Assessment of Developmental Apraxia of Speech in children with Specific Language Impairment

Thesis

Submitted for fulfilment of Master degree in Phoniatrics

By

Ayatallah Raouf Sheikhany

M.B., B.Ch.

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Essam Aly Abd El Nabi

Professor of E.N.T Head of E.N.T. Department Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Hossam Mohamed El Dessouky

Professor of Phoniatrics
Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

Dr. Dalia Mostafa Ahmed

Assistant Professor of Phoniatrics
Faculty of Medicine - Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2009

CONTENTS

•	List of Abbreviations	IV
•	List of Tables	V
•	List of Figures	X
•	Introduction	XV
•	Aim of the work	XX
•	Review of Literature	1
	Language	1
	Language production	2
	Speech production	2
	✓ Prelinguistic Period	2
	✓ Linguistic Period	4
	Language delay on children with speech delay	6
	Apraxia	7
	✓ Premotor systems	7
	✓ Definition	8
	✓ Varying terminologies used to describe children with	
	coordination difficulties	9
	✓ Clinical Classification of Apraxia (according to the cli	
	manifestations)	10
	✓ Clinical Classification of Apraxia of Speech (accordin	_
	the age of onset)	13
	 Acquired apraxia of speech 	13
	o Developmental Apraxia of speech (DAS)	13
	Definition of DAS	14
	Prevalence of DAS	15
	Theories about the nature of DAS	16
	Genetic theory of DAS	16
	Theory of phonological planning	18
	Cardinal features of DAS	18
	Behavioural Domains Studied in DAS	19
	Acoustic analysis	20
	Prosodic Characteristics	21

Prosodic differences are not innate but iatrogenic	22
Suprasegmental Perspectives	23
Oromotor Movements, Praxis, and Apraxia	23
> Inconsistency	26
 Inconsistency in DAS 	26
• What is Inconsistency?	27
 Inconsistency in normal development 	27
 Theories for inconsistency 	28
 Types of inconsistency 	29
 Measures of inconsistency 	29
 Measures of consistency 	30
 Most common type of error 	31
 Error consistency index 	31
 Variability of errors 	32
Behaviour and social interaction	32
Sensorimotor Perspectives	33
Characteristics of DAS change as the children grow of	lder
	34
Differential diagnosis of Developmental Apraxia of	
Speech	35
Developmental speech delay	37
➤ Parent Observations that Best Differentiated Child	
with DAS from Children with DPD	39
Characteristics of verbal output in children with DAS	
DPD	40
Diagnosis of DAS	42
• Assessment of DAS	46
PET and MRI in DAS	46
• Speech Characteristics for DAS as proposed	
Rosenbek and Wertz in 1972	49
• Clinical Markers for DAS Proposed by St	
in 2003 and Campbell in 2003	50
Specific Language Impairment	52 52
DefinitionTheories of SLI	52 53
	5356
➤ Actiology of SLI ➤ Working memory impairment in SLI	56
Working memory impairment in SLI	30

	Biological basis of specific language impairment	56
	The underlying nature of SLI	57
	Subtypes of SLI	58
	Some Language Profiles in SLI	60
	Co-morbidities with SLI	63
	Developmental changes in characteristics of SLI	64
	Diagnosis of SLI	64
	SLI and DAS	65
•	Subjects and Methods	71
	Methodology	71
	Subjects selection	71
	Tests used in this study	73
	Assessment of Developmental Apraxia of Speech	75
•	Results	76
•	Discussion	152
•	Conclusion	181
•	Recommendations	184
•	Summary	186
•	References	189
•	Appendices	
	Appendix I	
	Appendix II	
•	الملخص العربي	

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAC	Augmentative Alternative Communication
ADD	Attention Deficit Disorders
ADHD	Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder
AMR	Alternating Motion Rate
AOS	Acquired Apraxia of Speech
ASHA	American Speech Language and Hearing Association
CV	Consonant-Vowel
DAMP	Disorder of Attention of Motor Perception
DAS	Developmental Apraxia of Speech
DCD	Developmental Coordination Disorders
DDK	Diadochokinesis
DPD	Developmental Phonological Disorders
DSD	Developmental Speech Delay
DSM-IV	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders 4 th Ed.
ENT	Ear, Nose, and Throat
ICD-10	International Classification of Diseases-10
IQ	Intelligence Quotient
KE	KE
LR	Language Recall
MBD	Minimal Brain Damage
MLU	Mean Length of Utterance
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
MRR	Maximum Repetition Rate
NIDCD	National Institute of Deafness and Communication Disorders
OCD	Obsessive Compulsive Disorders
PET	Positron Emission Tomography
PWM	Phonological Working Memory
SD	Speech Disorders
SLI	Specific Language Impairment
SSD	Speech Sound Disorders
SSS	Social Scale Score
STM	Short Term Memory
TLD	Typical Language Development

