

Acknowledgment

At first and foremost thanks to “**Allah**” who granted me the power to finish this work.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and profound respect to my professor, Dr. Nahla Mostafa Heshmat, Professor of Pediatrics, Ain Shams University, for her continuous support, enriching observations and sincere advice throughout this work .

My heartfelt thanks and appreciation are due to Dr. Zeinab Ebraheem Hasan, Lecturer of pediatrics, Ain Shams University, who offered me a lot of guidance and encouragement while supervising every step of this work.

Special appreciation goes to Dr. Rasha Ahmad Nasr, Lecturer of microbiology and immunology, Ain Shams University, for her kind and active participation in the laboratory part of this work.

Last but not least, I am very grateful to my dear patients and their parents, wishing them a healthy life and a happy future.

Sherif Abdel Mohesen.

Clinical and laboratory data of patients.

NO	Age	Sex	F.H. father	F.H. moth	Obj. SCO	SCORAD	SSS	IgE IU/ml	CTACK	Hb gm/dl
1	5.5	m.	(-)	(+)	24.7	32.7	55	125	340	12
2	1.2	f.	(+)	(+)	23	33	67	75	500	12.5
3	4	f.	(+)	(+)	29.9	35.9	60	95	360	14.5
4	6	f.	(-)	(+)	64.3	80.3	69	600	750	10.2
5	2	f.	(-)	(+)	26	34	57	105	370	10
6	6	m.	(-)	(+)	26.4	32.4	41	115	320	13
7	4.5	f.	(+)	(+)	53.7	67.7	68	25	700	11.8
8	3.5	m.	(+)	(+)	24	28	39	80	300	15
9	13	f.	(-)	(+)	67	83	84	10	1100	10.7
10	4	f.	(-)	(+)	25.6	31.6	45	20	350	14
11	4	f.	(+)	(+)	21.2	29.2	62	8	600	10.8
12	5	m.	(+)	(+)	23.8	31.8	53	35	370	14.1
13	5	m.	(+)	(+)	25.6	36.6	42	30	750	13.2
14	3.5	m.	(-)	(+)	28.2	32.3	47	90	340	13.4
15	4.5	f.	(-)	(+)	19.4	23.4	36	85	340	15.2
16	5.5	m.	(+)	(+)	20.4	26.4	41	110	370	14
17	5	f.	(-)	(+)	21.1	29.1	44	40	380	13.9
18	5	f.	(+)	(+)	23.8	29.8	39	80	300	13.9
19	3.5	m.	(-)	(+)	23.7	31.7	42	45	350	13.3
20	3	m.	(+)	(+)	25.7	33.7	50	70	340	13.1
minimum	1.5				19.4	23.4	36	8	300	10
maximum	13				67	83	84	600	1100	15
mean	4.7				29.875	38.13	52.05	92.15	461.5	12.93
SD	2.296				14.118	17.236	12.92	124.98	211.49	1.55

Descriptive data:

NO : number **F.H. father** : family history of atopy, paternal side
m : male **F.H. mother**: family H. of atopy, maternal side
f : female **Obj SCO** : Objective SCORAD
Hb : haemoglobin **SSS** : Simple Scoring System
(+) : positive **SD** : Standard Deviation
(-) : negative **age** : age in years
CTACK : Cutaneous T cell-attracting chemokine (pg/ml)

Clinical and laboratory data of patients (continues).

NO	platelet	WBC	N%	N absolute	E%	E absolute	L %	L absolute
1	390	6.7	49	3283	7	469	41	2747
2	364	13.3	47	6251	3	399	43	5719
3	290	7.1	57	4047	7	497	33	2343
4	367	28.9	73	21097	13	3757	12	3468
5	550	10.3	21	2163	4	412	75	7725
6	275	7.9	56	4424	7	553	35	2765
7	322	8.7	38	3306	5	435	55	4785
8	380	7.4	64	4736	5	370	27	1998
9	243	13.3	65	8645	10	1330	23	3059
10	310	8	53	4240	8	460	35	2800
11	463	6.4	36	2304	5	320	47	3008
12	365	9	53	4770	5	450	39	3510
13	315	8.6	50	4300	6	516	41	3526
14	365	8.1	47	3807	6	486	43	3483
15	280	9.1	48	4368	7	637	43	3913
16	420	6.5	57	3705	6	390	34	2210
17	410	8.7	58	5046	8	696	32	2784
18	340	8.7	58	5046	6	522	34	2958
19	240	8.5	52	4420	6	510	38	3230
20	390	7.7	52	4004	7	539	37	2849
minimum	240	6.4	21	2163	3	320	12	1998
maximum	550	28.9	73	21097	13	3757	75	7725
mean	353.95	9.6	51.7	5198.1	6.55	696.4	39.35	3444
SD	75.18	4.9	11.2	3984.03	2.16	750.25	12.49	1320.51

E% : eosinophils percentage

N% : percentage of neutrophils

E absolute : absolute count of eosinophils

L% : lymphocytes percentage **N absolute** : absolute count of neutrophils

L absolute : absolute count of lymphocytes

WBC : White blood corpuscles

NO : number

Clinical and laboratory data of control subjects.

