

## دراسات عن الخواص الوظيفيه والكيموحيويه لبروتينات لبن الابل

مقدمة من

اسلام سيد العربى عبد القادر سليمان

رسالة علمية مقدمة استيفاءً لمتطلبات منح درجة

الماجستير في العلوم الزراعية (تخصص تكنولوجيا الألبان) قسم علوم الأغذية

من

جامعة الإسكندرية



# STUDIES ON FUNCTIONAL AND BIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF CAMEL MILK PROTEINS

BY

#### ISLAM SAYED EL-ARABY ABD EL-KADER SOLIMAN

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements Governing the Award of the Degree of

# MASTER IN AGRICULTURAL SCIENCES (DAIRY TECHNOLOGY)

**Department of Food Sciences** 

From

**Alexandria University** 

### **CONTENTS**

	Page No
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITRATURE	2
2.1. Chemical composition of camel and cow milk proteins	2
2.2. Functional properties of casein, casein co-precipitate and whey	
proteins	7
2.2.1. Solubility	9
2.2.2. Emulsifying properties	14
2.2.3. Viscosity	16
2.2.4. Foaming properties	18
2.3. Enzymatic treatment of camel and cow protein	21
2.4. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of proteins	21
2.5. Application of casein, co-precipitate and whey proteins	22
CHAPTER 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS	24
3.1. Materials	24
3.1.1. Milk	24
3.1.2. Calf rennet	24
3.1.3. Trypsin	24
3.2. Methods	24
3.2.1. Preparation of casein	24
3.2.2. Preparation of casein co-precipitate	24
3.2.3. Preparation of rennet whey	24
3.2.4. Preparation of whey proteins	24
3.2.5. Preparation of protein solutions	25
3.2.6. Determination of solubility	25
3.2.7. Determination of viscosity	25
3.2.8. Emulsifying capacity (EC)	25
3.2.9. Foaming properties	25
3.2.10. Tryptic hydrolysis of casein, co-precipitate and whey proteins	26
3.2.11. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis	26
3.2.11.1. Preparation of samples	26
3.2.11.2. Preparation of stock solution	26
3.2.11.3. Preparation of electrophoresis buffers	26
3.2.11.4. Preparation of slab gels	27
3.2.11.5. Loading of samples and electrophoresis	27
3.2.11.6. Protein staining	27
3.2.12. Chemical analysis of casein, co-precipitate and whey proteins	27
3.2.12.1. Protein	27
3.2.12.2. Total Protein	27
3.2.12.3. Ash contents	27
3.2.13. Statistical analysis	27
CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	28
4.1. Chemical composition of camel and cow milk proteins	28
4.2. Functional properties of casein, co-precipitate and whey proteins	28

4.2.1. Solubility	28
4.2.2. Emulsifying capacity	38
4.2.3. Viscosity properties	48
4.2.4. Foaming properties	57
4.3. The effect of modification of prepared protein from camel and cow	
milk by trypsin treatment on their functional properties	79
4.3.1. Effect of trypsin treatment for different times on foaming	
capacity	80
4.3.2. Effect of trypsin treatment for different times on foaming	
stability	80
4.3.3. The effect of modification of prepared protein from camel and	
cow milk by trypsin treatment on their functional properties	88
4.4. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) of protein	93
CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	104
CHAPTER 6: REFERENCES	106
CHAPTER 7: ARABIC SUMMARY	

### LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	<u>Title</u>	Page No.
1	Chemical composition of HCl-casein, casein co-	
	precipitate and whey proteins prepared from camel	
	and cow milks	29
2a, b	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	
	solubility of HCl-casein, casein co-precipitates and	
	whey proteins prepared from camel and cow milks	30
3a, b	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	
	emulsifying capacity (EC) of HCl-casein, whey	
	proteins and Casein co-precipitates prepared from	
	camel and cow milks	39
4a, b	The effect of pH and protein concentration on the	
	viscosity of HCl-casein, whey proteins and Casein	
	co-precipitates prepared from camel and cow milks.	49
5	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	
	foaming capacity (FC) of HCl-casein prepared from	<b>~</b> 0
_	camel and cow milks	58
6	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	
	foaming stability (FS) of HCl-casein prepared from	62
7	camel and cow milks	63
7	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	
	foaming capacity (FC) of casein co-precipitates	66
8	prepared from camel and cow milks  Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	00
o	foaming stability (FS) of casein co-precipitates	
	prepared from camel and cow milks	70
9	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	70
	foaming capacity (FC) of whey proteins prepared	
	from camel and cow milks	73
10	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	75
10	foaming stability (FS) of whey proteins prepared	
	from camel and cow milks	77
11	The effect of trypsin treatment for different times	
	on foaming capacity of camel and cow milk HCl-	
	casein	81
12	The effect of trypsin treatment for different times	
	on foaming capacity of camel and cow milk whey	
	proteins	82
13	The effect of trypsin treatment for different times	
	on foaming capacity of camel and cow milk casein	
	co-precipitate	83
14	The effect of trypsin treatment for different times	
	on foaming stability (FS) of camel and cow milk	
	HCl-casein	86