LIST OF TABLES

Table Number	Table Title	Page Number
1.	Comparison between subjects and controls revealed no significant difference in age (age match).	76
2.	Comparison between subjects and controls revealed no significant difference in gender (gender match)	76
3.	Comparison between subjects and controls revealed a significant difference in the ability to produce diadochokinetic movements.	77
4.	Comparison between subjects and controls in scores of S.S.S showed a significant difference in total score as well as all the subtests.	78
5.	Comparison between subjects and controls in Parents Observation showed a significant difference regarding all subtests: intelligibility, articulation, social skills, and academic performance.	78
6.	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding the production of: Different vowels in syllables /a/, /i/, and /u/ showed a significant difference, with greatest difference in /i/ scores. Phonemes in different positions (initial, middle, and final positions): showed a significant difference. Initial has higher scores than final than middle positions. Middle position seems to be the most difficult position. bisyllabic and polysyllabic words showed a significant difference. bisyllabic and polysyllabic showed lower scores for polysyllabic (mean:6.73) in comparison to bisyllabic (mean:15.37).	79
7. (a,b)	Comparison between subjects and controls in the production of different phonemes showed a significant difference in the production of all phonemes except (θ) . In production of different phonemes, the most difficult was the voiced $/z/$, and the least difficult was the $/n/$.	80
8. (a,b)	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding the production of different phonemes in sentences with varied complexity and length showed a significant difference for all phonemes except the $/\theta/$. Comparison between subjects and controls regarding the production of different phonemes in sentences with varied complexity and length showed that the most difficult was the voiced fricative $/z/$.	81
9.	Comparison between subjects and controls in oromotor movements showed no significant difference	82
10.	Comparison between subjects and controls in oral praxis showed no significant difference for most items apart from complex and multi-sequential actions such as (whistle, tongue click, and throat clearance) which showed a significant difference.	82

19.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and	90
18.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the oral praxis showed no significant difference except in whistling.	89
17.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the oromotor power showed no significant difference.	89
16. (a,b)	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the production of different phonemes in sentences with varied complexity and length showed a significant difference for the following phonemes: /z/, /l/, /r/, /d/, /q/, ħ/, /h/, /x/, /y/, with no significant difference in the rest of the phonemes.	88
15. (a,b)	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls in the production of different phonemes showed a significant difference in the production of all phonemes except $/\theta$ / and $/n$ /. In production of different phonemes, the most difficult was the voiced $/z$ /.	87
14.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the production of different syllables /a/, /i/, and /u/ showed a significant difference, with greatest difference in /i/ scores. Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the production of different phonemes in different positions showed a significant difference for all positions. Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls in initial, middle, and final. Initial has higher scores than final than middle positions. Middle position seems to be the most difficult position. Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding production of bisyllabic and polysyllabic showed a significant difference. Comparison between the production of bisyllabic and polysyllabic showed lower scores for polysyllabic (mean: 10.2) in comparison to bisyllabic (mean: 19.2).	86
13.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the S.S.S. and its different items showed a significant difference.	85
12.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the parents' observation showed a significant difference in articulation and intelligibility, but no significant difference in social and academic performance.	84
11.	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding inconsistency showed a significant difference at both the word level as well as the sentence level. The inconsistency at the word level as well as the sentence level was equal. Comparison between subjects and controls regarding intelligibility showed a significant difference at both the word level as well as the sentence level.	83

