Single Versus Multi Port Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of MD degree in **General Surgery**

By

Islam Mohammad Noaman

M.B.B.Ch, M.Sc of general surgery

UNDER SUPERVISION OF

Prof. Dr. Moumen Mohamed Shafeek Abou Shellou

Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Abdul Rahman Mohamed Al Maraghi

Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mohammed Kamal

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Wafi Fouad Salib

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Mahmoud Saad Farahat

Assistant Professor of General Surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2013



طه ـ ۱۱۶

Acknowledgment

Praise be to ALLAH, the lord of the worlds. May He grant us the wisdom to find the truth and the strength to make it endure.

I would like to thank my esteemed **Professor Doctor Moumen Abou** Shellou for his unwavering support during the preparation of this work. May his wisdom grace our practice of surgery for many years to come. Both as a mentor and a man, you are indeed a role model.

I would also like to thank my revered and honored **Professor Doctor** Abdul Rahman Al Maraghi for showing me that order and discipline are the fastest routes to success. His continued guidance has been invaluable to me in showing how to become a great surgeon. Thank you for your patience with me, my esteemed professor.

I would also like to thank assistant **Professor Doctor Ahmed Kamal** for his contribution to this work and for his contribution to the improvement of myself both as a person and a surgeon. **Doctor Ahmad**, I would like to thank you deeply and sincerely for personally assisting me with my first ever common bile duct exploration. To you I owe a massive debt of gratitude.

I must also thank assistant **Professor Doctor Wafi Fouad**, for his massive effort in bringing together this study, for his effort in the phase of data collection and analysis, and for supporting me throughout the completion of this thesis. This work would never have seen the light of day without you, and for that I thank you my mentor.

I would like to also thank Assistant **Professor Doctor Mahmoud Saad** for his immense effort in reviewing this work despite his intensely constrained schedule. Thank you for finishing the reviewing of the thesis on such short notice.

I would like to thank my wife for her unwavering support and encouragement throughout this work, all the while taking care of our newly born son almost by herself. You need to know, Lamya, that whatever measure of success I have achieved or will achieve is impossible without you, so thanks for being there.

I would also like to thank my parents for putting up with my ungodly schedule, and for always pushing me to be all that I can be.

Finally, I would like to thank my son, Adam. Though he's too young tell the difference between his elbow and an escalator, has no notion of danger or self preservation and considers all brands of hand cream (and anything else he can get his hands on) edible, he is the best reminder that God's best and most wonderful gifts sometimes come with a ribbon of tousled hair on top.

Islam Mohammad Noaman

List of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgment	
List of Abbreviations	i
List of Figures	iv
List of Tables	ix
Introduction and Aim of The Work	1
Aim of the Study	3
Review of Literature:	4
Chapter 1: Anatomy of the biliary system	4
Chapter 2: Diagnosis of gallstone disease	18
Chapter 3: Management of gallstone disease	60
Chapter 4: Various methods of laparoscopic	
Cholecystectomy	101
Patients and methods	135
Results	146
Discussion	160
Summary	170
Conclusion	176
Recommndations	178
References	179
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

AAC : Acute Acalculous Cholecystitis

AC : Acute Cholecystitis

ALT : Alanine Aminotransferase

AP : Acute Pancreatitis

APACHE: Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation

ASA : American Society of Anesthesiology

BMI : Body Mass Index BP : Blood Pressure

BSEP : Bile salt export pump function

BUN : Blood Urea Nitrogen CBD : Common Bile Duct

CBDS : Common Bile Duct Stone

CCK : Cholecystokinin

CHD : Common Hepatic Duct

CRP : C reactive Protein

CT : Computed Tomography EHL : Electrohydraulic Lithotripsy

ELSA : Endoscopic and Laparoscopic Society of Asia

EN : Enteral Nutrition

E-NOTES: Embryonic natural orifice transumbilical

endoscopic surgery

ERCP : Endoscpoic Retrograde Cholangiopancreatography

ES : Endoscopic Sphincterotomy

EST : Endoscopic Biliary Sphincterotomy ESWL : Extracorporeal Shockwave Lithotripsy

EUS : Endoscopic UltrasoundFGF : Fibroblast Growth FactorHDL : High Density Lipoproteins

HIDA : Hepatobiliary Iminodiacetic Acid

HMG : CoA- Hydroxymethylglutaryl coenzyme A

ICU : Intensive Care Unit

IDUS : Intraductal ultrasonographyIOC : Intraoperative Cholangiography

IV : Intravenous

List of Abbreviations (Cont.)