The effect of trypsin treatment for different times	
on foaming stability (FS) of camel and cow milk	
whey proteins	87
The effect of trypsin treatment for different times	
on foaming stability (FS) of camel and cow milk	
casein co-precipitate	89
The effect of modification of prepared protein from	
camel and cow milk by trypsin treatment on	
solubility properties	90
The effect of modification of prepared protein from	
camel and cow milk by trypsin treatment on	
viscosity properties	94
The effect of modification of prepared protein from	
camel and cow milk by trypsin treatment on	
emulsifying properties	97
	on foaming stability (FS) of camel and cow milk whey proteins

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. No.	<u>Title</u>	Page No
1	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the solubility of HCl-casein, casein co-precipitates and	
	whey proteins prepared from camel and cow milks	36
2	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	30
2	emulsifying capacity (EC) of HCl-casein, casein co-	
	precipitates and whey proteins prepared from camel	
	and cow milks	45
3	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	43
3	viscosity of HCl-casein, casein co-precipitates and	
	whey proteins prepared from camel and cow milks	55
4	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	33
4	*	
	foaming capacity (FC) of HCl-casein prepared from	60
<b>E</b>	camel and cow milks	00
5	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	
	foaming capacity (FC) of casein co-precipitate	<b>6</b> 0
	prepared from camel and cow milks	68
6	Effect of pH and protein concentration on the	
	foaming capacity (FC) of whey proteins prepared	7.5
7	from camel and cow milks	75
7	Effect of enzymatic treatment on the foaming	
	capacity (FC) of HCl casein, casein co-precipitate	
	and whey proteins prepared from camel and cow	0.4
	milks	84
8	Effect of enzymatic treatment of HCl-casein, casein	
	co precipitate and whey proteins prepared from	
	camel and cow milks on the solubility	91
9	Effect of enzymatic treatment of HCl-casein, casein	
	co-precipitate and whey proteins prepared from	
	camel and cow milks on viscosity properties	95
10	The effect of modification of prepared protein from	
	camel and cow milk by trypsin treatment on	
	emulsifying properties	98
11a, b	Urea-PAGE profile of camel and bovine caseins	
	and casein co-precipitates	100
12	Alkaline native-PAGE of camel, cow and human	
	whey proteins	103

#### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

**KDa:** Kilo Dalton

**SDS-PAGE:** Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis

PSI: The protein solubility index
PDI: The protein dispersibility index,
(CMWP) Camel milk whey proteins

(CMCCP) Camel milk casein co-precipitate

**(FVS)** Foam volume stability

**FS:** Foam stability **FC:** Foam capacity

EC: Emulsifying Capacity CMP: Caseinomacropeptide

CMR: CM separated from powdered from commercial milk by microfiltration/

diafiltration

pI: Iso electric pointCp: Centipoise

WAC: water absorption capacity
WPC: whey proteins concentrate
MPC: Milk protein concentrate
DH: Degree of hydration

NA-CN: Sodium Caseinate
(ESI) Emulsion stability index
(EAI) Emulsion activity index

Table (5a): Effect of pH and protein concentration on the foaming capacity (FC) of HCl-casein prepared from camel and cow milks.

