PREDICTORS OF RECURRENCE OF ATRIAL FIBRILLATION AFTER ELECTRICAL CARDIOVERSION

Thesis

Submitted for the Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree

in Cardiology

BY

Dr: Amr Rizq Rashed

M.B.B.CH

Under supervision of

Prof. Saeed Abdelhafeez Khaled

Professor of cardiology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Rania Samir Ahmed

Lecturer of cardiology
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Gamal Shaaban

Consultant of cardiology
National Heart Institute

2012

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, Thanks to GOD.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere thanks to *Prof. Dr. Saeed Abdelhafeez Khaled*, professor of Cardiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain-Shams University, for his instructive supervision, continuous guidance and valuable instructions.

I am greatly indebted to *Prof. Dr. Rania Samir Ahmed*, lecturer of cardiology, Faculty of medicine, Ain- Shams University, for her expert guidance, valuable suggestions and excellent supervision.

It is a pleasure to thank *Dr. Gamal Shaaban* consultant of cardiology and head of electrophysiology in National Heart Institute for his instructive supervision, continuous guidance and valuable instructions.

Finally, I would like to address my family especially my mother, my father and my wife and thank them for their unlimited support and care.

CONTENTS	Page
List of Figures	ii
List of Tables	V
List of Abbreviations	vii
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	3
Review of literature	4
Chapter.1 Atrial fibrillation	4
Chapter.2 Ambulatory ECG	94
Patients and methods	101
Results	112
Discussion	128
Study limitations	137
Conclusion	138
Recommendations	139
Summary	140
References	146
Protocol	169
Master Table	
Arabic summary	

List of Figures

NO	Title	Page
1	Twelve-lead ECG of atrial fibrillation.	4
2	The prevalence of AF in six studies.	7
3	Projected Number of Adults with AF in the US by 2050	7
4	Rapid firing in a pulmonary vein with the spontaneous onset of atrial	15
5	Posterior view of principal electrophysiological mechanisms of AF.	16
6	A rapidly firing focus in the superior vena cava (SVC) that induces atrial	17
7	Canine atria with multiple propagating wavelets during sustained atrial	19
8	Effect of autonomic tone on atrioventricular node conduction during	26
9	RBB aberrancy caused by sudden lengthening of the preceding cycle	27
10	Patient with AV reentry which degenerates to AF.	32
11	Patient with WPW syndrome with degeneration from AF to VF.	34
12	The management cascade for patients with AF.	40
13	Antithrombotic therapy for prevention of stroke in patients with nonvalvular	44
14	Antithrombotic therapy for prevention of stroke.	44

16 DCC and pharmacological cardioversion of recent-onset AF. 17 Biphasic Cardioserve electrocardioverter divese 18 Comparison between both groups according to duration of AF. 19 Comparison between both groups according to anti arrhythmic drugs. 100 Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. 111 Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. 112 Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. 113 Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. 114 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. 125 Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 126 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 127 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 128 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 129 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 120 Comparison between both groups according to AF runs /24 hours.	15	Cardioversion of haemodynamically	<i>56</i>
Biphasic Cardioserve electrocardioverter divese Comparison between both groups according to duration of AF. Comparison between both groups according to anti arrhythmic drugs. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.53 at admission. Comparison between LAV in both groups. Comparison between LAV in both groups. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.		stable AF.	
Biphasic Cardioserve electrocardioverter divese 109 18	16	1 0	60
Comparison between both groups according to duration of AF. Comparison between both groups according to anti arrhythmic drugs. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.53 at admission. Comparison between LAV in both groups. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.		cardioversion of recent-onset AF.	
Comparison between both groups according to duration of AF. Comparison between both groups according to anti arrhythmic drugs. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.53 at admission. Comparison between LAV in both groups. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	17	Biphasic Cardioserve	109
19 Comparison between both groups according to anti arrhythmic drugs. 20 Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. 21 Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.53 at admission. 22 Comparison between LAV in both groups. 23 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. 24 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. 25 Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 26 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 27 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.			
Comparison between both groups according to anti arrhythmic drugs. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. Comparison between LAV in both groups. Comparison between LAV in both groups. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	18	according to duration of AF.	115
Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.5 at admission. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.53 at admission. Comparison between LAV in both groups. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	10	Comparison between both groups	117
at admission. Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.53 at admission. Comparison between LAV in both groups. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	19	according to anti arrhythmic drugs.	117
22 Comparison between LAV in both groups. 23 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. 24 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. 25 Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 26 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 27 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	20		118
Comparison between LAV in both groups. 23 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. 24 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. 25 Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 26 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 27 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	21	Baseline twelve lead ECG of case NO.53	110
Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. 24 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. 25 Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 26 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 27 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	21	at admission.	118
Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.4. 24 Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. 25 Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 26 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 27 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	22	Comparison between LAV in both	120
Simpson method, case NO.4. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	22	groups.	120
Simpson method, case NO.4. Baseline LAV measured by the modified Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	22	Baseline LAV measured by the modified	101
Simpson method, case NO.38. Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	23	Simpson method, case NO.4.	121
Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of case NO.54 26 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 27 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	24	Baseline LAV measured by the modified	101
case NO.54 26 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 27 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	24	Simpson method, case NO.38.	121
case NO.54 26 Transesophageal echo of case NO.47 27 Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	25	Continuous Doppler on mitral flow of	101
Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks. Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min.	23		121
27 according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Tomparison between both groups 125	26	Transesophageal echo of case NO.47	121
27 according to number of DC shocks. 28 Comparison between both groups according to PACs /24 hours. 29 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. 20 Tomparison between both groups 125	27	Comparison between both groups	122
29 according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups Comparison between both groups 124	21		122
29 according to PACs /24 hours. Comparison between both groups according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups Comparison between both groups 124	20	Comparison between both groups	10.1
according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups 124	28	-	124
according to maximum PAC /min. Comparison between both groups 124	29	Comparison between both groups	101
Comparison between both groups 125			124
30 2 1/3	30		105
			125

