



Faculty of science
Geophysics department

“Well logging Analysis and Seismic Interpretation on Cretaceous/Miocene Reservoir Rocks in West Esh El-Mallaha Area, Eastern Desert, Egypt”

THESIS

**Submitted for the Master Degree of Science as a partial fulfillment for
the requirements of the Master of Science**

Geophysics Department

Faculty of Science

Ain Shams University

By

Ibrahim Attia Mohamed Nasr

B.SC. in Geophysics

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Said Abd El-Maaboud Aly

Professor of Well Logging and Formation Evaluation,
Chairman of Geophysics Department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

Dr. Hatem Farouk Ewida

Exploration General Manager,
GUPCO – British Petroleum (BP)

Dr. Ayman Shebl El Sayed

Lecturer of Well Logging,
Geophysics Department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University

2015

كلية العلوم
قسم الجيوفيزياء



" تحليل تسجيلات الآبار والتفسيرات السيزمية لصخور خزانات عصور الميوسين
والكريتاسى فى منطقة غرب عش الملاحه، الصحراء الشرقية، مصر "

رسالة مقدمة للحصول على درجة الماجستير فى العلوم كجزء مكمل لمتطلبات
رسالة الماجستير بكلية العلوم

فى الجيوفيزياء

إبراهيم عطية محمد نصر

بكالوريوس فى الجيوفيزياء

قسم الجيوفيزياء

كلية العلوم

جامعة عين شمس

تحت إشراف

أ.د. سعيد عبد المعبود على

أستاذ ورئيس قسم الجيوفيزياء

كلية العلوم – جامعة عين شمس

د/ أيمن شبل السيد

مدرس الجيوفيزياء بقسم الجيوفيزياء

كلية العلوم – جامعة عين شمس

د/ حاتم فاروق محمد عويضة

مدير عام الاستكشاف

جابكو – شركة البترول البريطانية (BP)

2015

رسالة ماجستير فى العلوم فى الجيوفيزياء

- اسم الطالب : إبراهيم عطية محمد نصر
- عنوان الرسالة : تحليل الآبار والتفسيرات السيزمية لصخور خزانات عصور
الميوسين والكريتاسي فى منطقة غرب عش الملاحه، الصحراء
الشرقية، مصر.
- الدرجة العلمية : ماجستير فى العلوم فى الجيوفيزياء
- لجنة الإشراف :

- (1) أ.د. سعيد عبد المعبود على
أستاذ ورئيس قسم الجيوفيزياء - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس.
- (2) د. حاتم فاروق محمد عويضة
مدير عام الاستكشاف - جابكو - شركة البترول البريطانية (BP).
- (3) د. أيمن شبل السيد
مدرس الجيوفيزياء بقسم الجيوفيزياء - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس.

لجنة التحكيم

- (1) أ.د. عادل رمضان مصطفى
أستاذ الجيولوجيا بقسم الجيولوجيا - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس.
- (2) أ.د. أحمد محمد كمال بصل
أستاذ الجيوفيزياء بقسم الجيولوجيا - كلية العلوم - جامعة المنصورة.
- (3) أ.د. سعيد عبد المعبود على
أستاذ ورئيس قسم الجيوفيزياء - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس.

تاريخ المناقشة : 2015/11 /21

موافقة مجلس الكلية: / /

موافقة مجلس الكلية: / /

اسم الطالب	:	إبراهيم عطية محمد نصر
الدرجة العلمية	:	ماجستير فى العلوم فى الجيوفيزياء
القسم التابع	:	قسم الجيوفيزياء
إسم الكلية	:	كلية العلوم
إسم الجامعة	:	جامعة عين شمس
سنة التخرج	:	2010 بكالوريوس
سنة المنح	:	2015

شكر

أشكر السادة المشرفين الذين قاموا بالأشراف وهم:

(1) أ.د. سعيد عبد المعبود على

أستاذ ورئيس قسم الجيوفيزياء - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس.

(2) د. حاتم فاروق محمد عويضة

مدير عام الاستكشاف - جابكو - شركة البترول البريطانية (BP).

(3) د. أيمن شبل السيد

مدرس الجيوفيزياء بقسم الجيوفيزياء - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس.