	Casein concn		pH 7.0			pH 7.5			pH 8.0	
milk	(%)	Total volume	FC%	FE%	Total volume	FC%	FE%	Total volume	Volume increase	FE%
Camel	0.25	251.00±1.53 a	25.50±0.76	125.50±0.76	245.33±1.45 a	22.67±0.73	122.67±0.73	254.67±1.45 a	27.33±0.73	127.33±0.73
	0.50	264.67±2.03 <sup>b</sup>	32.33±1.01	132.17±1.01	274.67±2.60 <sup>b</sup>	37.33±1.30	137.33±1.30	284.00±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	42.00±0.29	142.00±0.29
	0.75	279.33±1.20°	39.67±0.60	139.67±0.60	285.33±0.88°	42.67±0.44	142.67±0.44	304.67±2.03°	52.33±1.01	152.33±1.01
	1.00	296.00±2.08 d	48.00±1.04	148.00±1.04	294.00±1.53 <sup>d</sup>	47.00±0.76	147.00±0.76	329.67±2.60 <sup>d</sup>	64.83±1.30	164.83±1.30
	F-test	122.433**			149.765**			303.075**		
	Sig. (p)	< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001		
	0.25	249.67±1.45 a	24.83±0.73	124.83±0.73	265.67±2.91 a	32.83±1.45	132.83±1.45	275.33±1.45 a	37.67±0.73	137.67±0.73
	0.50	276.33±2.19 <sup>b</sup>	38.17±1.09	138.17±1.09	285.33±2.03 <sup>b</sup>	42.67±1.01	142.67±1.01	310.67±2.91 b	55.33±1.45	155.33±1.45
Cow	0.75	290.33±1.45 °	45.17±0.73	145.17±0.73	293.67±1.86 °	46.83±0.93	146.83±0.93	314.67±1.45 <sup>b</sup>	57.33±0.73	157.33±0.73
Cow	1.00	301.67±2.19 <sup>d</sup>	50.83±1.09	150.83±1.09	306.00±2.08 <sup>d</sup>	53.00±1.04	153.00±1.04	334.67±2.60°	67.33±1.30	167.33±1.30
	F-test	146.011**			56.495**			125.280**		
	Sig. (p)	< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001		
t-	test (p)	0.864 (0.397)			1.785 (0.088)			1.473 (0.155)		

F: F test (ANOVA) T-test: Student t-test

Table (5b): Effect of pH and protein concentration on the foaming capacity (FC) of HCl-casein prepared from camel and cow milks.

Milk	Casein			pH 7.0			pH 7.5			pH 8.0		F-test
IVIIIK	concn (%)		Total	FC%	FE%	Total	FC%	FE%	Total	FC%	FE%	(p)
Camel	0.25	Mean	251.00 a	25.50	125.50	245.33 b	22.67	122.67	254.67 a	27.33	127.33	10.118*
	0.23	±SE	1.53	0.76	0.76	1.45	0.73	0.73	1.45	0.73	0.73	(0.012)
	0.50	Mean	264.67 a	32.33	132.17	274.67 <sup>b</sup>	37.33	137.33	284.00°	42.00	142.00	24.990**
	0.30	±SE	2.03	1.01	1.01	2.60	1.30	1.30	0.58	0.29	0.29	(0.001)
	0.75	Mean	279.33 <sup>a</sup>	39.67	139.67	285.33 <sup>b</sup>	42.67	142.67	304.67 °	52.33	152.33	83.018**
	0.73	±SE	1.20	0.60	0.60	0.88	0.44	0.44	2.03	1.01	1.01	(<0.001)
	1.00	Mean	296.00 a	48.00	148.00	294.00 a	47.00	147.00	329.67 <sup>b</sup>	64.83	164.83	89.612**
	1.00	±SE	2.08	1.04	1.04	1.53	0.76	0.76	2.60	1.30	1.30	(<0.001)
	0.25	Mean	249.67 a	24.83	124.83	265.67b	32.83	132.83	275.33c	37.67	137.67	39.798**
	0.23	±SE	1.45	0.73	0.73	2.91	1.45	1.45	1.45	0.73	0.73	(<0.001)
	0.50	Mean	276.33 a	38.17	138.17	285.33b	42.67	142.67	310.67c	55.33	155.33	54.853**
Cow	0.30	±SE	2.19	1.09	1.09	2.03	1.01	1.01	2.91	1.45	1.45	(<0.001)
Cow	0.75	Mean	290.33 a	45.17	145.17	293.67a	46.83	146.83	314.67b	57.33	157.33	68.101**
	0.73	±SE	1.45	0.73	0.73	1.86	0.93	0.93	1.45	0.73	0.73	(<0.001)
	1.00	Mean	301.67 <sup>a</sup>	50.83	150.83	306.00a	53.00	153.00	334.67b	67.33	167.33	60.720**
	1.00	±SE	2.19	1.09	1.09	2.08	1.04	1.04	2.60	1.30	1.30	(<0.001)

