
3.1 GENERAL	55
3.2 PLATFORM STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY ASSESSMENT	55
3.2.1 ASSESSMENT PROCESS PROPOSED BY ISO / DIS 19902.....	56
3.2.2 ASSESSMENT PROCESS BY API RP 2A WSD	58
3.3 PREVIOUS RESEARCHES IN NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS.....	59
3.4 PREVIOUS RESEARCHES IN NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS FOR OFFSHORE PLATFORMS ..	60
3.5 MITIGATION PROCEDURES FOR ASSESSMENT OF OFFSHORE PLATFORMS.....	65

3.5.1 REDUCTION OF LOADING	66
3.5.1.1 REDUCTION OF VERTICAL GRAVITY LOADING.....	66
3.5.1.2 WAVE IN DECK STRUCTURES	66
3.5.1.3 REDUCTION OF HYDRO-DYNAMIC FORCES	67
3.5.2 GLOBAL PLATFORM STRENGTHENING	68
3.6 STRENGTHENING TECHNOLOGY	73
3.6.1 DRY WELDING.....	73
3.6.1.1 CASE STUDY OF DRY WELD.....	74
3.6.2 WET WELDING	75
3.6.2.1 CASE OF STUDY OF WET WELDING	76
3.6.3 CLAMPS.....	77
3.6.3.1 CASE OF STUDY OF CLAMPS	79
3.6.4 GROUTING	80
3.7 SUMMARY	81
CHAPTER 4.....	82
COLLAPSE ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY.....	82
4.1 INTRODUCTION	82
4.2 BASIC THEORY	82
4.2.1 ENERGY PRINCIPLES.....	82
4.2.1.1 DISCRETE SYSTEMS	82
4.2.2 STRESS-STRAIN	85
4.2.2.1 STRESS-STRAIN BEHAVIOR	85
4.2.3 VON - MISES HENCKY YIELD CRITERIA	91
4.2.4 DIRECT STIFFNESS METHOD.....	93
4.2.4.1 2 D BEAM ELEMENTS	93
4.2.4.2 3-D BEAM ELEMENTS.....	95
4.2.4.3 DIRECT SUPERPOSITION OF ELEMENT MATRIX	95
4.2.4.4 LOADING MATRIX.....	99
4.2.4.5 EQUILIBRIUM EQUATION	100
4.2.5 PUSHOVER ANALYSIS SEQUENCE	101
4.2.6 CONVERGENCE CRITERIA	102
4.2.7 LOCAL STRUCTURE FAILURE.....	104
4.2.7.1 MEMBER FAILURE.....	104
4.2.7.2 JOINT FAILURE.....	108
4.2.7.3 JOINT FLEXIBILITY	110
4.2.8 GLOBAL STRUCTURE FAILURE	111
4.2.8.1 PILE FAILURE.....	112
4.2.9 PROGRESSIVE COLLAPSE ANALYSIS	113
4.2.10 RESERVE STRENGTH OF THE STRUCTURE	114
4.2.11 STRUCTURAL REDUNDANCY (SR).....	115
CHAPTER 5.....	116
SOFTWARE CAPABILITIES	116
5.1 INTRODUCTION	116
5.2 WAVE GENERATION.....	116
5.2.1 AIRY WAVE THEORY.....	117
5.2.2 STOKES WAVE THEORY	117
5.2.3 STREAM FUNCTION THEORY	118
5.3 SACS CAPABILITIES.....	118
5.3.1 GRAVITY LOADS AND BUOYANCY	119
5.3.2 WAVE LOADS	120
5.3.3 SACS SPECIAL ELEMENTS.....	121
5.3.4 PUSHOVER ANALYSIS PROCEDURE	122
5.4 SAP2000 CAPABILITIES	123
5.4.1 WAVE LOADS	123
5.4.2 NON-LINEAR STATIC ANALYSIS.....	123
5.5 WAVE SIMULATION.....	125
5.6 MODEL (1) DESCRIPTION.....	125
5.6.1 WAVE PARAMETERS.....	125
5.6.2 RESULTS OF CASE 1	126