وكذلك أشكر الهيئات الآتية:

1- الهيئة المصرية العامة للبترول

2- شركة جنوب الوادي القابضة للبترول

3- شركة عش الملاحة للبترول

Note

The present thesis is submitted to the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University in partial fulfillment for the requirements of the degree of Master of Science in Geophysics.

Beside the research work materialized in this thesis, the candidate has attended ten post-graduate courses for one year in the following topics:

1. Reservoir evaluation
2. Fluid dynamics
3. Advanced well logging
4. Physical properties of Rocks
5. Basin analysis
6. Formation evaluation
7. Subsurface geology
8. Geophysical exploration
9. Geophysical field measurements
10. Numerical analysis and computer programming

He successfully passed the final examinations in these courses.

In fulfillment of the language requirement of the degree, he also passed the final examination of a course in the English language.

Prof. D. Said Abdel-Maaboud Aly

Chairman of Geophysics Department

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

First of all, I would like to thank **Allah almighty** who gives us the power and ability to do the things.

The realization of this Master thesis was an intense and time-consuming process that would not have been possible without the help and support of many people.

I would like to express sincere appreciation to my advisor, **Prof. Dr. Said A. Aly**, for his friendship and guidance throughout this thesis and without him this work would not have been possible.

My sincere gratitude to **Dr. Hatem F. Ewida** for his assistance and invaluable contributions to the success of this work.

I am indebted to **Dr. Ayman Shebel** for his suggestions and advice throughout this work.

Thanks also for the **Egyptian General Petroleum Corporation (EGPC)**, **Ganoub El Wadi Petroluem Holding Company (GANOPE)**, **Esh El Mallaha Petroleum Company (ESHPETCO)**, and **LUKOIL Egypt** for their permission to use the data and the software that were essential for this study.

Special thanks to my best friend **Ahmed Hafez**, who taught me to approach scientific investigations with the open mind of a detective, and consider all possibilities before arriving at the conclusion.

I would also like to thank **Mohamed S. Darwish**, **Amr El Shafie** and **Ahmed Afifi** for their unflinching support and encouragement.

I am deeply grateful to **my father**, **my mother** and to all **my brothers** and **sister** for their continuous support and encouragement. Without the unconditional love and guidance from my parents, I would not have become what I am today. My mother advised me to achieve perfection in whatever I do, and reminded me to maintain a good sense of humor with a positive attitude towards life. Thank you **my mother** for all the values you taught me and for all the sacrifices you made for me, which you consider nothing at all, but I am grateful.

Finally, I am particularly indebted to my **wife** and my **beautiful daughter** for their patience and support during my study.

Abstract

The Gulf of Suez is considered as one of the major prolific oil provinces in Egypt. More than 837 exploratory wells were drilled to target different reservoir in that basin, with total footage of 6,831,842. The study area is located in the southwestern part of the Gulf of Suez rift, in the Eastern Desert. The present study deals with the structural development of the rift-related structures and its impact on the sediment distribution and facies variation around the study area.

In this study, the structure, depositional system, and the sequence stratigraphy of the West Esh El Mallaha field was interpreted using seismic data, well logs, Biostratigraphic-data, and drilling data to characterize the structural and depositional settings of the Nubia A and Nukhul Clastics reservoirs.

Petrophysical evaluation of the Nubia A and Nukhul Clastics reservoirs has been performed. The main goal of this approach is to evaluate the reservoir potential of the field through using different wireline logs and production data. This study identifies the different rock types that comprise reservoirs and non-reservoirs. The Nubia A reservoir is interpreted from 4 wells as a good quality reservoir rock with high average effective porosity reaching up to 21% and high average hydrocarbon saturation reaching up to 68%. Also the Nukhul Clastics reservoir recorded average effective porosity of 16.9 % and hydrocarbon saturation reaching up to 60%.

To demonstrate the structural setting of the study area, seismic data were interpreted. Three main seismic reflectors, which are the top Basement, top Nubia A, and top Nukhul Clastics were picked. The most dominant structures in the area are Clysmic and Cross faults which were interpreted as

normal and oblique slip faults respectively. These faults subdivided the West Esh El Mallaha field into two main structural blocks, Rabeh East and Rabeh blocks. The main importance of these faults is that they influenced the deposition of the pre-Miocene and Miocene formations in the study area.

