

#### **Ain Shams University**

Faculty of Engineering
Irrigation & Hydraulics Department

# EFFECT OF SKEWNESS ON SCOUR AROUND ARTIFICIAL SPUR DIKES

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree Civil Engineering – Irrigation and Hydraulics (2005)

By

Eng. Soliman Ragab Mohammed B. Sc. Civil Engineering

Supervised by

#### Prof. Dr. ING. Sameh D. Armanious

Professor of Irrigation & Drainage
Irrigation & Hydraulics Dept.,
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Eng. Yehia K. Abdel-Monim.

Associate Professor, Irrigation & Hydraulics Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Eng. Yasser M. Sadek.

Assistant Professor, Irrigation & Hydraulics Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University

Cairo, Egypt, 2005

## TITLE SHEET

Name : Soliman Ragab Mohammed

Degree : Master of Science

Department : Irrigation and Hydraulics

Faculty : Engineering

University : Ain Shams

Graduation Year : 1998

Degree Granting Year : 2005

## THE AUTHOR

Name :Soliman Ragab Mohammed

Date of birth :Master of Science

Place of birth :Irrigation and Hydraulics

:10<sup>th</sup> of Ramadan Institute of B. Sc.

Higher Technology, 1998

**STATEMENT** 

This thesis is submitted to the Irrigation and Hydraulics

Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University in the

partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of

Science.

The work in this thesis was carried out in the Irrigation and

Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams

University from January 2002 to July 2005.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a

qualification at any other university or institution.

Date: August 2005

Signature:

Name: Soliman Ragab Mohammed

### Acknowledgment

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to Prof. Dr. ING. Sameh D. Armanious, Professor of Irrigation & Drainage, Irrigation & Hydraulics Dept., Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University for his continuous guidance, expert advice and valuable suggestions that greatly enriched this work. I am deeply grateful to the kindness of Dr. Yehia K. Abdel-Monim, Associate Professor, Ain Shams University, for his continuous generous and sincere contributions to this work. I am much obliged to Dr. Yasser M. Sadek, Assistant Professor, Ain Shams University, for his keen support, sincere help and valuable efforts throughout this study.

It is also a pleasure to express my sincere thanks to the staff of the Hydraulics laboratory, Ain Shams University, for their kind help and cooperation.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my whole family for their patience and their sincere help in finishing this work.

### **Approval Sheet**

# EFFECT OF SKEWNESS ON SCOUR AROUND ARTIFICIAL SPUR DIKES

By

## Eng. Soliman Ragab Mohammed

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree in Civil Engineering – Irrigation and Hydraulics

#### **Examiners Committee**

Name	Occupation	Signature
Prof. Dr. Anas Aboulola Almolla	Prof.,	
	Al-Azhar University	
Prof. Dr. Soheir M. Kamel	Prof.,	
	Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. Sameh D. Armanious	Prof.,	
	Ain Shams University	

Cairo, Egypt 2005

## صفحة الموافقة على الرسالة

## تأثير حرجة الميل على النحر حول الرءوس الصناعية

رسالة مقدمة من المهندس/ سليمان رجب محمد بكالوريوس الهندسة المدنية (1998)

للحصول على درجة الماجستير

في الهندسة المدنية - ري وهيدروليكا (2005)

وقد تمت مناقشة الرسالة والموافقة عليها

لجنة الحكم والمناقشة:

الأســم الوظيفة التوقيع

أ.د. أنس أبو العلا الملا أستاذ

كلية الهندسة - جامعة الأزهر

أ.د. سهير محمد كامل أستاذ

كلية الهندسة - جامعة عين شمس

أ.د. سامح داود أرمانيوس أستاذ

كلية الهندسة - جامعة عين شمس

القاهرة – مصر 2005

#### EFFECT OF SKEWNESS ON SCOUR AROUND SPUR DIKES

Supervised by:

Prof. Dr. ING. Sameh D. Armanious.

Dr. Eng. Yehia K. Abdel-Monim.

Dr. Eng. Yasser M. Sadek.

