THE ATTITUDE OF MEDICAL STAFF AND STUDENTS TOWARDS PSYCHIATRY

Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfillment of master degree in

Psychiatry and Neurology



Mohammad Ibrahim Yousef Abd Allah ElShami

Supervised by

PROF. MOSTAFA OMAR SHAHIN

Prof. of Psychiatry Cairo University

DR. NOHA AHMED SABRY

Assistant Prof. of Psychiatry Cairo University

DR. DALAL ABDALLA AMER

Assistant Prof. of Psychiatry Cairo University

> Faculty of Medicine Cairo University 2009

"قَالُواْ سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَمُ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَمُ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا عَلَمُ اللَّهُ الْحَالَمُ الْحَالِمُ الْحَلْمُ الْحَلْمُ الْحَالِمُ الْحَالِمُ الْحَالِمُ الْحَلْم

Acknowledgment

- First and foremost, I feel always indebted to *God*, the kind and merciful.
- I thank my **mother** and **family** for their invaluable care and unlimited support.
- I am extremely obliged to **Prof. Dr. Emad Hamdy**, Head of psychiatry department, Cairo University, who facilitated for me doing my study.
- I have the honor to present this work under the supervision of **Prof. Dr.**Mostafa Shaheen, Professor of psychiatry, Cairo University. Without his guidance and his creative thinking I could never carry on doing this work.
- I feel very grateful to **Dr. Noha Sabry**, Ass. Professor of Psychiatry, Cairo University. Her support, patience and guidance were the corner stone for completion of this work.
- I am very proud to have **Dr. Dalal Amer**, Assistant Prof. of psychiatry, Cairo University, supervising this thesis. Without her supervision and sincere devotion this work couldn't be accomplished.
- I owe a lot to **Prof. Dr. Doaa Elderwy**, Prof. of public health, Cairo University, for her precious help in finalizing the results of the study.
- I am very grateful to **Dr. Mohammed Abd Al-Fattah**, assistant lecturer of psychiatry, Cairo University, for his unlimited support.
- I would like to thank my **colleagues** and **residents** in psychiatry department, Cairo University for their effort to help.
- Last but not least, I want to thank **doctors** and **students** who shared in this study for their cooperation.

Abstract

Aim of work: To assess the attitudes of medical students towards psychiatry and the impact of psychiatric education on changing it and the attitudes of medical staff towards psychiatry and its change through the years of clinical experience.

Subjects: 414 first year students in the medical school, 434 sixth year students, 100 junior doctors (less than 5 years of clinical experience) and 100 senior doctors (more than 15 years of clinical experience).

Tools: A specially designed questionnaire for this study was distributed among the subjects.

Setting: Faculty of Medicine Cairo University.

Results: The students' attitudes towards psychiatry had minimal improvement after their clinical psychiatric round, while medical staff had more positive attitudes towards psychiatry than students, becoming more positive with the increaser of their clinical experience.

Conclusion: The undergraduate psychiatric education should be improved in order to change the tomorrow's doctor's negative attitudes towards psychiatry. The medical staff in different specialties should get continuous psychiatric education.

Index

Introduction	10
Chapter 1: Attitude, Prejudice, Stereotype and Discrimination	12
Attitude	12
Prejudice	20
Stereotypes	22
Discrimination	23
Chapter 2: Stigma of mental illness	25
Causes of stigma	26
Cultural variation in stigmatization	34
Impact and consequences of stigma	40
Chapter 3: Attitudes of medical students towards psychiatry	47
The effect of psychiatric education and training in changing the medical students towards psychiatry	
Choosing psychiatry as a prospective career for medical students	s53
Improving the undergraduate psychiatric education	55
Chapter 4: Attitudes of medical staff towards psychiatry	60
The impact of negative attitudes towards psychiatry in medical p	oractice63
Attitude of psychiatrists towards people with mental illness	68
Chapter 5: Global anti-stigma programmes	71
1- Open the Doors	71

