

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Thanks Allah for your blessing and help during this study

I would like to express deepest gratitude to **Prof.Dr. Awaad Mohamed Kandeel** Prof,of Floriculture, Faculty of Agriculture - Ain Shams University for supervision, discussion, guidance and continuous encouragement and critical reading of this manuscript

My deep thanks and gratitude to **Prof.Dr. Hesham Ibrahim Elkasas** Prof,of Soil and Water Environment, Vice Dean of Institute Environmental Studies Research - Ain Shams University for the continuous supervision, discussion, valuable advice in the final presentation of this work and his valuable orientation as well as his kind support.

My deep thanks and gratitude to **Prof.Dr. Samiha Abu El Fotouh Ouda** Head Researches – Department of Water Requirements and Field Irrigation Researches Institute of Soil, Water and the Environmental Agriculture Research Center who suggested the point of research and for valuable advice as well as continuous help and encouraging during the whole work and critical reading of this manuscript.

I express my deep thanks to my family especially my parents and my wife for their support during this work.

**SIMULATION OF THE EFFECT OF ADAPTATION STRAEGIES ON
IMPROVING YIELD OF SOME CROPS GROWN UNDER
EXPECTED CLIMATE CHANGE CONDITIONS**

By

Ihab Moneer Ahmed Mahmoud

B.Sc. Agric.(Horticulture).Ain Shams University – 1999

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
of
The Requirements for the Master Degree
in
Environmental Science

Department of Agricultural Sciences

Under The Supervision of:

Prof.Dr./ Awaad Mohamed Kandeel

Emeritus Prof,of Floriculture, Faculty of Agriculture - Ain Shams
University

Prof.Dr./ Hesham Ibrahim Elkasas

Prof,of Soil and Water Environment, Vice Dean of Institute
Environmental Studies Research - Ain Shams University

Prof.Dr. / Samiha Abu El Fotouh Ouda

Head Researches – Department of Water Requirements and Field
Irrigation Researches Institute of Soil, Water and the Environmental
Agriculture Research Center

2014

SIMULATION OF THE EFFECT OF ADAPTATION STRATEGIES ON IMPROVING YIELD OF SOME CROPS GROWN UNDER EXPECTED CLIMATE CHANGE CONDITIONS

By

Ihab Moneer Ahmed Mahmoud

B.Sc. Agric.(Horticulture).Ain Shams University,1999

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment
of
The Requirements for the Master Degree
in
Environmental Science

Department of Environmental Agricultural Sciences
Institute of Environmental Studies & Research
Ain Shams University

2014

APPROVAL SHEET

**SIMULATION OF THE EFFECT OF ADAPTATION STRATEGIES ON IMPROVING
YIELD OF SOME CROPS GROWN UNDER EXPECTED CLIMATE CHANGE
CONDITIONS**

By

Ihab Moneer Ahmed Mahmoud

B.Sc. Agric.(Horticulture).Ain Shams University– 1999

This Thesis Towards a Master Degree in Environmental Science Has Been Approved by:

Name

Signature

Prof.Dr/ Emam M.S. Nofal

Emeritus Prof, of Floriculture, Fac.Agric-
Kafr El-Shikh Univ.

E.M.S. Nofal

Prof.Dr/ Mohamed Elsayed Elnennah

Emeritus Prof, of Soils, Fac. Agric-
Ain Shams Univ.

M. El-Nennah

Prof.Dr/ Awaad Mohamed Kandeel

Emeritus Prof, of Floriculture, Fac. Agric –
Ain Shams Univ.

A. M. Kandeel

Prof.Dr/Hesham Ibrahim Elkassas

Prof, of Soil and Water Environment,
Dean of Institute Environmental Studies Research –
Ain Shams University

H.I. Elkassas

2014

Contents

introduction	1
Review of Literatures	5
1. CropSyst model	5
2. Effect of climatic change	9
2.1. Effect of climate change on wheat	9
2.2. Effect of climate change on maize	13
3. Effect of adaptation strategies	16
3.1. Effect of adaptation strategies on wheat	16
3.2. Effect of adaptation strategies on maize	20
Materials and Methods	22
1. Wheat crop	22
1.1. Field experiments	22
1.2. CropSyst model calibration and validation	24
1.3. Climatic change scenario	25
1.4. Adaptation strategies	27
2. Maize crop	27
2.1. Field experiments	27
2.2. CropSyst model calibration and validation	29
2.3. Climatic change scenarios	30
2.4. Adaptation strategies	32
	32
3. Actual water consumptive use (CU); i.e. actual evapotranspiration (ET_a)	33
3.1. Seasonal water use	33
3.2. Monthly water consumptive use	33
3.3. Water use efficiency (WUE)	33
	33
4. Evapotranspiration	34
4.1. Potential evapotranspiration, PET	34
4.2. Reference crop evapotranspiration (ET _o)	
5. Metrological factors	34
5.1. Atmospheric parameters	34
5.1.1 Atmospheric pressure (P)	34
5.1.2. Latent heat of vaporization (L)	35
5.2. Solar radiation	35
5.2.1. Extraterrestrial Radiation (R _a)	37
5.2.2. Solar or short wave Radiation (R _s)	37
5.2.3. Relative short wave radiation (R _s / R _{so})	37