Name : Soliman Ragab Mohammed

Degree : Master of Science

Department : Irrigation and Hydraulics

Faculty : Engineering

University : Ain Shams

Graduation Year : 1998

Degree Granting Year : 2005

#### **ABSTRACT**

Local scour around spur dike results in scour hole around the spur. This causes the depth of footing to decrease leading to structure failure in the end. This laboratory experimental study examines the effects of time and flow parameters on the scour hole dimensions. The dimensions under study are the maximum scour depth, the length of the scour hole, and the scoured volume. The study concentrates on the effect of the angle of skewness on the scour parameters. It was found that the relative maximum depth of scour increase with the increase of the angle of skewness till it reaches 90° then decreases with its increase. The relative length of scour and the relative volume of scour were found to be increased with the increase of the angle of skewness.

## **Table of Contents**

CHAPTER (1) INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.1 SCOPE OF WORK	1-2
1.2 SUMMARY OF THE STUDY	1-2
CHAPTER (2) LITERATURE REVIEW	2-1
2.1 SEDIMENT TRANSPORT	2-1
2.1.1 Scope of the Sediment Transport Problem	2-2
2.1.2 Sources of Sediments	2-3
2.1.3 Properties of Sediments	2-4
2.1.4 Sediments in Motion	2-5
2.1.5 Bed Load and Suspended Load	2-5
2.1.6 Examples of Research Work in Sediment	2-6
Transportation	
2.2 SCOUR	2-23
2.2.1 Types of Scour	2-26
2.2.2 Mechanism of Local Scour	2-27
2.2.3 Evaluation of Local Scour	2-28
2.2.4 Classification of Local Scour w.r.t. Sediment Motion	2-29
2.2.5 Classification of Local Scour w.r.t. Its Position from the	2-30
Structure	
2.2.6 Scour Upstream Structures	2-30
2.2.7 Scour Downstream Structures	2-32
2.2.7.1 Scour downstream weirs and spillways	2-33
2.2.7.2 Scour downstream jets	2-35
2.2.7.3 Scour downstream gates	2-38
2.2.8 Scour Around Structures	2-40
2.2.8.1 Scour around piers	2-42

2.3 SPUR DIKES	2-51
2.3.1 Scour Around Spur Dikes	2-57
2.4 COMMENTS	2-66
CHAPTER (3) THEORETICAL APPROACH	3-1
3.1 DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS	3-1
CHAPTER (4) EXPERIMENTAL WORK	4-1
4.1 THE APPARATUS	4-1
4.1.1 The Flume	4-1
4.1.2 The Feeding Pump Group	4-5
4.1.3 The Drainage System	4-7
4.1.4 The Measuring Devices	4-8
4.1.4.1 Measuring water depths and bed configuration	4-8
4.1.4.2 Measuring lengths	4-8
4.1.4.3 Measuring discharges	4-9
4.2 THE TEST MODELS	4-10
4.3 THE SOIL BED MATERIAL	4-13
4.4 THE TEST PROCEDURE	4-14
4.5 LIMITATIONS OF THE USED PARAMETERS	4-15
CHAPTER (5) EXPERIMENTAL DATA	5-1
CHAPTER (6) ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	6-1
6.1 BED CONFIGURATION	6-1
6.2 EFFECT OF TIME ON SCOUR PARAMETERS	6-6
6.2.1 Relative Maximum Depth of Scour	6-6
6.2.2 Relative Length of Scour	6-10
6.2.3 Relative Volume of Scour	6-13
6.3 EFFECT OF FROUDE NUMBER ON SCOUR	6-16
PARAMETERS	
64 EFFECT OF ANGLE OF SKEWNESS ON SCOUP	6 10

## **PARAMETERS**

6.4.1 Relative Maximum Depth of Scour	6-19
6.4.2 Relative Length of Scour and Relative Volume of Scour	6-20
CHAPTER (7) CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	7-1
7.1 CONCLUSIONS	7-1
7.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER STUDIES	7-2
REFERENCES	
ARABIC SUMMARY	

## **List of Symbols**

Symbol	Description	Dimension
AS	Angle of Skewness	
$C_{i}$	Coefficient	
D	Maximum Depth of Scour	L
$D_{50}$	Mean Sediment Diameter	L
F	Froude Number	
g	Gravitational Acceleration	$LT^{-2}$
Q	Discharge	$L^3T^{-1}$
$R_n$	Reynold's Number	
t	Time	T
$t_{o}$	Characteristic Time	T
U	Average Velocity of Flow	LT <sup>-1</sup>
V	Volume of Scour	$L^3$
У	Flow Depth	L
$\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}$	Sediment Submerged Weight	$ML^{-2}T^{-2}$
ν	Fluid Kinematic Viscosity	$ML^{-2}$
ρ	Fluid Mass Density.	$ML^{-3}$
$\rho_s$	Sediment Mass Density.	$ML^{-3}$