2- Changing Minds	73
3- See Me campaign	75
4- SANE programme	76
5- Like Minds, Like Mine	77
6- Open Minds Open Doors	78
7- IDMAJ	78
Groups subjected to anti-stigma interventions	78
1- Individuals with mental illness	78
2- Families and caregivers of people with mental illness	80
3- School students and teenagers	81
4- Medical students	83
5- Health care professionals	84
6- Mental health professionals	86
7- Media	87
8- General Public	92
9- Clergy and Religious leaders	94
10- Employers	95
11- Police and Law	95
Subjects	97
Method	98
Results	109
Discussion	141

Summary	149
Conclusion and recommendations	152
Limitations of the study	154
References	155
Appendix I: The Attitudes towards psychiatry questionnaire	180
Appendix II: The attitudes towards mental illness questionnaire	182
Appendix III: Questionnaire developed by Nielsen et al	183
Appendix IV: Questionnaire developed by Balon et al	185
Appendix V: Questionnaire developed by Mino et al	187
Appendix VI: The attitudes of students towards psychiatry questionnaire.	189
Appendix VII: The attitudes of staff towards psychiatry questionnaire	191
Appendix VIII: Table of results	193
Appendix IX: Personal stories about stigma	196
Appendix X: Logos of antistigma progmrammes	198
Appendix XI: Posters for antistigma competitions	199
Arabic summary	200

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1: Impact of stigma on mentally ill patients	40
Figure 2: Impact of stigma on families of people with mental illness	42
Figure 3: Impact of stigma on mental services	45
Table A: Consequences of psychiatric stigma and outcome measures	46
Table 1: Response rate of the questionnaire	.109
Table 2: Number and percentage of junior and senior doctors	.109
Table 3: Gender distribution of the sample	.109
Table 4: Specialty percentages of doctors	.110
Table 5: Specialty percentages of junior and senior doctors	.110
Table 6: Percentage of respondents met psychiatric patient	.110
Table 7: Percentage of respondents met psychiatrist	110
Table 8: Attitudes of students towards the field of psychiatry	112
Table 9: Attitudes of 6 th year students and junior doctors towards the field of psychiatry	112
Table 10: Attitudes of doctors towards the field of psychiatry	112
Table 11: Gender effect on 6 th year students and juniors in Q4	114
Table 12 : Career intention of the 1 st and 6 th year students to psychiatry	.115
Table 13: Career intention of 6 th year students and juniors to psychiatry	115
Table 14: Career intention of the doctors to psychiatry	115
Table 15: Meeting psychiatrist effect in Q10.	
Table 16: Gender effect in Q12.	117
Table 17: Students' attitudes towards psychiatrists.	.118
Table 18: 6 th year students and junior doctors' attitudes towards psychiatrists	118
Table 19: Doctors' attitudes towards psychiatrists	.118
Table 20: Gender effect on students in Q18.	119
Table 21: Meeting psychiatric patient effect in Q18.	120
Table 22: Student's attitudes towards mental health services	.121
Table 23: 6 th year students and junior doctors' attitudes towards mental health service.	121
Table 24: Junior and senior doctors' attitudes towards mental health services	
Table 25: Meeting psychiatric patient effect on students in Q22	122
Table 26: Students' attitudes towards psychiatric treatment.	