Sequence stratigraphic analysis of the Nubia A reservoir, based on the well logs, seismic interpretation, biostratigraphic analysis, and drilling data shows that it comprises two different system tracts, the lower part of Nubia A Formation shows that the sandstones are fluvial facies as Low stand system tract. The upper part of the Nubia A Formation reveals that the sandstones are delta and fluvial/distributary channel facies and recorded in transgression system tract. The use of the biostratigraphic analysis dated the Nubia A Formation as Early Cretaceous (Aptian-Albian).

On the same approach, the Nukhul Clastics reservoir recorded a shallow marine and distal fan sand, and dated as Aquitanian – Early Burdigalian. Many authors argued about the age of the Nukhul Formation, because of rare fossils within it and they use the underlying volcanic intrusion to date it. The Nukhul Clastics is considered the first syn-rift reservoir in West Esh El Mallaha field.

Finally, from all the previous interpreted data sets, a geological model showing the structural and depositional environment for the study area is constructed.

Contents

Acknowledgements.....	I
Abstract.....	II
Contents.....	IV
List of Figures.....	VII
List of Tables.....	XV
1 CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Geographic location of the study area.....	2
1.3 Study motivations	3
1.4 Study Objectives and Methodology.....	5
1.5 Regional geological settings.....	6
1.5.1 Tectonic evolution and major structure style	6
1.5.1.1 Pre-Rift Tectonic Evolution	7
1.5.1.2 Rift Tectonic Evolution (Gulf of Suez rifting)	12
1.5.2 Structural style of the Gulf of Suez.....	15
1.6 Previous works of the Early Cretaceous & Aquitanian – Early Burdigalian sequences	26
1.6.1 Previous works of the Nubia A (Malha) Formation stratigraphic aspects.....	26
1.6.2 Previous works of the Nukhul Formation stratigraphic aspects	34
1.7 Available Data Base.....	46
1.7.1 Seismic data sets	46
1.7.2 Wells and well log data.....	47
2 CHAPTER II: PETROPHYSICAL MODELING.....	49
2.1 Objectives of Petrophysical Analysis.....	49
2.2 Introduction	49
2.3 Methodology and Interpretation.....	50

Contents

2.3.1	Estimation of Formation Temperature, Corrections of the Mud Resistivities (R_m , R_{mc} and R_{mf}) and Formation Water Resistivity R_w	51
2.3.1.1	Determination of Formation Temperature	51
2.3.1.2	Corrections of the Mud Resistivities (R_m , R_{mc} and R_{mf})	51
2.3.1.3	Determination of formation water resistivity (R_w)	52
2.3.2	Determination of (Shale Content (VSH) – Shale Type – Matrix Volume and Type [Lithology Identification])	52
2.3.2.1	Determination of Shale Content (VSH).....	52
2.3.2.2	Type of Shale	56
2.3.2.3	Lithology identification.....	60
2.3.2.3.1	Neutron-Density Cross-Plot.....	61
2.3.2.3.2	Density-Photoelectric Cross-plot	66
2.3.2.3.3	M-N plot	76
2.3.2.3.4	RHOMaa Vs. Umatrix MID plot.....	77
2.3.3	Determination of formation porosity.....	88
2.3.3.1	Total Porosity Determination from Neutron log	88
2.3.3.2	Total Porosity Determination from Density Log.....	89
2.3.3.3	Total Porosity Determination from Neutron-Density Logs	89
2.3.3.4	Effective Porosity Determination (Φ_e).....	90
2.3.4	Determination of fluid saturations.....	90
2.3.4.1	Determination of Water Saturation (S_w)	91
2.3.4.2	Determination of hydrocarbon saturation (S_h).....	93
2.3.4.2	Determination of bulk Analysis of Formation Fluid.....	93
2.4	Results and Discussion	94
2.4.1	Lithology Identification.....	94
2.4.2	Estimated Petrophysical Parameters Results.....	94
3	CHAPTER III: SEISMIC INTERPRETATION	105
3.1	Objectives of Seismic Interpretation	105
3.2	Introduction	105
3.3	Methodology and Interpretation.....	107
3.3.1	Structural Seismic Interpretation	107