LC : Laparoscpic Cholecystectomy LDL : Low Density Lipoproteins

LESS: Laparoendoscopic Single Site Surgery
LESSCAR: Laparo-Endoscopic Single Site Surgery

Consortium for Assessment and Research

LFT : Liver Function Tests

MODS : Multiple Organ Dysfunction Syndrome

MRCP : Magnetic Resonance Cholangiopancreatography

MRI : Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRSA : Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus

MS : Mirizzi Syndrome

MTBE : Methyl Tetra Butyl Ether

NOSCAR: Natural Orifice Surgery Consortium for

Assessment and Reasearch

NOTES : Natural Orifice Transluminal Endoscopic Surgery

NOTUS : Natural orifice transumbilical surgery

NPO : Nothing per Os

OCBDE : Open Common Bile Duct Exploration

OF : Organ Failure

OPUS : One Port Umbilical Surgery

PAS : Periodic acid-Schiff
PP : Pancreatic Pseudocyst

PTC : Percutaneous Transhepatic Cholangiography

RHA : Right Hepatic Artery

SILS : Single Incison Laparoscopic Surgery

SIMPLE : Single-incision multi-port laparo endoscopic surgery

SIRS : Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome

SLaPP : Single Port Laparoscopic ProcedureSOFA : Sequential Organ Failure Assesment

SPA : Single Port Access

TC-CBDE: Transcystic common bile duct exploration TPLC: Three Port Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy

TPN : Total Parenteral Nutrition

TVC : Transvaginal Cholecystectomy

List of Abbreviations (Cont.)

UDCA : Ursodeoxycholic acid

UK : United Kingdom

US : Ultrasound

VRE : Vancomycin Resistant Enterococcus

List of Figures

Fig.	Title	Page
(1)	A.Blood supply of the gall bladder	5
	B. Venous drainage of the gall bladder.	
	C. Blood supply in relation to surrounding	
	structures.	
(2)	Embryo (stage 13/14) showing gall bladder and liver	7
(3)	Embryo (stage 22) showing gall bladder and liver	8
(4)	A 9-mm embryo (approximately 36 days).	8
(5)	Stages in development of the pancreas.	9
(6)	Pancreas during the sixth week of development.	9
(7)	Types of Extrahepatic Biliary Atresia.	10
(8)	Some common congenital anomalies of the	12
, ,	gall bladder	
(9)	Blood supply of the gall bladder	13
(10)	Variations in blood supply to the gall bladder	15
(11)	Arteries supplying the biliary duct and	16
	lymphatic drainage of gallbladder and bile duct.	
(12)	Major factors in cholesterol gallstone	26
	formation are supersaturation of the bile with	
	cholesterol, increased deoxycholate formation	
	and absorption, cholesterol crystal nucleation	
	and impaired gallbladder function	
(13)	Two faceted cholesterol gallstones.	27
(14)	Natural History of gallstones	29
(15)	Ultrasound scan in acute cholecystitis.	33
(16)	Cholescintigraphy (99m Tc Iodida).	34
(17)	Ultrasound scan showing dilated intrahepatic	37
	ducts and common bile duct (marked + +)	