31	24 hours holter monitoring of case No.10.	125
32	24 hours holter monitoring of case No.58.	126
33	24 hours holter monitoring of case No.55.	126
34	Timing of recurrence during follow up.	127

List of Tables

NO	Title	
1	Risk of AF in the presence of risk factors	
2	Factors Associated with Atrial Fibrillation	
3	CHADS2 score and stroke rate	
4	CHA2DS2VASc score and stroke rate	43
5	Clinical characteristics comprising the HAS- BLED bleeding risk score	48
6	(ESC) recommendations for anticoagulation of AF pericardioversion	52
7	ESC Recommendations for antithrombotic therapy in AF and ACS/PCI	53
8	Antithrombotic strategies following coronary artery stenting.	54
9	Recommendations for pharmacological CV	
10	General characteristics of rhythm control and rate control trials	65
12	Recommendation for rate and rhythm control in AF	68
13	Recommendation for acute rate control	68
14	Recommendations for AV node ablation in AF	71
15	Recommendations for Lt atrial ablation	77
16	Recommendations for surgical ablation of AF	82
17	Recommendations for rhythm control of AF in heart	88
18	Recommendations for AF in pregnancy	89
19	Basic clinical characteristics and medical history of both groups	113

NO	Title	Page
20	Comparison between both groups according to duration of AF.	115
21	Anti-arrhythmic medications (by class) used in both groups.	116
22	Ventricular response at presentation didn't predict recurrence.	119
23	Comparison between LAV in both groups and its relative change before and after cardioversion.	120
24	Comparison between both groups according to number of DC shocks.	122
25	Comparison between both groups according to 24 hours holter findings.	123

List of Abbreviation

Abbrev	Meaning
2D	Two dimension
ACC	American College of cardiology
ACEIS	Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors
ACS	Acute coronary syndrome
ACTIVEW	Atrial fibrillation Clopidogrel Trial with Irbesartan for prevention of Vascular Events– Warfarin arm trial
AF	Atrial fibrillation
AFFIRM	Atrial Fibrillation Follow-up Investigation of Rhythm Management
AHA	American Heart Association
AFASAK	Copenhagen Atrial Fibrillation Aspirin Anticoagulation
ARB	Angiotensin Receptor Blocker
ATRIA	Anticoagulation and Risk Factors In Atrial Fibrillation
AV	Atrioventricular
AVN	Atrioventricular Node
AVRO	Active-controlled, multi-center, superiority study of Vernakalant injection versus amiodarone in subjects with Recent Onset atrial fibrillation
BAFTA	The Birmingham Atrial Fibrillation Treatment of the Aged study
BMI	Body mass index
CAD	Coronary artery disease
ССВ	Calcium channel blocker

CITE	0 1 1 (1)
CHF	Congestive heart failure
CI	Confident interval
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CPR	Cardiopulmonary resuscitation
CT	Computered tomography
CVS	Cerebrovascular stroke
DCC	Direct current cardioversion
DM	Diabetes mellitus
EAFT	European Atrial Fibrillation Trial
EAPCI	European Association of Percutaneous Cardiovascular Interventions
ECG	Electrocardiogram
ED	Emergency department
ESC	European Society of Cardiology
ESPS	European stroke prevention study
FRACTAL	Fibrillation Registry Assessing Costs, Therapies, Adverse events, and Lifestyle
GRACE	Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events
HAS- BLED	Hypertension, Abnormal renal/liver function, Stroke, Bleeding history or predisposition, Labile INR, Elderly, Drugs/alcohol concomitantly
HOT CAFE′	HOw to Treat Chronic Atrial Fibrillation
HTN	Hypertension
IHD	Ischemic heart disease
INR	Optimal international normalized ratio
IV	Intravenous