5.2.4. Relative sunshine duration (n/N)	38
5.2.5. Albedo (α) and net solar radiation (R_{ns})	38
5.2.6. Net long wave radiation (R_{nl})	38
5.2.7. Net radiation (R_n)	39
5.3. Soil heat flux (G)	39
5.4. Air temperature and air humidity	39
5.5. Wind speed	40
6. Potential evapotranspiration determined by estimated ET formula	40
6.1. Modified Penman method	40
6.2. Doorenbos and Pruitt method	42
6.3. Pan evaporation method	42
6.4. Penman Monteith method	42
7. Net radiation	44
8. Comparison with the actual ET	45
9. Soil analyses	45
10. Statistical analysis	45
11. Data baseline	45
12. Climate change scenarios	46
13. Crop Simulation Model Study	46
14. Simulation process using cropsyst model	46
15. Required parameters	47
15.1. Climatic data	47
15.2. Soils data	47
15.3. Crop variables	47
15.4. Plant and management variables for the crop models	48
15.5. Crop model calibration/ validation	48
Results and Discussions:	49
1. Wheat crop	49
1.1. Crop model calibration	49
1.2. Crop model validation	51
1.3. Effect of climate change scenarios on wheat yield	52
1.4. Effect of adaptation strategies on Sakha 93	54
1.4.1. Changing sowing date	54
1.4.2. Effect of changing irrigation schedule	56
1.4.2.1. Under 1st sowing date	56
1.4.2.2. Under 2nd sowing date	58
1.4.2.3. Under 3rd sowing date	60
1.4.2.4. Under 4th sowing date	62

1.4.3. Interaction between irrigation schedule and sowing date	64
1.4.3.1. First irrigation schedule	64
1.4.3.2. Second irrigation schedule	66
1.4.3.3. Third irrigation schedule	68
1.4.3.4. Fourth irrigation schedule	70
1.5. Effect of adaptation strategies on Giza 168	72
1.5.1. Changing sowing date	72
1.5.2. Effect of changing irrigation schedule	74
1.5.2.1. Under 1st sowing date	74
1.5.2.2. Under 2nd sowing date	76
1.5.2.3. Under 3rd sowing date	78
1.5.2.4. Under 4th sowing date	80
1.5.3. Interaction between irrigation schedule and sowing date	82
1.5.3.1. First irrigation schedule	82
1.5.3.2. Second irrigation schedule	84
1.5.3.3. Third irrigation schedule	86
1.5.3.4. Fourth irrigation schedule	88
2. Maize crop	90
2.1. Crop model calibration	90
2.2. Crop model validation	92
2.3. Effect of climatic change scenarios	93
2.4. Effect of adaptation strategies on TWG31	95
2.4.1. Changing sowing date	95
2.4.2. Effect of changing irrigation schedule	97
2.4.2.1 Under 1 st growing date	97
2.4.2.2. Under 2 nd growing date	99
2.4.2.3. Under 3 rd growing date	101
2.4.2.4 Under 4 th sowing date	103
2.4.3. Interaction between irrigation schedule and sowing date	105
2.4.3.1. First irrigation schedule	105
2.4.3.2. Second irrigation schedule	107
2.4.3.3. Third irrigation schedule	109
2.4.3.4. Fourth irrigation schedule	111
2.5. Effect of adaptation strategies on TWC324	113
2.5.1. Effect of changing sowing date	113
2.5.2. Effect of changing irrigation schedule	115
2.5.2.1. Under 1 st sowing	115
2.5.2.2. Under 2 nd sowing date	117
2.5.2.3. Under 3 rd sowing date	119
2.5.4.4. Under 4 th sowing date	121
2.5.5. Interaction between irrigation schedule and sowing date	123
2.5.5.1. First irrigation schedule	123

2.5.5.2. Second irrigation schedule	125
2.5.5.3. Third irrigation schedule	127
2.5.5.4. Fourth irrigation schedule	129
conclusion	131
Summary	134
References	138
Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

Figure No

- | | |
|---|----|
| 1. Comparison between predicted and measured wheat yield (ton/ha) in both growing seasons. | 49 |
| 2. Comparison between predicted and measured biological wheat yield (ton/ha) in both growing seasons. | 50 |
| 3. Comparison between predicted and measured wheat consumptive use (mm) in both growing seasons. | 51 |
| 4. Comparison between predicted and measured maize yield (ton/ha) in both growing seasons. | 90 |
| 5. Comparison between predicted and measured biological maize yield (ton/ha) in both growing seasons | 91 |
| 6. Comparison between predicted and measured maize consumptive use (mm) in both growing seasons | 92 |