NO	age	Sex	CTACK	Hist of atopy
1	6	f	240	(-)
2	8	f	50	(-)
3	5	f	150	(-)
4	3	f	48	(-)
5	9	f	120	(-)
6	4	f	130	(-)
7	4.5	f	120	(-)
8	7	m	46	(-)
9	5	f	48	(-)
10	3.5	f	60	(-)
11	6	f	250	(-)
12	5	f	180	(-)
13	4	f	245	(-)
14	3	m	270	(-)
15	7	f	240	(-)
16	4	f	245	(-)
17	3.5	m	260	(-)
18	6	m	240	(-)
19	4.5	f	50	(-)
20	5	f	250	(-)
minimum	3		46	
maximum	9		270	
mean	5.15		162.1	
SD	1.647		88.48	

No : number

CTACK : Cutaneous T cell-attracting chemokine(pg/ml)

Hist of atopy :History of atopy

f : female

m : male

(-) : negative

List of Abbreviation

AD	: Atopic dermatitis
ACD	: Allergic contact dermatitis
AEDS	: Atopic eczema/dermatitis syndrome
APC	: Antigen- presenting cell
actT	: Activated T cell
actTh1	: Activated Th1 cell
C5a	: Complement 5active
CAM	: Cell adhesion molecules
CCL27	: = CTACK
CCR	: Cysteine/ cysteine chemokine receptor
CCR3	: Receptor for eotaxin
CCR10	: = GPR-2= receptor of CTACK
CD4	: Cluster of differentiation antigen no. 4
CK	: Chemokine
CLA	: Cutaneous lymphocyte antigen
CTACK	: Cutaneous Tcell-Attracting Chemokine
DNA	: Deoxyribonucleic acid
DTH	: Delayed type hypersensitivity
EC	: Endothelial cell
ECM	: Extra-cellular matrix
ECP	: Eosinophil cationic protien
ELISA	: Enzyme linked immunosorbant assay
Fk-506	: Tacrolimus, a calcineurin inhibitor
GPR-2	: Orphan G protein-coupled receptor-2

ICAM-1	: Intercellular adhesion molecule-1
IFN-γ	: Interferon-gamma
IgE	: Immunoglobulin E
IL	: Interleukin
KC	: Keratinocytes
LC	: Langerhans cells
MDC	: Macrophage-derived chemokine
MHC	: Major histocompatibility complex
mRNA	: Messenger RNA
n	: Number
N	: Neutrophil
NS	: Not significant
Obj. SCORAD	: Objective SCORAD
PGE2	: Prostaglandin E2
rstT	: Resting T cell
S	: Significant
SCORAD	: Scoring Atopic Dermatitis
SSS	: Simple Scoring System
TARC	: Thymus and activation-regulated chemokine
Th0	: T helper type 0 cell
Th1	: T helper type 1 cell
Th2	: T helper type 2 cell
TNF-α	: Tumor necrosis factor-alfa
VCAM-1	: Vascular cell adhesion molecule-1

List of Tables

	Page
Table (1) : Spectrum of triggers of itch in AD.....	5
Table (2) : Diagnostic features of AD according to Hanifin and Rajka.....	13
Table (3) : Modified criteria for diagnosis of AD in infants...	14
Table (4) : Mean age of patients and controls.....	52
Table (5) : Comparison between AD patients group and controls as regards serum CTACK level.....	53
Table (6) : Comparison between values of serum CTACK levels in males and females subgroups in AD Patients group.....	54
Table (7) : Correlation between serum CTACK levels with SCORAD, Objective SCORAD &SSS in AD patients group.....	55
Table (8) : Correlation between IgE level with CTACK level, Objective SCORAD, SCORAD & SSS.....	56
Table (9) : Correlation between eosinophils percentage, its Absolute count with CTACK level & indices of AD severity in patients group.....	57

List of Figures

	Page
Figure (1) : Interaction of events in AD.....	21
Figure (2) : Correlation between CTACK level in sera of AD patients and controls.....	58
Figure (3) : Correlation between CTACK level in sera of AD patients with SCORAD index.....	59
Figure (4) : Correlation between CTACK level in sera of AD patients with SSS index.....	60
Figure (5) : Correlation between CTACK level in sera of AD patients with Objective SCORAD.....	61
Figure (6) : SCORAD Index	89

List of contents

	Page
Introduction and Aim of the Work.....	1
Review of Literature	
♦ Atopic Dermatitis :	
•Definition	3
•Epidemiology	3
•Etiology	4
•Clinical picture	11
•Diagnosis	12
•Histopathology of eczematous reaction	15
•Pathogenesis of atopic dermatitis	15
•Complication of AD	23
•Differential diagnosis	24
•Treatment	27
 ♦Cutaneous T cell-Attracting Chemokine (CTACK)	36
Subjects and Methods	42
Results	52
Discussion	62
Recommendations	69
Summary and Conclusion	70
References	72
Appendix	86
Arabic Summary	

INTRODUCTION AND AIM OF THE WORK

Introduction:

There are at least 13 scoring systems and indices for the assessment of disease severity in children with atopic dermatitis (AD) (*Chrman and William, 2000*).