Contents

3.3.1.1	Seismic Well Tie	109
3.3.1.2	Seismic attribute interpretation	112
3.3.1.3	Horizon Interpretation	118
3.3.1.4	Fault interpretation	121
3.3.1.5	Structural Mapping	123
3.4	Results and Discussion	135
4	CHAPTER IV: SEQUENCE STRATIGRAPHY	164
4.1	Objectives of Seismic Interpretation	164
4.2	Introduction	164
4.2.1	Sequence Stratigraphy	164
4.2.2	Biostratigraphy and Sequence Stratigraphy	166
4.2.3	Wireline Log and Sequence Stratigraphy	166
4.2.4	Seismic Interpretation and Sequence Stratigraphy	168
4.3	Methodology and Interpretation	170
4.3.1	Tectonic settings reconstruction	172
4.3.2	Sequence stratigraphic framework delineation	174
4.3.2.1	Lower Cretaceous sequence stratigraphic framework	174
4.3.2.2	Aquitania–Early Burdigalian sequence stratigraphic framework (rift initiation)	190
4.3.2.3	Seismic sequence stratigraphy for Syn-rift sediment	196
4.2	Results and Discussion	205
5	Chapter: V Conclusions and Recommendations	210
	References	215
APPENDIX	233	

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: a) Satellite image of Egypt showing the location of the study area. b) Location of the study area (blue polygon), the four wells used, and the seismic lines..	4
Figure 1.2: Schematic chart showing the applied workflow adopted in this study....	6
Figure 1.3: Pre-Miocene, tectonostratigraphic events of the Gulf of Suez.	8
Figure 1.4 : Prerift, tectonic elements in the Gulf of Suez.....	10
Figure 1.5: Late Cretaceous plate reconstruction of the eastern Mediterranean	12
Figure 1.6: Regional geology of the Arabian plate	12
Figure 1.7: Fig.1.7 Tectonic evolution of the Gulf of Suez – Red Sea – Gulf of Aden region from 31 Ma to +10 My	14
Figure 1.8: Tilted rift blocks in the Suez rift.....	16
Figure 1.9: A- Regional fault pattern derived from aeromagnetic basement structure map, showing major fault dip trends and accommodation zones for Gulf of Suez region. B- structural cross sections showing the half grabens features	17
Figure 1.10: Different types of fault-to-fault transfer zones (stippled areas) in the mapped parts of the Suez rift, Cairo- Suez area, and the northwestern Red Sea.	18
Figure 1.11: Gulf of Suez Oil Fields showing the location of the models of structure plays mentioned in this study.	19
Figure 1.12: Models of structure plays in the Gulf of Suez rift	20
Figure 1.12: Cont.	21
Figure 1.12: Cont.	22
Figure 1.12: Cont.	23
Figure 1.12: Cont.	24
Figure 1.12: Cont.	25
Figure 1.13: Generalized and schematic sections of pre-Duwi phosphate strata from Aswan to wadi Qena.....	29
Figure 1.14: Paleogeographic maps for Early Cretaceous time	30
Figure 1.15: Lithostratigraphic section of Araba, Naqus, Abu Thora and Malha Formations.....	31
Figure 1.16: Measured stratigraphic section from north wadi Kabrit, Shamaal (North) Gebel el Zeit	32
Figure 1.17: Stratigraphic sequence constructed from field work in Safaga-Ras Banas area, Zabargad Island and limited well data	33
Figure 1.18: Stratigraphic correlation and Miocene facies distribution in southern offshore Hurghada area	36
Figure 1.19: Type section of the Nukhul Formation in Wadi Nukhul	41