5.6.3 RESULTS OF CASE 2	129
5.7 MODEL (2) DESCRIPTION	130
5.8 MODEL (3) DESCRIPTION	136
5.9 SUMMARY	138
CHAPTER 6.....	140
MATHEMATICAL MODELS FOR EXISTING OFFSHORE STRUCTURES.....	140
6.1 INTRODUCTION	140
6.2 FIRST MODEL (PS-2A)	140
6.2.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION	140
6.2.2 MODEL 1.....	141
6.2.2.1 JACKET	141
6.2.2.2 PILES	144
6.2.2.3 DECK	144
6.3 SECOND MODEL (P-1 PLATFORM)	144
6.3.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION	ERROR! BOOKMARK
6.3.2 SECOND MODEL DESCRIPTION.....	146
6.3.2.1 JACKET	147
6.3.2.2 DECK	147
6.3.2.3 PILES.....	147
6.4 CRITERIA OF ANALYSIS	148
6.4.1 ENVIRONMENTAL DATA	148
6.4.1.1 FIRST MODEL	148
6.4.1.2 SECOND MODEL	149
6.4.2 DRAG AND MASS COEFFICIENTS	149
6.4.3 BUOYANCY	150
6.4.4 VERTICAL LOADS.....	150
6.4.4.1 FIRST MODEL (PS-2A)	150
6.4.4.2 SECOND MODEL (P-1 PLATFORM)	151
6.4.5 BASIC LOAD SUMMARY	152
6.4.6 COLLAPSE LOAD SEQUENCES.....	153
6.4.7 STEEL PROPERTIES	153
6.5 PARAMETRIC STUDY	154
6.5.1 CASE 1: SOIL FIXATION.....	154
6.5.2 CASE 2 : REDUCTION OF VERTICAL LOADS	154
6.5.3 CASE 3 : REDUCTION OF HYDRODYNAMIC FORCES	155
6.5.4 CASE 4 : PLATFORM STRENGTHENING.....	155
6.5.5 CASE 5 : INCREASING OR REDUCTION OF JACKET REDUNDANCY	159
6.5.5.1 FIRST MODEL (PS-2A)	159
6.5.5.2 SECOND MODEL (P-1 PLATFORM)	160
CHAPTER 7.....	163
NON LINEAR STATIC ANALYSIS RESULTS.....	163
7.1 NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS RESULTS	163
7.2 FIRST MODEL	163
7.2.1 LINEAR ANALYSIS	163
7.2.2 NON LINEAR STATIC ANALYSIS (PS-2A).....	164
7.2.3 CASE 1: SOIL FIXATION.....	166
7.2.4 CASE 2 : REDUCTION OF VERTICAL LOADS.....	170
7.2.5 CASE 3 : REDUCTION OF HYDRODYNAMIC FORCES	174
7.2.6 CASE 4 : PLATFORM STRENGTHENING.....	176
7.2.7 CASE 5 : INCREASING JACKET REDUNDANCY.....	180
7.2.7.1 SUMMARY OF FIRST MODEL RESULTS.....	184
7.3 SECOND MODEL	184
7.3.1 LINEAR STATIC ANALYSIS	184
7.3.2 NON LINEAR STATIC ANALYSIS (P-1).....	185
7.3.3 CASE 1 : SOIL FIXATION	188
7.3.4 CASE 2 : REDUCTION OF VERTICAL LOADS	192
7.3.5 CASE 3: REDUCTION OF HYDRODYNAMIC FORCES	196
7.3.7 CASE 4 : PLATFORM STRENGTHENING.....	198
7.3.8 CASE 5 : REDUCTION OF JACKET REDUNDANCY	201

7.4 SUMMARY OF P-1 PLATFORM RESULTS.....	205
CHAPTER 8.....	206
SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	206
8.1 SUMMARY	206
8.2 CONCLUSIONS	210
8.3 RECOMMENDATIONS	211
REFERENCES.....	212
APPENDIX A (PS-2A PLATFORM DRAWINGS)	216
APPENDIX B (P-1 PLATFORM DRAWINGS)	217