Problems with inter- and intrapersonal variability become an unavoidable issue when using these subjective clinical indices. Thus, it would be useful for clinicians to have an objective laboratory marker that correlate with the various clinical aspects of AD, especially the inflammatory intensity of the disease. The T-helper lymphocyte type 2 (Th2)-related cytokines have been implicated in the pathogenesis of AD, and there is tremendous research activity in assessing the usefulness of these cytokines as markers of disease severity (*Leung et al., 2003*).

It was recently documented that serum concentrations of macrophage derived chemokine (MDC) and thymus and activation-regulated chemokine (TARC) correlated well with the intensity of AD (*Leung et al., 2002*). Nonetheless, MDC and TARC are not skin specific and serum MDC and TARC concentration may be altered by other

concurrent atopic disorders such as asthma and allergic rhinitis (*Sugawara et al., 2002*).

The cutaneous T cell-attracting chemokine (CTACK) was shown to be useful in assessing severity of AD in adults (*Kakinuma et al., 2003*).

CTACK functions by providing a skin-specific signal involved in localization of cutaneous lymphocyte-associated antigen (CLA) memory T cells to skin and provides a potential target to regulate cutaneous T Cell trafficking (*Morales et al., 1999*).

CTACK may therefore be a useful marker for eczema severity even in patients with coexisting atopy (*Kakinuma et al., 2003*).

Aim of the Work:

The aim of this study was to compare serum CTACK concentration between children with AD and healthy controls, and to assess the correlation between this inflammatory marker with various clinical parameters of AD in these children.

ATOPIC DERMATITIS

DEFINITION:

Atopic dermatitis (AD) is a chronic relapsing inflammatory skin disease in which T cells play a prominent modulating role (*Herz et al., 1998*).

EPIDEMIOLOGY:

There is increasing evidence that the frequency of atopic diseases has increased worldwide over the last few decades with prevalence rates ranging between 10-20% (*Leung and Bieber, 2003*).

CLASSIFICATION:

Recent studies have found that the frequency of non allergic AD ranges from 16% to 45%, depending on the country and the criteria for definition (*Novak and Bieber, 2003*).

The progression into other forms of allergy such as respiratory allergy is termed the allergic march (*Bjorksten., 1995*).

ETIOLOGY:

Allergic diseases have a multi-factorial origin and result from complex interaction between genetic host factors and environmental influences (*Kjellman., 1994*).

1. Genetic predisposition:

The high concordance rate of 77% in monozygotic twins (15% in dizygotic twins) and obvious familial occurrence support genetic predisposition (*Dahl et al., 1995*).

The strongest risk factor is a parental history of atopy. Maternal atopy is considered a great risk for atopic disorders in offspring than paternal atopy (*Schultz., 2000*).

2. Environmental and other major provocation factors:

There is increasing evidence that T cell response to environmental allergens is important in pathogenesis of AD (*Werfel and kapp, 1998*).

The recognized triggers of itch for AD are listed in table (1) (*Beltrani and Boguniewicz, 2003*):

Table (1): Spectrum of triggers of itch in AD.

(Not all patients will be triggered by each stimulus.)

- Xerosis.
- Irritants:
 - Soaps , detergents.
 - Disinfectants (e.g. chlorine).
 - Contact with:
 - Juices from fresh fruits, meats, vegetables, etc.
 - Occupational: chemicals, fumes, etc.
- Contact-/Aero-allergens:
 - House dust mites (contact > aeroallergens).
 - Pets (Cats > dogs > birds).
- Molds.
 - Human dander (dandruff).
- Microbial agents:
 - Staph.Aureus (as a pathogen or «superantigen»).
 - Viral infection (esp.URIs).
 - Mycologic:
 - Pityrosporum.
 - Candida (rarely).
 - Dermatophytes (rarely).
- Others:
 - Temperature /Climate.
 - Food (as contact irritant > vasodilator > allergen).
 - Psyche.
 - Hormones.

- ***Xerosis:***

Xerosis is considered to be the most common dermatosis of atopic individuals (*Tanaka et al., 1998*).

The xerotic epidermis with its resulting barrier abnormality provokes and sustains inflammation by activation of an epidermis-initiated cytokine cascade (*Effendy et al., 2000*).

The impaired barrier function of atopic skin allows greater absorption of irritant agents and contact allergens. Further, easier access for bacteria, viruses, and dermatophytes has been demonstrated, and each of these can trigger the release of pruritogenic, proinflammatory mediators (*Elias et al., 1999*).

- ***Contact and aero-allergens:***

With the exception of animal dander and dust mites, aero-allergens are rare cause of exacerbation of AD (*Clark and Adinoff, 1989*).

Contact with dust mites is most often a cause in individuals whose eczema involves the face, head, neck, and hands (areas that come in direct contact with fomites) (*Darsow et al., 1996*).