

Mobile-bearing versus Fixed-bearing Total Knee Arthroplasty

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of MD degree
In Orthopaedics

By

Fady Nabil Youssef Sabry
MB.Bch, M.Sc. (Orthopaedics)

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Morrah

Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine -Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Hesham Mesbah

Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine -Cairo University

Dr. Mahmoud Abdel-Karim

Assistant Professor of Orthopaedic Surgery
Faculty of Medicine -Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University
2016

Acknowledgement

This work would not have been possible without the guidance and the help of several individuals who in one way or another contributed and extended their valuable assistance in the preparation and completion of this study.

First and foremost, my utmost gratitude to ***Prof. Dr. Ahmed Morrah*** for giving me the advantage of working under his supervision. He saved no effort to guide me in every aspect. His advice, valuable suggestions and criticism had a profound impact on my career.

Prof. Dr. Hesham Mesbah who has been a fatherly support.

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Abdel-karim who took the time and effort to pass on his knowledge and experience, and his guidance through every step of this study.

I would like to thank my wife for her personal support and great patience at all times. My parents; my father who has always been a role model and a guide through every stage in my life and My mother.

Above all, I thank **Allah**, for answering my prayers and for giving me the strength and will to go on.

Fady Nabil Youssef

List of Contents

Title	Page
▪ List of Abbreviations	I
▪ List of Tables	III
▪ List of Figures	VI
▪ List of Charts	XII
▪ Introduction	1
▪ Aim of the Work	3
▪ Review of Literature	
- Relevant Anatomy	4
- Biomechanics of Mobile- and Fixed-bearing Total Knee Arthroplasty.....	10
- Indications and Contraindications of Total Knee Arthroplasty	25
- Complications of Total Knee Arthroplasty	27
- Previous Results in literature review	29
- Functional Evaluation of Total Knee Arthroplasty	36
▪ Patients and Methods	39
▪ Results	71
▪ Complications	93
▪ Discussion	104
▪ Case Presentation	113
▪ Summary	137
▪ References	150
▪ ArabicSummary	٣-١

List of Abbreviations

A/AA/PROM	Active/Active Assisted/Passive Range of Motion
ACL	Anterior Cruciate Ligament
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
A-P	Antero-Posterior
DVT	Deep Venous Thrombosis
FB	Fixed-Bearing
HSSKS	Hospital for Special Surgery Knee Score
I&D	Irrigation and Debridement
KOOS	Knee Injury and osteoarthritis outcome score
KSS	Knee Society Score
LAQ	Long Arc Quads
LCL	Lateral Collateral Ligament
LPS	Legacy Posterior Stabilized
MB	Mobile-Bearing
MCL	Medial Collateral Ligament
OA	Osteoarthritis
OKS	Oxford Knee Score
OPL	oblique Popliteal Ligament
PCL	Posterior Cruciate Ligament
PE	Pulmonary Embolism
PJI	Prosthetic Joint Infections
PMS	Postero Medial Capsule
PROM	Passive range of motion
PS	Posterior stabilized

List of Abbreviations

RCTs	Randomized controlled trials
ROM	Range of Motion
SD	Standard Deviation
SLR	Straight Leg Raises
TKA	Total knee Arthroplasty
WOMAC	Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Index

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (1):	Short term studies comparing the outcomes of MB TKA versus FB TKA ...	30
Table (2):	Mid-term studies comparing outcomes of MB TKA versus FB TKA.....	32
Table (3):	Long-term studies comparing outcomes of MB TKA versus FB TKA.....	33
Table (4):	Meta-analysis comparing outcomes of MB versus FB prosthesis	34
Table (5):	Demographic characteristics of the study group (n=40)	40
Table (6):	Distribution of affected Side in patient group....	40
Table (7):	Patient evaluation table of this study	64
Table (8):	Preoperative demographics and functional scores of the two groups of the study.....	72
Table (9):	Distribution of final active flexion between the two groups by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40)	74
Table (10):	Comparison of KSS (knee score) between the two study groups by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40)	75
Table (11):	Group I cases had statistically significant higher mean KSS (knee score) at 6 weeks postoperative, 1 year postoperative than preoperative	76
Table (12):	Group II cases had statistically significant higher mean KSS (knee score) at 6 weeks postoperative, 1 year postoperative compared to preoperative scores.....	77