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure (1.1) Eugene Island 231"CA" Platform Damage after Hurricane [43].....	21
Figure (1.2) Global Deformation at "CA" Platform [43].....	21
Figure (1.3) Eugene Island 273 "A" Platform before and after the Storm[43].....	22
Figure (1.4) Damage on Vertical Diagonal Member in "A" Platform[43].....	22
Figure (1.5) Damage at Weak Cracked K Joint in "A" Platform[43].....	22
Figure (2.1) Complex of offshore Fixed Platforms [3].....	41
Figure (2.2) Steel Offshore Jacket Main Elements [3]	42
Figure (2.3) July Complex Ras Shuker (Egypt).....	43
Figure (2.3a) Model for MONOPOD [46].....	43
Figure (2.4) A Gravity Offshore Platform In Courtesy of Aker Maritime [3]	44
Figure (2.5) Schematic Gravity Offshore Platforms [3]	44
Figure (2.6) A Typical Guyed Tower Elements [3].....	45
Figure (2.7) Tension Leg Platform (TLP) [46].....	45
Figure (2.8) Classical Spar Platform [46]	46
Figure (2.9) Deck Major Components [3]	46
Figure (2.10) Modular Deck and Integrated Deck [3]	47
Figure (2.11) Different Types of Deck Structural Systems [3]	47
Figure (2.12) Different Jacket Vertical Bracing Patterns [3].....	48
Figure (2.13) Load Transfer Process on Fixed Platforms [38]	48
Figure (2.14) Lifting Schemes for Platform Elements [38]	49
Figure (2.15) Jacket Load Out In Netherlands.....	49
Figure (2.16) Different Types of motion induced of a floating object [3].....	50
Figure (2.17) Launching and Upending Sequences For Jacket [3].....	50
Figure (2.18) 3D Presentation for Loads acting on The Platform	51
Figure (2.19) Steps of Static Wave Force Calculation [1].....	51
Figure (2.20) Airy Wave Profile and Parameters [3].....	52
Figure (2.21) Comparison Between Linear Wave Theory And Stoke Wave Theory [3]	52
Figure (2.22) Wave Profiles For Stoke Wave Theory [3]	53
Figure (2.23) Stream Function Wave Profile [3]	53
Figure (2.24) Region of Applicability of Wave Theories [1]	54
Figure (2.25) Procedures for Environmental Loads Calculations [1].....	54
Figure (3.1) General Assessment Procedure as in ISO [5]	70
Figure (3.2a)) Platform Assessment Process as in API [1].....	71
Figure (3.2b) Platform Assessment Process as in API [1].....	72
Figure (3.3) Trial-Fit up for a cofferdam	74
Figure (3.4) Fit up for a cofferdam at its Proposed Location	74
Figure (3.5) Welding process for the New Brace inside the Chamber	75
Figure (3.6) Jacket Isometric View of T-23 Structure and Damage Location.....	76
Figure (3.7) Removal of the damage joint	77
Figure (3.8) Installation of the new Joint.....	77
Figure (3.9) EI 322 Production and Drilling Platform.....	79
Figure (3.10) Stressed grouted clamps with pile sleeve attached for 60 inch Pile	79
Figure (4.1a) Typical Stress-Strain Profile For Steel [23].....	86
Figure (4.1b) Idealized Stress-Strain Profile for Steel [23]	86
Figure (4.2) Idealized Moment-Curvature Relationship Diagram [24]	88
Figure (4.3) Stress-Strain Behavior Diagram of Tubular Cross Section [25].....	90
Figure (4.4) Von-Mises –Hencky Yield boundaries [26]	92