		_
Fig.	Title	Page
(18)	Jaundice	37
(19)	Classification of Mirizzi's syndrome	39
(20)	Abdominal CT of pancreatitis (A) and	44
	pancreatitis with thrombosed superior	
	mesenteric vein (B)	
(21)	Gallstone ileus in a 46-year-old woman.	48
(22)	Ultrasound scan of gallbladder showing three	50
	stones (arrowed) which cast acoustic shadows	
(23)	A thick-slab image (A) of the biliary tree after	52
	right hemihepatectomy for cholangiocellular	
	carcinoma and antecolic biliointestinal	
	anastomosis (arrow) shows no gross	
	abnormality of the biliary tree of the liver	
(2.1)	remnant.	~~
(24)	MRCP shows the abrupt stenosis of the biliary	53
	tree at the biliodigestive anastomosis after	
(25)	resection of a Klatskin tumor	
(25)	EUS of CBD with hyperechoic focus and	56
(26)	acoustic shadowing consistent with CBD stone	5 0
(26)	Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreato-	58
(27)	graphy, normal appearances.	59
(27)	Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiogram	39
	showing normal right and left intrahepatic ducts and common bile duct, and free flow of	
	contrast into duodenum.	
(28)	Biliary enteric anastomoses. There are three	67
(20)	types.	07
(29)	Algorithm for management of CBD stones.	69
(30)	4-Wire NCompass TM Nitinol Tipless Stone	72
(50)	Extractor Typess Stone	, 2
(31)	Transcystic Common bile duct exploration	73

Fig	Title	Dogo
Fig.	Tiue	Page
(32)	Intraoperative cholangiogram shows stones (<i>long arrow</i>) impacted in common channel as well as dilated main and accessory (<i>short</i>	74
	arrow) pancreatic ducts	
(33)	The "critical view of safety" is obtained when the triangle of Calot is dissected such that only two structures are present and the base of the liver can be viewed through the triangle of Calot when viewing it from the anterior position	102
(34)	Duct of Luschka (arrow) draining bile from the liver bed after gallbladder removal	104
(35)	Operating room setup for laparoscopic cholecystectomy.	105
(36)	Two options demonstrating possible port placement, depending on the surgeon's preference.	107
(37)	Epigastric trocar just right of the falciform ligament	107
(38)	The three working ports in position	108
(39)	'Mickey Mouse' configuration of ports in SIMPLE	111
(40)	The original R-Port	112
(41)	The Tri-Port and Quad-Port	113
(42)	Articulating instruments	114
(43)	Prebent instruments	114
(44)	Position of patient in single port laparoscopic cholecystectomy	115
(45)	Incision for single port access	116
(46)	Single port deployed into the abdomen	117

Fig.	Title	Page
(47)	Camera and instrument positions facilitating	117
	movement of instruments along the vertical	
	plane.	
(48)	Cephalad elevation of the gallbladder via a	118
	fundal traction suture (arrow).	
(49)	Situations using single-port device within	119
	instruments (A) Triangulation not possible	
	with regular instruments (B) In-line use is the	
	option when using regular instruments (C)	
	Triangulation is possible using articulated	
(50)	instruments	100
(50)	Clip applier with 10-mm jaws and 5-mm shaft	120
(51)	Sword fighting or chopsticks effect	121
(52)	Pseudotriangulation The Control of t	122
(53)	Endo Eye (TM) with deflectable tip and	123
(5.4)	coaxial cable	104
(54)	Puppetry traction with sutures	124
(55)	Endo grab (TM)	125
(56)	Inserting the dissector and the optic through	127
	the posterior fornix of the vagina (uterus on	
	the right side)	101
(57)	The algorithm for management of suspected	131
(50)	bile duct injury.	1.10
(58)	SILS port in place with the 10mm scope and	140
(=0)	roticulating endograsp	4.4.4
(59)	Fundal traction suture knotted on the skin	141
(60)	Umbilical incision sutured by subcuticular	142
	sutures.	
(61)	Comparison between control and cases as	148
	regards operation time	