J-	Japanese Rhythm Management Trial for Atrial
RHYTHM	Fibrillation
LA	Left Atrium
LAA	Left Atrial Appendage
LASAF	Low Dose Aspirin Stroke Atrial Fibrillation
LMWH	Low molecular weight heparin
LVEDP	Left ventricular end-diastolic pressure
LVEF	Left ventricular ejection fraction
MHZ	Mega hertz
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
NSTEMI	Non ST segment elevation myocardial infarction
NYHA	New York Heart Association
OAC	Oral anticoagulant therapy
PAC	Premature atrial complex
PAD	Peripheral arterial disease
PATAF	Prevention of arterial thromboembolism in atrial fibrillation
PCI	Percutaneous coronary intervention
PIAF	Pharmacological Intervention in Atrial Fibrillation
PPIS	Proton pump inhibitors
PUFAS	Polyunsaturated fatty acids
PVS	Pulmonary veins
RACE	RAte Control versus Electrical cardioversion for persistent atrial fibrillation
RBB	Right bundle branch

RE-LY	Randomized Evaluation of Long-term
KL-L1	anticoagulant therapY
RF	Radiofrequency
RR	Relative Risk
SPAF	Stroke prevention in atrial fibrillation
SPINAF	Stroke prevention in Nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation
STAF	Strategies of Treatment of AF
SVT	Supraventricular tachycardia
TE	Thromboembolism
TIA	Transient ischemic attack
TIMI	Thrombolysis in myocardial infarction
TOE	Transesophageal echo
UH	Unfractionated heparin
UFH	Unfractionated heparin
UK	United kingdom
UK-TIA	United Kingdom-Transient Ischemic Attack Aspirin Trial
US	United States
VKA	Vitamin K antagonists
VT	Ventricular Tachycardia
WASPO	Warfarin versus Aspirin for Stroke Prevention in Octogenarians with AF trial
WPW	Wolff-Parkinson-White Syndrome

Introduction

AF is the most common arrhythmia requiring treatment. The overall prevalence in the general population is estimated to be 0.4 percent. This is likely an underestimate because many people with AF are asymptomatic. (*Ostranderld JR*, et al, 1965).

The incidence and prevalence of AF steadily increase with age, such that this arrhythmia occurs in <0.5 percent of the population <50 years of age and increases to approximately 2 percent at ages 60 to 69 years, 4.6 percent for ages 70 to 79 years, and 8.8 percent for ages 80 to 89 years. The age-adjusted prevalence of AF is higher for men than women and higher for whites than blacks. (Wolf PA, et al, 1991).

Most cases of AF occur in patients with evidence of structural heart disease, but there may be no evidence of concomitant disease in >50 percent of patients with paroxysmal AF. In contrast, >80 percent of patients with permanent AF have an identifiable underlying cause. (*Klein EA. 2000*).

Classification

AF traditionally has been described as either paroxysmal or chronic. However, the definition of *chronic* varies greatly in the literature, often suggesting permanent AF. The American Heart Association (AHA), American College of Cardiology (ACC), and the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) have proposed a standardized classification scheme to describe AF. (*Fuster V, et al, 2006*).

At the initial detection of AF, it may be difficult to be certain of the subsequent pattern of duration and frequency of recurrences. *First detected* episode of AF is made on the initial diagnosis.

When the patient has experienced two or more episodes, AF is classified as *recurrent*.

After the termination of an episode of AF, the rhythm can be classified as *paroxysmal* or *persistent*.

<u>Paroxysmal AF</u> is characterized by self-terminating episodes that generally last <7 days (most <24 hours).

<u>Persistent AF</u> generally lasts >7 days and often requires electrical or pharmacologic cardioversion.

AF is classified as <u>permanent</u> when it has failed cardioversion or when further attempts to terminate the arrhythmia are deemed futile.

It might be more appropriate to use the term <u>established</u> rather than permanent, because these patients can undergo successful ablation to restore and maintain sinus rhythm precluding the concept of <u>permanent</u>.

Although this classification scheme is generally useful, the pattern of AF may change in response to treatment. Thus, AF that has been persistent may become paroxysmal during pharmacologic therapy with antiarrhythmic medications.

Persistent atrial fibrillation (AF) may be terminated by transthoracic electrical cardioversion but recurrence of AF is common. Pharmacological therapy can reduce the risk of AF recurrence but carries a risk of adverse reactions and may not be tolerated.

Knowledge of factors that predict recurrence of AF after electrical cardioversion may allow tailoring of therapy for specific groups of AF patients.

More aggressive therapy may be appropriate for patients at a higher risk of AF recurrence.

Several factors have been identified that predict the risk of AF recurrence after electrical cardioversion including prolonged duration of AF, increased left atrial size, underlying heart disease, and increased heart rate variability. However, the predictive value of these risk factors is limited. (*Brodsky MA*, *et al*, 1989).

Intermittent AF is precipitated by ectopic activation of the atria from the pulmonary veins in the majority of cases. However, the role of the pulmonary veins in persistent AF is less clear. Atrial tachycardia or focal ectopic beats initiate AF. Furthermore, focal atrial arrhythmias in the 2 min immediately following internal electrical cardioversion are common in persistent AF and have been shown to predict early AFrecurrence. (*Haïssaguerre M, et al, 1998*).

Aim of the study

We hypothesized that patients with frequent premature atrial complexes (PACs) or atrial arrhythmia in the 24 h after external electrical cardioversion would be more likely to have recurrence of AF , so we aim to study the different clinical and ECG predictorsof recurrence of AF following electrical cardioversion.