F: F test (ANOVA)

Different superscripts are significant: \* Significant at p  $\leq$ 0.05

\*\* Significant at p ≤0.01

a-b-c-d: Means the same columns with different subscriptions are significantly different (p ≤0.05

Table (6a): Effect of pH and protein concentration on the foaming stability (FS) of HCl-casein prepared from camel and cow milks.

					PH 7.0	)						PH 7.5	5						PH 8.0	)		
Concer (%									Volu	me of	foam (1	ml) at 2	20°C af	ter tim	e, min.							
,	,	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
	0.25	64.33	35.33	19.67	14.67	6.33	0.00	0.00 a	85.67	66.00	39.67	24.00	14.67	5.33	0.00°	94.67	70.33	46.33	24.67	15.33	9.33	9.33 a
		±1.20 95.67	±0.88 60.33	±2.60 45.67	±2.03 26.00	±1.86	±0.00 5.67	±0.00 0.00 a	±1.20 104.67	±2.08 84.67	±1.45 58.33	$\pm 1.53$ $41.00$	±2.60 26.67	±1.45	±0.00 b	±1.45 119.33		±1.86	±2.60 40.33	±2.03 25.33	±1.20 25.33	±1.76 15.67 b
	0.50	±1.76		±1.20	$\pm 2.08$	±1.53	±2.33	±0.00	±1.45	±2.03	±2.19	±2.08	±2.19	±2.08	±1.53	±2.91	±1.76	±1.86	±0.88	±1.45	±2.60	±1.20
ıel	0.75	105.67	79.67	44.67	29.33	10.33	5.67	0.00 a	124.00	95.33	80.33	54.00	34.67	21.00	9.67 <sup>b</sup>	156.00		96.00	119.33		35.33	29.33 °
Camel	0.75	±1.20		±1.45			±1.76	±0.00	±1.53	±2.03	±1.45	±2.08	±2.03	±1.53	±3.18	±2.08				±1.76		±1.20
)	1.00	120.33	89.00	71.33	25.33	15.33	5.33	4.33 b		105.33		65.67	49.33	34.67	19.67 °			108.67		73.67	49.67	44.67 <sup>d</sup>
	1.00	$\pm 2.60$	$\pm 2.65$	$\pm 2.40$	±0.88	±2.03	$\pm 0.88$	±1.20	±1.76	±0.88	±1.53	±2.33	±2.33	±2.03	±0.88	±1.53	±2.03	±2.40	$\pm 2.08$	±1.86	±1.45	±2.60
	F (p)							13.000**							20.583**							76.994**
	1 (p)							(0.002)							(<0.001)							(<0.001)
	0.25	94.33	75.33	65.67	55.67	54.67	50.00	45.00 a	114.67		91.00	89.67	84.67		74.67 <sup>a</sup>	125.67		95.67	90.67	84.67	79.00	75.33 <sup>a</sup>
	0.23	±1.20		±1.76	±2.33		±1.15	±2.31	±2.03	±2.03		±2.03	±3.18		±0.88	±1.20				±2.65	±2.08	±0.88
	0.50	128.33		95.67	86.00	81.33	79.33	75.33 b	130.33	109.00		95.67	90.33	84.67	81.00 b	154.67					114.67	110.33 b
>		±0.88 134.67		±1.20 105.67	±1.53	±2.96 91.00	±2.91 84.33	±3.18 80.67 <sup>b</sup>	±2.03 146.00	$\pm 2.08$ 130.00	±3.21	±1.76 118.67	±2.03 110.33		±1.53	±10.33		±2.33		±2.33	±2.03	±1.45 119.33 °
Cow	0.75	±2.03		±2.33	±2.52		±2.33	±1.76	±2.08	±2.31	126.00 $\pm 2.65$	±1.86	±1.45		±1.45	±3.21	±2.33			±2.08		±1.20
					110.67		103.33	100.67°	161.67					125.67	124.67 <sup>d</sup>	205.67		176.00				160.67 <sup>d</sup>
	1.00			±1.76		±1.20		±2.33		±1.76		±2.03	±1.76	1	±2.03	±2.91		±1.53			±0.88	±1.76
	<b>.</b>		· L	<u> </u>		L. L.		88.350**	<u> </u>		l .	l .	l .	u.	215.905**						u.	661.149**
	F (p)							(<0.001)							(<0.001)							(<0.001)
+ (	n)							12.119**							13.622**	]						9.095**
t (	h)							(<0.001)							(<0.001)							(<0.001)