Figure (4.5) Degree of Freedom for 2-D Beam Element [27]	94
Figure (4.6) Degree of Freedom for 3D Beam Element	95
Figure (4.7) Fixed Offshore Components in Global Matrix [38]	96
Figure (4.8) 2-D Element with two nodes behavior in Plastic [38]	99
Figure (4.9) Load vectors and displacements Vectors in.....	99
term of local coordinates [38]	99
Figure (4.10) Typical Push over Curve [30]	101
Figure (4.11) Procedure of Calculation the Structural Platform Collapse [38]	103
Figure (4.12) Soil Lateral Failure [32]	112
Figure (4.13) Soil Punch through Failure or Pullout Failure [32]	113
Figure (4.14) Sequence for Collapse Analysis [38]	114
Figure (4.15) Graphic Presentations for RSR [36]	115
Figure (5.1) Wave Parameters [3]	117
Figure (5.2) Analysis Phases of SACS Program [22]	119
Figure (5.3) Different Methods Used in Buoyancy Calculations [22]	120
Figure (5.4) SACS Special Element (Wishbone) [22]	121
Figure (5.5) Usage of the SACS Special element	122
(Compression only) on Load out analysis	122
Figure (5.6) Usage of the SACS Special element	122
(Tension only) on Lifting analysis	122
Figure (5.7) Schematic presentations for Pushover analysis curve [38]	123
Figure (5.8) Geometrical Properties for Model (1)	125
Figure (5.9) Moment Diagram Acting on Model (1)	127
Figure (5.10) Shear Diagram Acting on Model (1)	128
Figure (5.11) Displacement Curve on Model (1)	128
Figure (5.12) Geometrical Properties for Model (1)	131
Figure (5.13) Plot in SAP2000 for Horizontal Velocity (Case 1)	133
Figure (5.14) Plot in SAP2000 for Horizontal Acceleration (Case 1)	133
Figure (5.15) Axial Load Diagram on Leg 2A (Case 1 Dir Zero 0)	134
Figure (5.16) Lateral Displacement Curve for Leg 2A (Case 1 Dir Zero 0)	134
Figure (5.17) In-Plane Bending Moments in SAP2000 (Case 1 Dir Zero 0)	135
Figure (5.18) In-Plane Bending Moments in SACS (Case 1 Dir Zero 0)	135
Figure (5.19) Geometrical and Loading Descriptions for Model (3)	136
Figure (5.20) Failure of the First Brace in Model (3)	137
Figure (5.21) Collapse Mechanism of Model (3)	137
Figure (5.22) Loads –Displacement Diagrams for SACS versus other Programs...	138
Figure (6.1) First Model for PS-2A Platform	140
Figure (6.2a) Geometry for First Model at Elevations A and B	142
Figure (6.2b) Geometry for First Model at Elevations 1,2,3 and 4	143
Figure (6.2c) Jacket structure for First Model in SACS	143
Figure (6.3) Geometry for Second Model at Elevations A and B	145
Figure (6.4) Jacket Model for Second Model in SACS	146
Figure (6.5) Pile Strengthening Schemes for First Model	156
Figure (6.6) Pile Strengthening Schemes for Second Model	156
Figure (6.7) Strengthening SACS Model for First Model	157
Figure (6.8) Strengthening SACS Model for Second Model	158
Figure (6.9) Jacket Bracing Pattern 1	159
Figure (6.10) Jacket Bracing Pattern 2	160
Figure (6.11) Damage Scenario 1 Configuration	161
Figure (6.12) SACS Model for Damage Scenario 1	161