# **List of Figures**

Figure No.	Description	Page
4.1	The Flume	4-4
4.2	The Test Models	4-12
4.3	Sieve Analysis for the Used Sand	4-14
5.1	Bed Configuration (Q=32.5 L/s, y=8.5 cm, F=0.524,	
	t=5min, AS=150°)	5-3
5.2	Bed Configuration (Q=32.5 L/s, y=8.5 cm, F=0.524,	
	t=20min, AS=150°)	5-3
5.3	Bed Configuration (Q=32.5 L/s, y=8.5 cm, F=0.524,	
	t=40min, AS=150°)	5-4
5.4	Fig. (5.4) Bed Configuration (Q=32.5 L/s, y=8.5	
	cm, F=0.524, t=60min, AS=150°)	5-4
5.5	Bed Configuration (Q=32.5 L/s, y=8.5 cm, F=0.524,	
	t=90min, AS=150°)	5-5
5.6	Variation of D (cm) with t (min) at different	
	Discharges (AS=30°)	5-5
5.7	Variation of D (cm) with t (min) at different	5-6
	Discharges (AS=60°)	
5.8	Variation of D (cm) with t (min) at different	5-6
	Discharges (AS=90°)	
5.9	Variation of D (cm) with t (min) at different	5-7
	Discharges (AS=120°)	
5.10	Variation of D (cm) with t (min) at different	5-7
	Discharges (AS=150°)	

5.11 Variation of L (cm) with t (min) at different 5-8 Discharges (AS=30°) 5.12 Variation of L (cm) with t (min) at different 5-8 Discharges (AS=60°) 5.13 Variation of L (cm) with t (min) at different 5-9 Discharges (AS=90°) 5.14 Variation of L (cm) with t (min) at different 5-9 Discharges (AS=120°) Variation of L (cm) with t (min) at different 5-10 5.15 Discharges (AS=150°) Variation of V (cm3) with t (min) at different 5-10 5.16 Discharges (AS=30°) 5.17 Variation of V (cm3) with t (min) at different 5-11 Discharges (AS=60°) 5.18 Variation of V (cm3) with t (min) at different 5-11 Discharges (AS=90°) 5.19 Variation of V (cm3) with t (min) at different 5-12 Discharges (AS=120°) Variation of V (cm3) with t (min) at different 5-12 5.20 Discharges (AS=150°) 6.1 Variation of D/y with t/to at different Froude 6-7 Numbers (AS=30°) Variation of D/y with t/to at different Froude 6-8 6.2 Numbers (AS=60°) 6.3 Variation of D/y with t/to at different Froude 6-8 Numbers (AS=90°) 6.4 Variation of D/y with t/to at different Froude 6-9 Numbers (AS=120°)

6.5	Variation of D/y with t/to at different Froude 6-9
	Numbers (AS=150°)
6.6	Variation of L/y with t/to at different Froude 6-10
	Numbers (AS=30°)
6.7	Variation of L/y with t/to at different Froude 6-11
	Numbers (AS=60°)
6.8	Variation of L/y with t/to at different Froude 6-11
	Numbers (AS=90°)
6.9	Variation of L/y with t/to at different Froude 6-12
	Numbers (AS=120°)
6.10	Variation of L/y with t/to at different Froude 6-12
	Numbers (AS=150°)
6.11	Variation of V/y <sup>3</sup> with t/to at different Froude 6-14
	Numbers (AS=30°)
6.12	Variation of V/y <sup>3</sup> with t/to at different Froude 6-14
	Numbers (AS=60°)
6.13	Variation of V/y <sup>3</sup> with t/to at different Froude 6-15
	Numbers (AS=90°)
6.14	Variation of V/y <sup>3</sup> with t/to at different Froude 6-15
	Numbers (AS=120°)
6.15	Variation of V/y <sup>3</sup> with t/to at different Froude 6-16
	Numbers (AS=150°)
6.16	Variation of D/y with F at different Angles of 6-17
	Skewness $(t/t_0=18)$
6.17	Variation of L/y with F at different Angles of 6-18
	Skewness $(t/t_0=18)$
6.18	Variation of V/y3 with F at different Angles of 6-18
	Skewness $(t/t_0=18)$