F: F test (ANOVA) T-test: Student t-test

Table (6b): Effect of pH and protein concentration on the foaming stability (FS) of HCl-casein prepared from camel and cow milks.

					PH 7.0	)						PH 7.5	i						PH 8.0	)			
	ntration %)								Volur	ne of f	oam (n	nl) at 2	20°C af	ter tim	e, min.								F (p)
		0	5	10	15	20	25	30	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	
	0.25	64.33 ±1.20	35.33 ±0.88	19.67 ±2.60	14.67 ±2.03	6.33 ±1.86	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 a ±0.00	85.67 ±1.20	66.00 ±2.08	39.67 ±1.45	24.00 ±1.53	14.67 ±2.60	5.33 ±1.45	0.00 a ±0.00	94.67 ±1.45	$70.33 \\ \pm 1.45$	46.33 ±1.86	24.67 ±2.60	15.33 ±2.03	9.33 ±1.20	9.33 <sup>b</sup> ±1.76	28.000** (0.001)
Camel	0.50	95.67 ±1.76	60.33 ±3.18	45.67 ±1.20	26.00 ±2.08	14.00 ±1.53	5.67 ±2.33	0.00 a ±0.00	104.67 ±1.45		58.33 ±2.19	41.00 ±2.08		14.00 ±2.08	6.00 b ±1.53		89.33 ±1.76		40.33 ±0.88		25.33 ±2.60		49.618** (<0.001)
Car	0.75	105.67 ±1.20		44.67 ±1.45	29.33 ±2.91	10.33 ±3.18	5.67 ±1.76	0.00 a ±0.00		95.33 ±2.03				21.00 ±1.53	9.67 b ±3.18		113.67 ±1.86	96.00 ±2.65	119.33 ±1.20	44.33 ±1.76			58.010** (<0.001)
	1.00	120.33 ±2.60	89.00 ±2.65	71.33 ±2.40	25.33 ±0.88	15.33 ±2.03	5.33 ±0.88	4.33 a ±1.20	140.67 ±1.76	105.33 ±0.88	84.00 ±1.53	65.67 ±2.33	49.33 ±2.33	34.67 ±2.03	19.67 b ±0.88	184.00 ±1.53	150.33 ±2.03	108.67 ±2.40	91.00 ±2.08		49.67 ±1.45	44.67 ° ±2.60	138.160** (<0.001)
	0.25	94.33 ±1.20	75.33 ±0.88	65.67 ±1.76	55.67 ±2.33	54.67 ±2.60		45.00 a ±2.31	114.67 ±2.03	95.33 ±2.03				80.67 ±1.20	74.67 b ±0.88		95.33 ±2.33	95.67 ±1.76	90.67 ±2.91		79.00 ±2.08		130.694** (<0.001)
Cow	0.50					81.33 ±2.96		75.33 a ±3.18	130.33 ±2.03	109.00 ±2.08		95.67 ±1.76		84.67 ±0.88	81.00 a ±1.53	154.67 ±10.33						110.33 b ±1.45	72.740** (<0.001)
ŭ	0.75	134.67 ±2.03	110.33 ±2.03		93.00 ±2.52			80.67 <sup>a</sup> ±1.76					110.33 ±1.45		99.67 <sup>b</sup> ±1.45	169.00 ±3.21	130.00 ±2.33					119.33 ° ±1.20	168.217** (<0.001)
	1.00	146.00 ±2.08			110.67 ±2.91			100.67 a ±2.33		145.67 ±1.76								176.00 ±1.53		130.67 ±1.53			216.000** (<0.001)

F: F test (ANOVA) t-test: Student t-test

Table (7a): Effect of pH and protein concentration on the foaming capacity (FC) of casein co-precipitates prepared from camel and cow milks.