	controls regarding the intelligibility on the word level showed no	
	significant difference, however on the sentence level there was a	
	significant difference.	
	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and	
	controls regarding the inconsistency both on the word level as	
	well as the sentence level showed no significant difference.	
	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and	
<i>20</i> .	controls regarding the S.S.S. and its different items showed a	91
	significant difference.	7 -
	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and	
<i>21</i> .	controls regarding the parents' observation showed a significant	91
21.	difference in all parameters.	71
	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and	
	controls regarding the production of different syllables /a/, /i/, and	
	/u/ showed a significant difference, with greatest difference in /i/	
	scores.	
	Comparison between (less than) and (more than) 5 years groups in	
22.	subjects and controls regarding the production of different	92
22.	syllables /a/, /i/, and /u/ showed lower mean scores for all	72
	syllables in the under 5 group.	
	Comparison between the less than 5 years group in subjects and	
	control for different phonemic positions showed a significant	
	difference for all positions; the middle position was the most	
	difficult position.	
	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and	
<i>23</i> .	controls in the production of different phonemes showed a	
(a,b)	significant difference in the production of all phonemes except θ ,	93
(,-)	$/\delta/$ and $/\delta^{\varsigma}\sim/$	
	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and	
<i>24</i> .	controls regarding the production of different phonemes in	
(a,b)	sentences with varied complexity and length showed a significant	94
(a,b)	difference for all the phonemes except for $/\theta/$, $/\delta/$, $/\delta/$, $/\delta/$.	
	Comparison between less than 5 years group in subjects and	
<i>25</i> .	controls regarding the oromotor power showed no difference in all	95
23.	1	93
	subtests.	
26	Comparisons between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and	05
<i>26</i> .	controls regarding the oral praxis no significant difference except	95
	for the tongue click, and throat clearance.	
	Comparisons between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and	
	controls regarding the inconsistency showed a significant	
<i>27</i> .	difference at both the word and sentence level.	96
27.	Comparisons between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and	70
	controls regarding the intelligibility showed a significant	
	difference at both the word and sentence level.	
	Comparison between males and females in S.S.S. showed no	
<i>28</i> .	significant difference although the scores were lower for females	97
	than for males.	
	Comparison between males and females in parents' observation	
<i>29</i> .	showed no significant difference although the scores were lower	97
	for females than for males.	

	Comparison between males and famales in syllable madestics	
	Comparison between males and females in syllable production showed no significant difference although the scores were higher	
	for females than for males.	
	Comparison between males and females in different phonemic	
	position showed no significant difference although the scores	
<i>30</i> .	were higher for females than for males.	98
	Comparison between males and females in bisyllabic and	
	polysyllabic showed no significant difference although the scores	
	were higher for females than for males in bisyllabic word	
	production.	
2.7	Comparison between males and females in the production of	
31.	different phonemes showed no significant difference although the	99
(a,b)	scores were higher for females than for males.	
	Comparison between males and females in the production of	
32.	different phonemes in sentences with variable length and	100
(a,b)	complexity showed no significant difference although the scores	100
	were higher for females than for males.	
33.	Comparison between males and females in the oromotor power	101
33.	showed no significant difference.	101
	Comparison between males and females in the oral praxis showed	
<i>34</i> .	no significant difference in most items except tongue click and	101
.	throat clearance, although the scores were higher for females than	101
	for males.	
	Comparison between males and females in the inconsistency	
	showed no significant difference although the inconsistency was	
35.	more for males than for females.	102
	Comparison between males and females in the intelligibility	
	showed no significant difference although the females are more	
36.	intelligible at the word level. Correlation between age and S.S.S.	103
<i>37.</i>	Correlation between age and S.S.S. Correlation between age and Parents' observation	103
37.	Correlation between age and syllable production in subjects	103
	showed positive significance.	
	Correlation between age and different phonemic positions in	
38.	subjects showed positive significance.	103
	Correlation between age and production of bisyllabic and	
	polysyllabic words in subjects showed positive significance.	
	Correlation between age and production of different phonemes in	
<i>39</i> .	subjects showed positive correlation in /b/, /m/, /n/, /k/, /g/, /q/,	104
	$/d/$, $/h/$, $/h/$, $/S/$, $/\theta/$.	
	Correlation between age and production of different phonemes in	
<i>40</i> .	sentences in subjects showed positive correlation in /w/, /m/, /f/,	105, 106
	/z/, /ʃ/, /t/, /d/, /k/, /g/,/q/, /d/, /h/, /ħ/,/ʕ/, /θ/, /t/, /y/,/x/, /ð/ˤ,/ ð/.	
	Correlation between age and inconsistency in subjects showed	
41.	high negative correlation at both the word and sentence level.	106
71.	Correlation between age and intelligibility in subjects showed	100
	positive correlation at the sentence level.	
42.	Correlation between intelligibility and inconsistency in subjects	106
	showed negative correlation at both the word and sentence.	
<i>43</i> .	Correlation between S.S.S and Parents' observation in subjects	106

	showed positive significant correlation in articulatory accuracy and social skills.	
44.	Correlation between S.S.S. and inconsistency and intelligibility in subjects showed no significant correlation both at the word level as well as sentence level.	107
45.	Correlation between age and oromotor movements in subjects showed no significant correlation for all movements.	107
46.	Correlation between age and oral praxis in subjects showed no significant correlation for all movements.	108