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (13):	Pairwise comparison of mean KSS (knee score) pre- and post-operative by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40).....	78
Table (14):	Comparison of KSS (Function) between the two study groups by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40)	79
Table (15):	Pairwise comparison of mean KSS (Function) pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among group I cases (n=20).....	80
Table (16):	Pairwise comparison of mean KSS (Function) pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among group II cases (n=20)	81
Table (17):	Pairwise comparison of mean KSS (Function) pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40)	82
Table (18):	Comparison of WOMAC score between the two study groups by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40)	83
Table (19):	Pairwise comparison of mean WOMAC score pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among group I cases (n=20).....	84
Table (20):	Pairwise comparison of mean WOMAC score pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among group II cases (n=20)	85
Table (21):	Pairwise comparison of mean WOMAC score pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40)	86

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (22):	Comparison of OKS between the two study groups by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40).....	87
Table (23):	Pairwise comparison of mean OKS pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among group I cases (n=20).....	88
Table (24):	Pairwise comparison of mean OKS pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among group II cases (n=20)	89
Table (25):	Pairwise comparison of mean OKS pre and post-operative by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40)	90
Table (26):	Comparison between pre-operative, 6 weeks follow-up and 1 year follow-up outcome parameters for the two study groups.....	92
Table (27):	Comparison between the results and complications of our study and other similar studies	110

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (1):	The trans-epicondylar axis and its relation to the posterior condylar line in normal knees	5
Fig. (2):	Diagram showing movements of the knee with 6° degrees of freedom of motion in three planes	6
Fig. (3):	Status of different knee ligaments during flexion and extension. B also shows Screw-home locking mechanism	7
Fig. (4):	Femoral rollback during flexion	8
Fig. (5):	(a) The two cruciate ligaments guide femoral roll back in flexion. (b) four-bar linkage formed by the fixed distance between femoral attachments of the cruciate ligaments ..	9
Fig. (6):	The mobile polyethyleneinsert allowing rotation over the tibial tray.....	10
Fig. (7):	Plain X-ray A-P standing view of the left knee with advanced OA and varus deformity....	44
Fig. (8):	Plain X-ray lateral supine view of the left knee showing advanced tricompartmental OA.....	45
Fig. (9):	Long leg film A-P view showing advanced OA.....	46
Fig. (10):	Plain x-ray Right knee axial view showing advanced patella-femoral OA	47
Fig. (11):	The MB polyethylene insert fixed in place allowing the 25° of axial rotation over the tibial component.....	49

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (12):	The whole MB prosthesis assembled.....	50
Fig. (13):	The knee stopper used to keep the knee flexed and the tourniquet applied.....	51
Fig. (14):	Mid-line skin incision showing the VMO, quadriceps tendon and the patella.....	52
Fig. (15):	The quadriceps tendon being cut in the mid-line then medial parapatellararthrotomy used....	52
Fig. (16):	The trial of the femoral component being applied following femoral preparation.....	53
Fig. (17):	Tibial preparation.....	53
Fig. (18):	OKS.....	57,58.
Fig. (19):	KSS	59
Fig. (20):	WOMAC.....	60-63.
Fig. (21):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.32.....	95
Fig. (22):	Post-operative plain X-rays A-P and lateral views of case no.32.....	95
Fig. (23):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral view of case no.32.....	96
Fig. (24):	1 year follow-up photo of the right knee of case no.32. Note the residual swelling of the leg.....	96
Fig. (25):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.36.....	98
Fig. (26):	Post-operative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.36.....	99