Figure (6.13) Damage Scenario 2 Configuration.....	162
Figure (6.14) SACS Model for Damage Scenario 2	162
Figure (7.1) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW301 (PS-2A)...	164
Figure (7.2) Load –Displacement Diagram for load Sequence GW302 (PS-2A)	165
Figure (7.3) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303	165
(PS-2A)	165
Figure (7.4) Pile Failure for Load Sequence Gw301 (First Model)	166
Figure (7.5) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW301	167
(Case 1 PS-2A)	167
Figure (7.6) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302	167
(Case 1 PS-2A)	167
Figure (7.7) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303	168
(Case 1 PS-2A)	168
Figure (7.8) Collapse Mechanisms for Load Case GW301 for Case 1	169
(PS-2A)	169
Figure (7.9) Collapse Mechanisms for Load Case GW302 for Case 1	169
(PS-2A)	169
Figure (7.10) Collapse Mechanisms for Load Case GW303 for Case 1(PS-2A)	170
Figure (7.11) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW301	171
(Case 2 PS-2A)	171
Figure (7.12) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302	172
(Case 2 PS-2A)	172
Figure (7.13) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303	172
(Case 2 PS-2A)	172
Figure (7.14) Collapse Mechanisms for Load Sequence GW301 for Case2 PS-2A .	173
Figure (7.15) Collapse Mechanisms for Load Sequence GW302 for Case2 PS-2A .	173
Figure (7.16) Collapse Mechanisms for Load Sequence GW303 for Case2 PS-2A .	174
Figure (7.17) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence Gw301	175
(Case 3 PS-2A)	175
Figure (7.18) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302	175
(Case 3 PS-2A)	175
Figure (7.19) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303	176
(Case 3 PS-2A)	176
Figure(7.20) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW301	177
(Case 4 PS-2A)	177
Figure (7.21) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302	177
(Case 4 PS-2A)	177
Figure (7.22) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303	178
(Case 4 PS-2A)	178
Figure (7.23) Failure Mechanism for Load Sequence GW301 (Scheme PS2).....	179
Figure (7.24) Failure Mechanism for Load Sequence GW302 (Scheme PS2).....	179
Figure (7.25) Failure Mechanism for Load Sequence GW303 (Scheme PS2).....	180
Figure (7.26) Load –Displacement Diagram For Load Sequence GW301 (Case 5) .	181
Figure (7.27) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302 (Case 5) ..	181
Figure (7.28) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303 (Case 5) ..	182
Figure (7.29) Failure Mechanism for Load Sequence GW301 (Bracing Pattern 1) ..	182
Figure (7.30) Failure Mechanism for Load Sequence GW302 (Bracing Pattern 1) ..	183
Figure (7.31) Failure Mechanism for Load Sequence GW303 (Bracing Pattern 1) .	183
Figure (7.32) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW301	186
(Second Model).....	186

Figure (7.33) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302 (Second Model).....	186
Figure (7.34) Load –Displacement Diagram For Load Sequence GW303 (Second Model).....	187
Figure (7.35) Failure Mechanism for Collapse Sequence GW301, Gw302 and Gw303 for (Second Model).....	187
Figure (7.36) Load –Displacement Diagram For Load Sequence GW301 for Second Model (Case1).....	188
Figure (7.37) Load –Displacement Diagram For Load Sequence Gw302 for Second Model (Case 1).....	189
Figure (7.38) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303 for Second Model (Case 1).....	189
Figure (7.39) Failure mechanism for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw301 (Case 1).....	190
Figure (7.40) Failure mechanism for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw302 (Case 1).....	191
Figure (7.41) Failure mechanism for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw303 (Case 1).....	191
Figure (7.42) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW301 for..... P-1 Platform (Case 2).....	193
Figure (7.43) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302 for P-1 Platform (Case 2).....	193
Figure 7.44 Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302 for P-1 Platform (Case 2).....	194
Figure (7.45) Shot for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw301 Prior to Sudden Failure (Case 2).....	194
Figure (7.46) Failure Mechanism for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw302 (Case 2).....	195
Figure (7.47) Shot for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw303..... Prior to Sudden Failure (Case 2).....	195
Figure (7.48) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW301 for P-1 Platform (Case 3).....	196
Figure (7.49) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302 for P-1 Platform (Case 3).....	197
Figure (7.50) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303 for P-1 Platform (Case 3).....	197
Figure (7.51) Load –Displacement Diagram For Load Sequence GW301 for..... P-1 Platform (Case 4).....	198
Figure (7.52) Load –Displacement Diagram For Load Sequence GW302 for P-1 Platform (Case 4).....	199
Figure (7.53) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303 for P-1 Platform (Case 4).....	199
Figure (7.54) Failure Mechanism for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw301 For Scheme (PT1).....	200
Figure (7.55) Failure Mechanism for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw302 For Scheme (PT1).....	200
Figure (7.56) Failure Mechanism for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw303 For Scheme (PT1).....	201
Figure (7.57) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW301 for Second Model (Case 5).....	202

Figure (7.58) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW302 for Second Model (Case 5).....	202
Figure (7.59) Load –Displacement Diagram for Load Sequence GW303 for Second Model (Case 5).....	203
Figure (7.60) Failure Mechanism for Second Model for Load Sequence Gw301....	203
Damage Scenario (2).....	203
Figure (7.61) Failure Mechanism for Second Model for Load Sequence Gw302.....	204
Damage Scenario (2).....	204
Figure (7.62) Failure Mechanism for P-1 Platform for Load Sequence Gw303	204
Damage Scenario (2).....	204