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Number	Figure Title	Page Number
1.	Comparison between subjects and controls: Age match.	109
2.	Comparison between subjects and controls in scores of S.S.S showed a significant difference in total score as well as all the subtests.	109
3.	Comparison between subjects and controls in scores of S.S.S showed a significant difference in total score as well as all the subtests.	110
4.	Comparison between subjects and controls in Parents Observation showed a significant difference regarding all subtests: intelligibility, articulation, social skills, and academic performance.	110
5.	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding the production of different syllables /a/, /i/, and /u/ showed a significant difference, with greatest difference in /i/ scores.	111
6.	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding the production of phonemes in different positions showed a significant difference.	111
7.	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding production of bisyllabic and polysyllabic showed a significant difference.	112
8. (a,b,c)	Comparison between subjects and controls in the production of different phonemes showed a significant difference in the production of all phonemes except θ .	112, 113
9. (a,b)	In production of different phonemes, the most difficult was the voiced z , and the least difficult was the z .	114
10. (a,b,c)	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding the production of different phonemes in sentences with varied complexity and length showed a significant difference for all phonemes except the $/\theta/$.	115, 116
11.	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding the production of different phonemes in sentences with varied complexity and length showed that the most difficult was the voiced fricative /z/.	116
12.	Comparison between subjects and controls in oromotor movements showed no significant difference.	117
13.	Comparison between subjects and controls in oral praxis showed no significant difference for most items apart from complex and multi-sequential actions such as (whistle, tongue click, and throat clearance) which showed a significant difference.	117
14.	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding inconsistency showed a significant difference at both the word level as well as the sentence level.	118
15.	Comparison between subjects and controls regarding intelligibility showed a significant difference at both the word	118

	level as well as the sentence level.	
16.	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the S.S.S. and its different items showed a significant difference.	119
17.	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the parents' observation showed a significant difference in all parameters.	119
18.	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the production of different syllables /a/, /i/, and /u/ showed a significant difference, with greatest difference in /i/ scores.	120
19.	Comparison between the less than 5 years group in subjects and control for different phonemic positions showed a significant difference for all positions; the middle position was the most difficult position.	120
20.	Comparison between the less than 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding production of bisyllabic and polysyllabic showed a significant difference.	121
21. (a,b,c)	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls in the production of different phonemes showed a significant difference in the production of all phonemes except /θ/, /ð/and /đ/.	121, 122
22. (a,b)	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls in the production of different phonemes showed that the most significant difference was for the voiced /z/, and the nasal /n/ phonemes.	123, 124
23. (a,b,c)	Comparison between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the production of different phonemes in sentences with varied complexity and length showed a significant difference for all the phonemes except for /θ/, /ð/and / d/f.	125
24. (a,b)	Comparison between less than 5 year age group in subjects and controls regarding the oromotor power showed no difference.	126
25.	Comparisons between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the oral praxis no significant difference except for the oral whistle, tongue click, and throat clearance.	127
26.	Comparisons between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the inconsistency showed a significant difference at both the word and sentence level.	127
27.	Comparisons between (less than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the intelligibility showed a significant difference at both the word and sentence level.	128
28.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the S.S.S. and its different items showed a significant difference.	128
29.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the parents' observation showed a significant difference in articulation and intelligibility, but no significant difference in social and academic performance.	129
30.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and	129