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (27):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no. 36 showing no signs of loosening.....	99
Fig. (28):	2 weeks follow-up photo of case no.36. Note the superficial wound infection and the discharge	100
Fig. (29):	1 year follow-up photo of case no. 36 showing complete healing of the infection	100
Fig. (30):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.35	102
Fig. (31):	Post-operative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.35 showing satisfactory joint replacement.....	102
Fig. (32):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no. 35.....	103
Fig. (33):	1 year follow-up photos of case no.35.....	103
Fig. (34):	1 year follow-up photos of case no.35.....	103
Fig. (35):	1 year follow-up photos of case no.35.....	103
Fig. (36):	Pre-operative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.2.....	113
Fig. (37):	Postoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.2. The patient was able to achieve full extension immediate postoperative	114
Fig. (38):	1 year follow-up photos of the right knee of case no.2 showing active flexion of 112°	114

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (39):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.2. No radiological signs of loosening was detected at the final follow-up....	115
Fig. (40):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and Lateral views of case no.12	116
Fig. (41):	Post-operative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.12	117
Fig. (42):	One year follow up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views	117
Fig. (43):	1 year follow-up photo of the right knee of case no.12 showing active flexion of 105°	118
Fig. (44):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.15	119
Fig. (45):	Post-operative plain x-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.15. Due to the defect in the medial tibial plateau, autograft was used and was fixed using 2 screws.....	120
Fig. (46):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.15	120
Fig. (47):	1 year follow-up photo of the left knee of case no.15 showing active flexion of 100°	121
Fig. (48):	Preoperative A-P and lateral views X-rays of case no.31 showing advanced tricompartmental osteoarthritis and valgus deformity.....	122
Fig. (49):	Post-operative plain X-rays A-P and Lateral views of case no.31	123

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (50):	1 year follow-up plain X-rays A-P and lateral views of case no.31	123
Fig. (51):	Pre-operative photo of the right knee of case no.31 showing flexion deformity of 15°	124
Fig. (52):	Post-operative photo of the right knee of case no.31 showing correction of the flexion deformity.....	124
Fig. (53):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.4 showing tricompartmental OA and varus deformity	125
Fig. (54):	Post-operative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.4 showing satisfactory joint replacement.....	126
Fig. (55):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.4 with no signs of loosening...	126
Fig. (56):	1 year follow-up photo of case no.4 showing active flexion of 110°	127
Fig. (57):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.19	128
Fig. (58):	Post-operative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.19	129
Fig. (59):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.19	129
Fig. (60):	1 year follow-up photos of the left knee of case no.19 showing active flexion of 120°. Note full extension of the left knee compared to the flexion deformity of the right knee	130

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (61):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.24.....	131
Fig. (62):	Preoperative photo of the left knee of case no.24. Note preoperative flexion deformity of 15°.....	132
Fig. (63):	Post-operative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.24. Note full extension achieved on the lateral view.....	132
Fig. (64):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.24.....	133
Fig. (65):	1 year follow-up of the left knee of case no. 24 showing full extension while doing straight leg raising.....	133
Fig. (66):	Preoperative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.40.....	134
Fig. (67):	Post-operative plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.40.....	135
Fig. (68):	1 year follow-up plain X-ray A-P and lateral views of case no.40.....	135
Fig. (69):	1 year follow-up photo of the left knee of case no.40 showing active flexion of 110°	136

List of Charts

Chart No.	Title	Page
Chart (1):	Showing posterior femoral translation on the passive path of the (A) lateral and (B) medial femoral condyles.....	14
Chart (2):	The chart shows posterior femoral translation under isolated 400 N quadriceps load of the (A) lateral and (B) medial condyles.....	16
Chart (3):	The chart shows posterior femoral translation under isolated hamstring loads (200 N) of the (A) lateral and (B) medial condyles.....	17
Chart (4):	The chart shows posterior femoral translation under combined 400 N quadriceps and 200 N hamstring loads of the (A) lateral and (B) medial condyles	18
Chart (5):	The chart shows tibial rotation of intact and reconstructed knees (A) on the neutral path and (B) under combined muscle loads.....	20
Chart (6):	Implant distribution in the study groups (n=40).....	71
Chart (7):	Patients' demographics of the two groups of the study	73
Chart (8):	Preoperative functional scores of the two groups of the study.....	73
Chart (9):	Distribution of Final active flexion between the two groups by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40).	74
Chart (10):	Comparison of KSS (knee score) between the two study groups by ANOVA test among all cases (n=40).	75