List of Tables

Table No

1. Soil mechanical analysis at Kalubia Governorate	23
2. Soil moisture constants of the experimental field at Kalubia Governorate	23
3. Metrogecal data for the experimental site.	24
4. Soil moisture constants of the experimental field at Giza Agricultural Station	28
5. Soil mechanical analysis at Giza Agricultural Station	28
6. Metrological data for the experimental site	29
7. Measured versus predicted wheat grain yield (ton/ha) in the two growing seasons	49
8. Measured versus predicted wheat biological yield (ton/ha) in the two growing seasons.	50
9. Measured versus predicted wheat consumptive use (mm) in the two growing seasons.	51
10. Percent change in wheat grain and biological yields (ton/ha) and consumptive use (mm) as a result of the two climatic change scenarios in the 1 st growing season	52
11. Percent change in grain and biological yields and consumptive use of wheat	53
12. Effect of changing sowing dates on wheat yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under A2 scenario	54
13. Effect of changing sowing dates on wheat yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under B2 scenario.	55
14. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on wheat yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under A2 scenario	56
15. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on wheat yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under B2 scenario	57
16. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on wheat yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under A2 scenario	58
17. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on wheat yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under B2 scenario	59
18. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on wheat yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under A2 scenario	60

19. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on wheat yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under B2 scenario	61
20. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on wheat yield, applied irrigate amounts and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 under B2 scenario	62
21. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Sakha 93 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 5 th sowing date under B2 scenario.	63
22. Percent improvement in Sakha 93 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	64
23. Percent improvement in Sakha 93 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	65
24. Percent improvement in Sakha 93 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the second sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	66
25. Percent improvement in Sakha 93 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the second sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	67
26. Percent improvement in Sakha 93 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the third sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	68
27. Percent improvement in Sakha 93 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the third sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	69
28. Percent improvement in Sakha 93 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the fourth sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	70
29. Percent improvement in Sakha 93 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the third sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	71

30. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing sowing date under A2 climate change scenario	72
31. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing sowing date under B2 scenario	73
32. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 2 nd sowing date under A2 scenario.	74
33. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 2 nd sowing date under B2 scenario	75
34. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 3 rd sowing date under A2 scenario	76
35. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 3 rd sowing date under B2 scenario	77
36. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 4 th sowing date under A2 scenario	78
37. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 4 th sowing date under B2 scenario	79
38. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 5 th sowing date under A2 scenario	80
39. Percent improvement in predicted wheat grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for Giza 168 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 5 th sowing date under B2 scenario	81
40. Percent improvement in Giza 168 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the	

first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	82
41. Percent improvement in Giza 168 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	83
42. Percent improvement in Giza 168 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the second sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	84
43. Percent improvement in Giza 168 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the second sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	85
44. Percent improvement in Giza 168 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climatic change scenario and the interaction between the third sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	86
45. Percent improvement in Giza 168 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climatic change scenario and the interaction between the third sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	87
46. Percent improvement in Giza 168 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climatic change scenario and the interaction between the fourth sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	88
47. Percent improvement in Giza 168 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climatic change scenario and the interaction between the fourth sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	89
48. Measured versus predicted maize grain yield (ton/ha) in the two growing seasons	90
49. Measured versus predicted maize biological yield (ton/ha) in the two growing seasons	91
50. Measured versus predicted maize consumptive use (mm) in the two growing seasons	92
51. Percent change in maize grain and biological yields (ton/ha) and consumptive use (mm) as a result of the two climatic change scenarios in the 1 st growing season	93
52. Percent change in grain and biological yields and consumptive use of maize yield as a result of the two scenarios in the 2 nd growing season	94
53. Effect of changing sowing date on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWG310 under A2 scenario	95

54. Effect of changing sowing date on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWG310 under B2 scenario.	96
55. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWG310 under A2 scenario	97
56. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWG310 under B2 scenario	98
57. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWG310 under A2 scenario	99
58. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWC310 under B2 scenario	100
59. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWG310 under A2 scenario	101
60. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWG310 under B2 scenario	102
61. Effect of changing irrigation schedule on maize yield, applied irrigation amounts and water use efficiency for TWG310 under B2 scenario	103
62. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climatic change scenario with the interaction between first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	104
63. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	105
64. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	106
65. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	107
66. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climate change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	108

67. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climate change scenario and the interaction between first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	109
68. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climatic change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule	110
69. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under A2 climatic change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	111
70. Percentage of change in TWG310 grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency under B2 climatic change scenario and the interaction between the first sowing date and changing irrigation schedule.	112
71. Percentage of change in predicted maize grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for TWG324 as a result of changing sowing date under A2 climatic change scenario.	113
72. Percentage of change in predicted maize grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for TWG324 as a result of changing sowing date under B2 climatic change scenario.	114
73. Percentage of change in predicted maize grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for TWG324 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 1 st sowing date under A2 scenario.	115
74. Percentage of change in predicted maize grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for TWG324 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 1 st sowing date under B2 scenario	116
75. Percent improvement in predicted maize grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for TWG324 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for 1 st sowing date under A2 scenario	117
76. Percentage of change in predicted maize grain yield, corresponded percentage of predicted irrigation amount and water use efficiency for TWG324 as a result of changing irrigation schedule for the 1 st sowing date under B2 scenario.	118