			pH 7.0			pH 7.5			pH 8.0	
milk	Concentration (%)	Total volume (ml)	FC%	FE%	Total volume (ml)	FC%	FE%	Total volume (ml)	FC%	FE%
Camel	0.25	220.33±1.45 <sup>a</sup>	10.17±0.73	110.17±0.73	223.67±2.40 a	11.83±1.20	111.83±1.20	239.33±1.20 a	19.67±0.60	119.67±0.60
	0.50	236.00±1.53 <sup>b</sup>	18.00±0.76	118.00±0.76	240.33±2.60 <sup>b</sup>	20.17±1.30	120.17±1.30	260.00±3.46 <sup>b</sup>	30.00±1.73	130.00±1.73
	0.75	240.67±2.33 <sup>b</sup>	20.33±1.17	120.17±1.30	251.67±2.19°	26.00±1.26	125.83±1.09	270.67±1.76°	35.33±0.88	135.33±0.88
	1.00	258.33±2.19°	29.17±1.09	129.17±1.09	264.67±2.60 <sup>d</sup>	32.33±1.30	132.33±1.30	284.67±2.03 <sup>d</sup>	42.33±1.01	142.33±1.01
	F-test	66.717**			50.217**			70.681**		
	Sig. (p)	< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001		
	0.25	245.33±2.60 <sup>a</sup>	22.67±1.30	122.67±1.30	254.33±1.20 a	27.17±0.60	127.17±0.60	266.33±2.40 a	33.17±1.20	133.17±1.20
	0.50	259.67±1.45 <sup>b</sup>	29.83±0.73	129.83±0.73	274.67±2.03 <sup>b</sup>	37.33±1.01	137.33±1.01	281.33±1.86 <sup>b</sup>	40.67±0.93	140.67±0.93
Cow	0.75	276.67±2.19°	38.33±1.09	138.33±1.09	285.67±1.76°	42.83±0.88	142.83±0.88	294.67±1.45°	47.33±0.73	147.33±0.73
Cow	1.00	289.33±2.33 <sup>d</sup>	44.67±1.17	144.67±1.167	$300.33\pm0.88^{d}$	50.17±0.44	150.17±0.44	299.00±1.53°	49.50±0.76	149.50±0.76
	F-test	77.665**			159.039**			63.501**		
	Sig. (p)	< 0.001			< 0.001			< 0.001		
t-tes	st (p)	4.384** (<0.001)			4.871** (<0.001)			3.373** (0.003)		

F: F test (ANOVA)

T-test: Student t-test

Different superscripts are significant: \* Significant at p  $\leq$ 0.05

\*\* Significant at p ≤0.01

a-b-c-d: Means the same columns with different subscriptions are significantly different (p ≤0.05

Table (7b): Effect of pH and protein concentration on the foaming capacity (FC) of casein co-precipitates prepared from camel and cow milks.

				pH 7.0			pH 7.5			pH 8.0		
milk	Concentration (%)		Total volume (ml)	FC%	FE%	Total volume (ml)	FC%	FE%	Total volume (ml)	FC%	FE%	F-test (p)
Camel	0.25	Mean	220.33 <sup>a</sup>	10.17	110.17	223.67 a	11.83	111.83	239.33 <sup>b</sup>	19.67	119.67	33.083**
	0.25	±SE	1.45	0.73	0.73	2.40	1.20	1.20	1.20	0.60	0.60	(0.001)
	0.50	Mean	236.00 a	18.00	118.00	240.33 a	20.17	120.17	260.00 b	30.00	130.00	23.247**
	0.50	±SE	1.53	0.76	0.76	2.60	1.30	1.30	3.46	1.73	1.73	(0.001)
	0.75	Mean	240.67 a	20.33	120.17	251.67 <sup>b</sup>	26.00	125.83	270.67 <sup>c</sup>	35.33	135.33	51.825**
	0.73	±SE	2.33	1.17	1.30	2.19	1.26	1.09	1.76	0.88	0.88	(<0.001)
	1.00	Mean	258.33 a	29.17	129.17	264.67 a	32.33	132.33	284.67 <sup>b</sup>	42.33	142.33	36.177**
	1.00	±SE	2.19	1.09	1.09	2.60	1.30	1.30	2.03	1.01	1.01	(<0.001)
	0.25	Mean	245.33 a	22.67	122.67	254.33 b	27.17	127.17	266.33 °	33.17	133.17	23.786**
	0.23	±SE	2.60	1.30	1.30	1.20	0.60	0.60	2.40	1.20	1.20	(0.001)
	0.50	Mean	259.67 <sup>a</sup>	29.83	129.83	274.67 <sup>b</sup>	37.33	137.33	281.33 °	40.67	140.67	38.218**
Cow	0.30	±SE	1.45	0.73	0.73	2.03	1.01	1.01	1.86	0.93	0.93	(<0.001)
Cow	0.75	Mean	276.67 <sup>a</sup>	38.33	138.33	285.67 <sup>b</sup>	42.83	142.83	294.67 <sup>c</sup>	47.33	147.33	24.300**
	0.73	±SE	2.19	1.09	1.09	1.76	0.88	0.88	1.45	0.73	0.73	(0.001)
	1.00	Mean	289.33 <sup>a</sup>	44.67	144.67	300.33 <sup>b</sup>	50.17	150.17	299.00 b	49.50	149.50	12.636**
	1.00	±SE	2.33	1.17	1.167	0.88	0.44	0.44	1.53	0.76	0.76	(0.007)

