

شبكة المعلومات الجامعية







شبكة المعلومات الجامعية التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم

قسم

نقسم بالله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها على هذه الأفلام قد أعدت دون أية تغيرات



يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيدا عن الغبار في درجة حرارة من ١٥-٥٠ مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من ٢٠-٠٠% To be Kept away from Dust in Dry Cool place of 15-25- c and relative humidity 20-40%



بعض الوثائـــق الإصليــة تالفــة



بالرسالة صفحات لم ترد بالإصل

Physiological Studies on Chemically Induced Systemic Resistance against Charcoal Rot Disease in Sunflower Plant

2000

Presented by

Ahmed Mahmoud Saleh Mohamed

A Thesis Submitted to Faculty of Science

In Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Science (Plant Physiology)

Botany Department Faculty of Science Cairo University

APROVAL SHEET FOR SUBMISSION

Thesis Title: Physiological Studies on Chemically Induced Systemic Resistance against **Charcoal Rot Disease in Sunflower Plant**

Name of Candidate: Ahmed Mahmoud Saleh Mohamed

has been approved for submission by the This thesis supervisors:

Prof. Dr. Mahmoud M. A. Gabr

Professor of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Signature: 14.19. A Russ

Prof. Dr. Shahnaz A. M. Al - Wakeel

Professor of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Signature: Shahnaz Al-Wakeel

Dr. Walid M. Abu-El-Soud

Lecturer of Plant Physiology, Faculty of Science, Cairo University Abu-El-Soud

Prof. Dr. Mimona A. Kord

Chairman of Botany Department Faculty of Science-Cairo University

LIST OF EXAMINERS

1) Prof. Dr. María Victoria Gómez Rodríguez

Department of Animal Biology, Plant Biology and Ecology Faculty of Science, University of Jaén (Spain)

Address: Building B-3. Paraje Las Lagunillas S /N. 23 071 Jaén, Spain

Tel: 953-21 27 85

Fax: +34 953 211 873

Email: mvgomez@ujaen.es

2) Prof. Dr. Manuel Reigosa Roger

Department of Plant Biology and Soil Science

Univeristy of Vigo (Spain)

Address: As Lagoas, Marcosende S / N E-36310 Vigo , Spain

Tel: +34 986 812 616

Fax: +34 986 812 556

Email: mreigosa@uvigo.es

3) a- Prof. Dr. Mahmoud M. A. Gabr

Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University

b- Prof. Dr. Shahnaz A.M. Al-Wakeel

Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University

Acknowledgment

First of all, Praise God almighty, the most merciful, for his countless blessing, help and generosity.

Second I would also like to thank my supervisor Prof. Dr. Mahmoud Gabr, Professor of Plant Physiology, Botany Department Faculty of Science, Cairo University, for his supervision and continuous encouragement.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Shahnaz Al-Wakeel, Professor of Plant Physiology, Botany Department Faculty of Science, Cairo University, for her supervision, continuous caring and guidance discussion. I am very indebted to her for her continuous encouragement, unforgettable help and kind feeling throughout the course of this study.

My thanks also go to my supervisor Dr. Walid Abu-El-Soud, Lecturer of Plant Physiology, Botany Department Faculty of Science, Cairo University, for his supervision and helpful cooperation.

I would like to thank the administrative leaders of Faculty of Science, Cairo University on the financial support for this study by the Graduate Research Challenge Fund (GRCF).

Finally, thanks extend to all my colleagues who helped me during this work.

CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	
NTRODUCTION	1
MATERIALS AND METHODS	21
1. Plant Material and Pathogen	21
1.1. Seed Pretreatment	21
1.2. Preparation of Pathogen Inoculum	21
2. Greenhouse Pot Experiment	22
2.1. Symptoms Evaluation	23
2.2. Plant Growth Criteria	23
2.3. Extraction and Determination of Photosynthetic Pigments	24
3. Biochemical Analyses	25
3.1. Extraction and Determination of Carbohydrates	25
3.1.1 Extraction of soluble and total sugars	25
3.1.2. Determination of reducing value	26
3.2. Extraction and Determination of Proline	27
3.3. Extraction and Determination of Proteins	27
3.4. Enzymes Extraction and Assay	29
3.4.1. L-Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL)	29
3.4.2. Peroxidase (POD)	30
3.4.3. Polyphenol oxidase (PPO)	30
3.4.4. Chitinase	31
3.4.5. β-1,3-Glucanase	32
3.5. Extraction and Determination of Phenolics	33
3.6. Extraction and Determination of Flavonoids	34
3.7. Extraction and Determination of Anthocyanins	34