Fig.	Title	Page
(62)	Comparison between control and cases as	149
	regards blood loss	
(63)	Comparison between control and cases as	150
	regards conversion to open cholecystectomy	
(64)	Comparasion between control and cases as	151
	regard the insertion of additional ports to	
	complete the procedure.	
(65)	Comparison between control and cases as	153
	regards hospital stay	
(66)	Comparison between control and cases as	154
	regard period for which analgesia was required	
	after discharge from the hospital	
(67)	Comparison between control and cases as	155
	regards cosmetic results	

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
(1)	Comparison of the three major types of gallstones	19
(2)	Spectrum of symptomatic gallstone disease.	29
(2)		
(3)	Hepatocellular Ranson's criteria	36 45
(4)		75
(5)	Effective and important factors in CBD stones approach.	73
(6)	Definitions for acute pancreatitis according to	82
	the Atlanta classification	0.4
(7)	Computer tomography index of illness severity for acute pancreatitis	84
(8)	Balthazar score system	84
(9)	Antibacterials for grade I acute cholangitis	99
(10)	Antibacterials for moderate (grade II) and	100
(10)	severe (grade III) acute cholangitis	100
(11)	Acronyms used to describe single port surgery	109
(12)	Bile Duct Injury: Mechanism and Pattern	130
(13)	Sex distribution of participants in the study.	146
(14)	Age distribution of the participants in the study	147
(15)	Percentage of patients who experienced an	151
	intraoperative bile leak from torn gallbladder	
	wall.	
(16)	Distribution of patients requiring additional	152
	doses of IV paracetamol for postoperative	
	analgesia after the first 24 hours by number of	
	doses required	
(17)	Distribution of patients experiencing incision	154
	related morbidity during the period of follow up	
(18)	Chi square test showing a lack of statistically	156
	significant difference between the two groups	
	as regard sex distribution	

List of Tables (Cont.)

Table	Title	Page
(19)	Student t test demonstrating a lack of statistically significant difference between the 2 groups as regard age	156
(20)	Student t test for operative time	156
(21)	Student t test for blood loss	156
(22)	Chi square test for rate of conversion to open cholecystectomy	157
(23)	Chi Square test for the insertion of additional ports to complete the procedure	157
(24)	Student t test for duration of postoperative ileus	157
(25)	Student t test and p value for time till resuming complete oral intake	158
(26)	Chi square test for additional doses of IV paracetamol required for analgesia during the hospital stay	158
(27)	Student t test for duration during which analgesia was required after discharge from hospital	158
(28)	Student t test for hospital stay	159
(29)	Student t test as regard cosmetic score for the wound in each group	159
(30)	Chi square test for incision related morbidity.	159

Introduction

Cholecystectomy is the most common major abdominal procedure performed in Western Countries. Carl Langenbuch performed the first successful cholecystectomy in 1882, and for more than 100 years, it was the standard treatment for symptomatic gallstones. Open cholecystectomy was a safe and effective treatment for both acute and chronic cholecystitis (*Oddsdotir et al.*, 2010).

In 1987, laparoscopic cholecystectomy was introduced by Philippe Mouret in France and quickly revolutionized the treatment of gallstones. It not only supplanted cholecystectomy, but also more or less ended attempts for non invasive management of gallstones, such as extracorporeal wave and bile salt therapy. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy offers a cure for gallstones with a minimally invasive procedure, minor pain and scarring, and early return to full activity. Today, laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the treatment of choice for symptomatic gallstones (Oddsdottir et al., 2010).

Since the introduction of laparoscopic cholecystectomy as the gold standard procedure to remove the gallbladder, many surgeons have attempted to reduce the number and size of ports in laparoscopic cholecystectomy to decrease parietal trauma and improve cosmetic results (*Hirano et al.*, 2010).

Laparoscopic surgery has always had a focus on minimizing surgical trauma and improving cosmesis. Whilst by definition it is less invasive than open surgery, it still requires several incisions for port placement as well as an extraction site. Each of these is painful, impacts on the final cosmetic appearance and has the potential for bleeding, interfascial haematoma formation, visceral injury, sub-costal nerve irritation and in the long-term, incisional hernia development.