Figure 1.20: Measured stratigraphic sections from Safaga region.....	43
Figure 1.21: Correlation of Early and Middle Miocene Formations in Shukheir area showing the date of Nukhul Formation.....	44
Figure 1.22: Different Maps for Nukhul Formation A) gross isopach, B) net sand, C) gross depositional environment (GDE).....	45
Figure 2.1: Determining the R_w by using Pickett plot for RE-35.....	53
Figure 2.2: Comparison of shale indicators: GR, Corr. Clavier, PHIN, RHOB-PHIN, Final Vsh and Mud log lithology for Nukhul Clastics Formation.....	57
Figure 2.3: Comparison of shale indicators: GR, Corr. Clavier, PHIN, RHOB-PHIN, Final Vsh and Mud log lithology for Nubia Formation.....	58
Figure 2.4: Mixing Models For Shales And Their Volume Cubes	59
Figure 2.5: Dia-Porosity Cross-Plot for Nubia A Formation.....	62
Figure 2.6: Dia-Porosity Cross-Plot for Nubia A Formation.....	63
Figure 2.7: Dia-Porosity Cross-Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.....	64
Figure 2.8: Dia-Porosity Cross-Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation	65
Figure 2.9: Neutron porosity – Bulk Density Cross-Plot for Nubia A Formation..	67
Figure 2.10: Neutron porosity – Bulk Density Cross-Plot for Nubia A Formation.	68
Figure 2.11: Neutron porosity – Bulk Density Cross-Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.	69
Figure 2.12: Neutron porosity – Bulk Density Cross-Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.	70
Figure 2.13: Bulk Density – Photoelectric Cross-Plot for Nubia A Formation.	72
Figure 2.14: Bulk Density – Photoelectric Cross-Plot for Nubia A Formation.	73
Figure 2.15: Bulk Density – Photoelectric Cross-Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.	74
Figure 2.16: Bulk Density – Photoelectric Cross-Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.	75
Figure 2.17: M – N Plot for Nubia A Formation.	80
Figure 2.18: M – N Plot for Nubia A Formation.	81
Figure 2.19: M – N Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.	82
Figure 2.20: M – N Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.	83
Figure 2.21: Apparent matrix grain density – Apparent matrix volumetric cross section Plot for Nubia A Formation.	84
Figure 2.22: Apparent matrix grain density – Apparent matrix volumetric cross section Plot for Nubia A Formation.	85
Figure 2.23: Apparent matrix grain density – Apparent matrix volumetric cross section Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.	86
Figure 2.24: Apparent matrix grain density – Apparent matrix volumetric cross section Plot for Nukhul Clastics Formation.	87

Figure 2.25: Well RE-28 showing calculated reservoir parameters and pay flags for Nukhul Clastics Formation.....	97
Figure 2.26: Well RE-28 showing calculated reservoir parameters and pay flags for Nubia A Formation.....	98
Figure 2.27: Well RE-30 showing calculated reservoir parameters and pay flags for Nukhul Clastics Formation.....	99
Figure 2.28: Well RE-30 showing calculated reservoir parameters and pay flags for Nubia A Formation.....	100
Figure 2.29: Well RE-34 showing calculated reservoir parameters and pay flags for Nukhul Clastics Formation.....	101
Figure 2.30: Well RE-34 showing calculated reservoir parameters and pay flags for Nubia A Formation.....	102
Figure 2.31: Well RE-35 showing calculated reservoir parameters and pay flags for Nukhul Clastics Formation.....	103
Figure 2.32: Well RE-35 showing calculated reservoir parameters and pay flags for Nubia A Formation.....	104
Figure 3.1: Two Types of data polarity, American and European.....	106
Figure 3.2: Schematic flowchart showing the applied workflow used in the seismic interpretation and structure model.....	108
Figure 3.3: Time-Depth curve for well RE-34.....	110
Figure 3.4: Synthetic seismogram generation for Well RE-34, illustrating the components used and the synthetic seismogram generated.....	111
Figure 3.5: The amplitude of the wavelet with time (left) and frequency (right)..	111
Figure 3.6: Seismic section with the generated synthetic seismograms from Wells RE-28 left & RE-34 Right.....	113
Figure 3.7: (a) Original seismic plot; (b) Reflection Intensity (RI) seismic attribute.....	114
Figure 3.8: (a) Seismic section displayed in original amplitude and (b) corresponding instantaneous phase attribute where reflectors have enhanced continuity.....	116
Figure 3.9: (a) Original seismic section and (b) extracted cosine of instantaneous phase.....	117
Figure 3.10: (a) Seismic section in original amplitude and (b) variance attribute computed over a window of 3x3..	118
Figure 3.11: Generalized stratigraphic column of West Esh El Mallaha Field.....	119
Figure 3.12: Top Basement fault map with random seismic cross sections, displaying interpreted fault families..	122
Figure 3.13: Top Basement Two Way Time (TWT) Structure Map...	125
Figure 3.14: Top Nubia Two Way Time (TWT) Structure Map...	126
Figure 3.15: Nukhul Clastics Two Way Time (TWT) Structure Map...	127