F: F test (ANOVA)

Table (8a): Effect of pH and protein concentration on the foaming stability (FS) of casein co-precipitates prepared from camel and cow milks.

					PH 7.0	0						PH 7.5	5						PH 8.	0		
	ntration %)								Volu	me of	foam (	ml) at	20°C a	ıfter ti	me, min	•						
	,	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	0	5	10	15	20	25	30
	0.25	40.33 ±0.88	14.33 ±1.20	9.67 ±2.60	4.67 ±2.03	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	55.67 ±1.76		14.33 ±1.76	4.33 ±1.20	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	64.67 ±2.03	30.33 ±2.03	19.67 ±0.88	11.33 ±1.86	4.67 ±1.45	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00
Camel	0.50		35.33 ±1.45	19.00 ±1.53		5.33 ±0.88	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00	75.33 ±2.60			14.33 ±1.76	4.33 ±1.20	0.00 ±0.00	0.00 ±0.00		59.67 ±2.03	45.33 ±2.03		15.67 ±1.20	4.67 ±1.45	0.00 ±0.00
Cai	0.75	66.00 ±2.08		35.33 ±1.45			4.33 ±1.76	0.00 ±0.00	79.00 ±2.08			19.33 ±2.33		4.67 ±0.88	0.00 ±0.00	99.67 ±0.88		45.33 ±1.45			6.33 ±1.86	0.00 ±0.00
	1.00	74.67 ±2.03		25.33 ±0.88			4.67 ±0.88	0.00 ±0.00	94.33 ±1.20			24.33 ±1.20			0.00 ±0.00			54.33 ±1.76		14.67 ±2.03	10.6 ±1.76	0.00 ±0.00
	0.25	65.67 ±1.20	45.67 ±1.76	40.33 ±2.60				23.00 <sup>a</sup> ±2.52	70.33 ±2.03	49.33 ±2.33		39.33 ±5.22		30.33 ±1.45		84.33 ±1.20		60.33 ±0.88	54.67 ±1.76	50.33 ±1.45	46.33 ±1.86	38.67 <sup>a</sup> ±1.86
	0.50			51.33 ±2.96			34.33 ±1.76	28.33 <sup>a</sup> ±2.19	94.33 ±2.33			61.00 ±2.91		54.33 ±1.20			74.33 ±1.20	69.67 ±2.03	66.67 ±1.76			50.33 <sup>b</sup> ±0.88
Cow	0.75	95.67 ±2.33		65.33 ±3.18			50.33 ±2.03	44.67 <sup>b</sup> ±2.03				75.67 ±1.53						90.33 ±2.03				75.33 <sup>c</sup> ±1.45
	1.00			86.00 ±2.08			75.33 ±1.45	69.67 <sup>c</sup> ±2.60	128.33 ±3.79	105.33 ±0.88	98.67 ±2.96	95.67 ±2.33			84.33 <sup>d</sup> ±1.76			104.67 ±0.88				85.33 <sup>d</sup> ±0.88
	F (p)							79.938** (<0.001)							258.980** (<0.001)							262.891** (<0.001)
t (	(p)							7.441** (<0.001)	8.474**								11.001** (<0.001)					

F: F test (ANOVA) T-test: Student t-test