	controls regarding the production of different syllables /a/, /i/, and /u/ showed a significant difference, with greatest difference	
	in /i/ scores.	
31.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls in initial, middle, and final. Initial has higher scores than final than middle positions. Middle position seems to be the most difficult position.	130
32.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding production of bisyllabic and polysyllabic showed a significant difference.	130
33. (a,b,c)	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls in the production of different phonemes showed a significant difference in the production of all phonemes except /θ/ and /n/.	131, 132
34. (a,b,c)	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the production of different phonemes in sentences with varied complexity and length showed a significant difference for the following phonemes: /z/, /l/, /r/, /d/, /q/, /ħ, /h/, /x/, /y/, with no significant difference in the rest of the phonemes.	133, 134
35.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the oromotor power showed no significant difference.	135
36.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the oral praxis showed no significant difference except in whistling.	135
37.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the inconsistency on the word level and the sentence level showed that there was a significant difference.	136
38.	Comparison between (more than) 5 years group in subjects and controls regarding the intelligibility on the word level showed no significant difference, however on the sentence level there was a significant difference.	136
39.	Comparison of the males and females in subjects showed an age match.	137
40.	Comparison between males and females in S.S.S. showed no significant difference although the scores were lower for females than for males.	137
41.	Comparison between males and females in parents' observation showed no significant difference although the scores were lower for females than for males.	138
42.	Comparison between males and females in syllable production showed no significant difference although the scores were higher for females than for males.	138
43.	Comparison between males and females in different phonemic position showed no significant difference although the scores were higher for females than for males.	139
44.	Comparison between males and females in bisyllabic and polysyllabic showed no significant difference although the scores were higher for females than for males in bisyllabic word	139

	production.	
45. (a,b)	Comparison between males and females in the production of	140
	different phonemes showed no significant difference although	
	the scores were higher for females than for males.	
	Comparison between males and females in the production of	
<i>46</i> .	different phonemes showed no significant difference although	141
	the scores were higher for females than for males.	
	Comparison between males and females in the production of /z/	141
<i>47</i> .	phoneme showed a significant difference although the scores	
	were higher for females than for males.	
	Comparison between males and females in the production of /n/	
<i>48</i> .	phoneme showed no significant difference although the scores	142
40.	were higher for females than for males.	1.2
	Comparison between males and females in the production of	142
	different phonemes in sentences with variable length and	
<i>49</i> .	÷	
	complexity showed no significant difference although the scores	
	were higher for females than for males.	
<i>50.</i>	Comparison between males and females in the production of	
	different phonemes in sentences with variable length and	143
(a,b)	complexity showed no significant difference although the scores	
	were higher for females than for males.	
<i>51</i> .	Comparison between males and females in the oromotor power	144
<i>31.</i>	showed no significant difference.	111
	Comparison between males and females in the oral praxis	
<i>52</i> .	showed no significant difference in most items except tongue	144
32.	click and throat clearance, although the scores were higher for	
	females than for males.	
	Comparison between males and females in the inconsistency	145
<i>53</i> .	showed no significant difference although the inconsistency was	
	more for males than for females.	
	Comparison between males and females in the intelligibility	
<i>54</i> .	showed no significant difference although the females are more	145
0 11	intelligible at the word level.	110
	Correlation between age and syllable production /a/ in subjects	
<i>55</i> .	showed positive correlation.	1 1 /
<i>JJ</i> .		146
<i></i>	1	146
56.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects	146
	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation.	
	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects	
56.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation.	146
56. 57.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed	146 147
56.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed positive correlation.	146
56. 57. 58.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and middle position in subjects showed	146 147 147
56. 57.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and middle position in subjects showed positive correlation.	146 147
56. 57. 58. 59.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and middle position in subjects showed	146 147 147 148
56. 57. 58.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and middle position in subjects showed positive correlation.	146 147 147
56. 57. 58. 59. 60.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and middle position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and final position in subjects showed positive correlation.	146 147 147 148 148
56. 57. 58. 59.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and middle position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and final position in subjects showed	146 147 147 148
56. 57. 58. 59. 60.	Correlation between age and syllable production /i/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and syllable production /u/ in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and initial position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and middle position in subjects showed positive correlation. Correlation between age and final position in subjects showed positive correlation Correlation between age and production of polysyllabic words in	146 147 147 148 148

63.	Correlation between age and inconsistency in subjects showed high negative correlation at the word level.	150
64.	Correlation between age and inconsistency in subjects showed high negative correlation at the sentence level.	150
65.	Correlation between age and intelligibility in subjects showed positive correlation at the word level.	151
66.	Correlation between age and intelligibility in subjects showed positive correlation at the sentence level.	151