4. HPLC Analyses	35
5. Peroxidase Isozymes Analysis	36
6. Expression Analysis of <i>Ha-Def</i> and <i>Ha-hsr</i> Genes	37
6.1. RNA Isolation	37
6.2. First Strand cDNA Synthesis from Total RNA	38
6.3. Semi-Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	39
6.4. Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	40
7. Statistical Analysis	40
RESULTS	41
1. Growth Response of Sunflower Plant	41
2. Photosynthetic Pigment Contents	47
3. Carbohydrates Composition	50
4. Proline Content	54
5. Protein Composition	54
6. Activities of Induced Enzymes	58
7. Phenolic Composition	64
8. Flavonoids And Anthocyanins Contents	67
9. HPLC Analyses	70
10. Peroxidase Isozyme Pattern	74
11. The Expression of <i>Ha-Def</i> and <i>Ha-hsr</i>	74
DISCUSSION	77
REFERENCES	99
	127
SUMMARY	
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Title	Page
1	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the development of charcoal rot disease symptoms on the five-week-old sunflower plants.	42
2	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the growth parameters of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	45
3	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the contents of photosynthetic pigments in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	48
4	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the carbohydrates composition in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	51
5	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the content of proline in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and M. phaseolina-infected sunflower plants.	55
6	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the protein composition in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	56
7	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase activities in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	59
8	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the PAL, POD and PPO activities in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	62
9	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the phenolic composition in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	65
10	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the contents of flavonoids and anthocyanins in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	68
11	The qualitative and quantitative analysis of phenolic compounds in the leaves of control, 1.0 mM SA- and 0.3 mM COU-treated 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants using HPLC.	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Title	Page
1	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the charcoal rot disease symptoms.	42
2	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the growth parameters of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	46
3	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the contents of photosynthetic pigments in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	49
4	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the carbohydrates composition in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	52
5	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the content of prolin in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	55
6	Effect of SA and COU seed treatments on the protein composition in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> infected sunflower plans.	57
7	Effects of M . phaseolina infection, SA and COU treatments on the activities of chitinase and β -1,3-glucanase in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and M . phaseolina infected sunflower plants.	60
8	Effects of <i>M. phaseolina</i> infection, SA and COU treatments on the activities of PAL, POD and PPO in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> infected sunflower plants.	63
9	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the phenolic composition in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and M. phaseolina-infected sunflower plants.	66
10	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the contents of flavonoids and anthocyanins in the leaves of 5-week-old healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	69
11	HPLC chromatograms of phenolic compounds in the leaves of control, 1.0 mM SA- and 0.3 mM COU-treated healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants.	72

LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo	Title	Page
1	The development of the necrotic lesions on the stem of untreated, 1.0 mM SA-treated and 0.3 mM COU-treated 5-week-old sunflower plants inoculated with <i>M. phaseolina</i> microsclerotia bearing-toothpicks.	43
2	Effects of SA and COU seed treatments on the growth of 5-week-old healthy sunflower plants.	43
3	Native PAGE (10% T) profile of peroxidase isozymes in leaves of control, 1.0 mM SA- and 0.3 mM COU-treated healthy and <i>M. phaseolina</i> -infected sunflower plants using ABTS as substrate.	75
4	Expression of <i>Ha-Def</i> and <i>Ha-hsr</i> genes in the leaves of control, 1.0 mM SA- and 0.3 mM COU-treated healthy and M. phaseolina-infected sunflower plants.	75

ABSTRACT

Induction of systemic resistance to charcoal rot disease caused by Macrophomina phaseolina (Tassi) Goidanich in sunflower (Helianthus annuus L.) was studied after priming the seeds with salicylic acid (SA) and coumarin (COU) at three different levels (0.3, 1.0 and 3.0 mM), under greenhouse conditions. Treatments of sunflower seeds with SA or COU significantly decreased disease severity and improved the host plant growth. The protective effect of these treatments is due to their ability to stimulate the induction of pathogenesis related proteins (β -1,3-glucanase, chitinase, phenylalanin ammonia lyase and peroxidase), polyphenol oxidase and phytoalexins (ayapin, scopoletin and scopolin), as well as soluble sugars, proline, phenolic glycosides, flavonoids and anthocyanins. The isozyme pattern of peroxidase revealed the induction of two novel isoforms by both 0.3 mM COU and 1.0 mM SA treatments in healthy or infected plants. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis indicated that COUinduced resistance is associated with the activation of the Ha-Def gene, while the expression of the Ha-hsr gene was only detected under SA treatment.