LIST OF TABLES

Table (1.1) – Historical Damages to Offshore Fixed Platforms from Hurricane Lili.....	18
Table (2.1) Advantages and Disadvantages for different Jacket Bracing Patterns.....	28
Table (2.2) Formulas of Linear Wave Theory	35
Table (3.1) Dry Weld Summary Data.....	73
Table (3.2) Wet Weld Summary Data	76
Table (3.3) Clamps types and Summary Data	78
Table (3.4) Grouting summary data.....	80
Table (4.1) Description of stress-strain behaviors of steel	87
Table (4.2) Values of Q_u (API RP 2A LRFD 1997 Ref [4]).....	109
Table (4.3) Classification of structural member in category of importance	112
Table (5.1) Wave Parameters for Case 1 for Model (1)	126
Table (5.2) Wave Velocities and Accelerations for Model (1): Case 1.....	126
Table (5.3) Base Reactions for Model 1 : Case 1	127
Table (5.4) Base Reactions for Model 1: Case 2	129
Table (5.5) Different Analysis Cases in Model 2	130
Table (5.6) Wave accelerations and Velocities for Model (2).....	132
Table (5.7) Base Shear Reactions for Case A.....	132
Table (6.1) General DATA for Model 1	141
Table (6.2) Environmental Parameters for First Model.....	148
Table (6.3) Environmental Parameters for Second Model	149
Table (6.4) Estimated Modeled items weights for First Model (PS-2A).....	151
Table (6.5) Estimated un-modeled items weights for First Model (PS-2A).....	151
Table (6.6) Un-Modeled weights for P-1 Platform.....	152
Table (6.7) Collapse Load Sequences for PS-2A and P-1 Platforms	153
Table (6.8) Steel Properties for Both Models	153
Table (7.1) Base Shear for 100 Year Storm and vertical loads for First Model	163
Table (7.2) Case 1: RSR Values for First model	168
Table (7.3) Axial loads on piles due to Acting Loads in First Model	171
Table (7.4) Case 4: RSR Values for First model	178
Table (7.5) Analysis Results for the different Cases for First Model	184
Table (7.6) Load Summation for the different Cases for Each Parametric Condition	185
(Second Model).....	185
Table (7.7) Case 1: RSR Values for Second Model	190
Table (7.8) Axial loads on piles due to Acting Loads in Second Model	192
Table (7.9) Analysis Results for the different Cases for Second Model	205

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, thanks to the Mighty ALLAH who helped me through all days of work and we bear witness that Muhammad is His Messenger and bondman, peace and blessings be upon him.

The author is very grateful to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Noor Eldin Fayed , Professor of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain-Shams University, Cairo, for his guidance and precious advice which have helped in bringing this work to a successful end and to whom the author is extremely indebted.

The author is also very grateful to Prof Dr. Eng. Magda El-Rakabawy , Professor of Structure Engineering and Dr. Mohamed Safaan Faculty of Engineering Ain Shams University, Cairo, for their guidance and valuable assistance.

Of course, the author does not know how to thank his Father ,precious mother and my brothers for their support, care, advice and encouragement to complete his research. He would also thank his wife , father in law and mother in law for their support and encouragement. Special thanks for my lovely daughter Tasneem and my nice child Moaz to leave me complete this thesis.

The author is very grateful to Prof. Dr. Mohamed Noor Eldin Fayed , Professor of Structural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain-Shams University, Cairo, for his guidance and precious advice which have helped in bringing this work to a successful end and to whom the author is extremely indebted.

Special thanks and appreciation to ENPPI (Engineering for Petroleum and Process Industries) company as they permitted me to use ENPPI projects database and library in performing this thesis.

Also, special thanks to his friend Eng. Mohamed Sabry for his assistance and his helpful discussions during long remarkable days. Also, he would like to thank all my colleagues in offshore department in Enppi for their useful guidance and assistance ,Finally, many thank to everybody who ever gave me help and support