Table 27: 6 th year student and junior doctors' attitudes towards psychiatric treatment	124
Table 28: Junior and senior doctors' attitudes towards psychiatric treatment	124
Table 29: Meeting psychiatrist effect on students in Q25.	125
Table 30: Students attitudes towards mental illness and mentally ill patient	126
Table 31: 6 th year students and junior doctors' attitudes towards mental illness and mentally ill patient.	126
Table 32: Doctors attitudes towards mental illness and mentally ill patient	127
Table 33: Students knowledge about the etiology of mental illness.	129
Table 34: 6 th year students and junior doctors' knowledge about the etiology of mental illness	
Table 35: Doctors' knowledge about the etiology of mental illness	130
Table 36: Gender variable effect on students' opinion in Q37	130
Table 37: Meeting patient effect on students in Q38.	130
Table 38: Gender effect on students in Q40	131
Table 39: Meeting psychiatric patient effecte in Q38	131
Table 40: Gender effect on doctors in Q36 in Q36	132
Table 41: Juniors and seniors doctors met psychiatrist before in Q36	132
Table 42: Students attitudes towards human rights of mentally ill patients	132
Table 43: 6 th year students and junior doctors' attitudes towards human rights of ment ill patients	
Table 44: Doctors' attitudes towards human rights of mentally ill patients	133
Table 45: Students acceptance and social distance from mentally ill patient	135
Table 46: 6 th year students and junior doctors' acceptance and social distance from mentally ill patient	135
Table 47: Doctors acceptance and social distance from mentally ill patient	135
Table 48: Effect of meeting a psychiatrist on students in Q47	136
Table 49: Meeting psychiatrist before effect in Q47	136
Table 50: Gender variable in Q49.	137
Table 51: Students' Attitudes towards psychiatric education	137
Table 52: 6 th year students and junior doctors' attitudes towards psychiatric education.	138
Table 53: Doctors' Attitudes towards psychiatric education	138
Table 54: Meeting psychiatric patient variable in Q51	139
Table 55: Sixth students' attitudes towards psychiatric teaching	139

Introduction

The stigma attached to mental illness is the greatest obstacle to the improvement of the lives of people with mental illness and their families. Such stigma results in a lower priority for mental health services, difficulty getting staff of good quality to work in these services, continuing problems in finding employment and housing for people who have had an episode of mental disorder, the social isolation of people who suffer from mental illness and their families, and poorer quality of care for physical illnesses occurring in people diagnosed as having had psychiatric illnesses (*Kadri et al*, 2005).

Stigma and unfavorable attitudes towards psychiatric patients and mental illness continue to exist among the general population, medical students and the medical profession at large (*Reddy et al, 2005*). Medical doctors, including psychiatrists, play an important role in mental health services, and their attitudes towards mental illness are apt to influence those of the general population (*Mino et al, 2000*).

The negative attitudes towards psychiatry and mental illness were reported also in physicians. People who have experienced mental health problems complained from discrimination of their medical services due to their mental illness. MIND's survey – a mental health charity in UK –reported that half (50%) of people – who experienced mental illness – felt unfairly treated by general health care services, third (33%) of them complained that their general practitioners (GP) had treated them unfairly. Medical school education is crucial for developing favorable attitudes not only of students but, consequently, of the general population and could contribute to better mental health services (*Read et al, 1996*).

During the last 15 years, there has been a growing interest in the international literature on the impact of psychiatric education programs on medical students' attitudes toward psychiatry. A variety of factors seem to affect medical students' attitudes toward psychiatry, and these can be divided in two main categories. The first category includes factors that influence students before their entrance into medical school, such as demographic and personality characteristics, cultural factors, and the historicosocial climate of a particular period of time. The second category includes factors that influence students during the medical school years, such as the medical school's attitude toward psychiatry, the view of nonpsychiatric faculty about psychiatry and psychiatrists, and the undergraduate psychiatric education Undergraduate psychiatric educational programs have been criticized as being unresponsive to the needs of the discipline and as being largely responsible for creating negative attitudes toward psychiatry. However, several studies have shown that students' attitudes toward psychiatrists, psychiatric treatment, psychiatric patients, and psychiatry in general change significantly in a positive direction after their psychiatric training (Garyfallos et al, 1998).

Aim of work

- 1- To assess the attitudes of medical students towards psychiatry and the impact of psychiatric education on changing it.
- 2- To assess the attitudes of medical staff towards psychiatry and the improvement of it with the increase of the number of years of clinical experience.

Chapter 1: Attitude, Stereotype, Prejudice, and Discrimination

People carry different thoughts regarding objects they face through their life. These thoughts affect their behavior towards these subjects resulting in what is called attitude. Stereotypes are cognitive structures formed of thoughts and beliefs regarding certain group of people. When attitudes are shared by people till the degree that react towards a certain object without getting to know it, then prejudice had take place. Attitudes, stereotypes and prejudice results into an unfavorable reaction towards certain persons which is discrimination. The discriminative behavior towards people with mental illness as well as the shame resulted from this illness raised the term stigma of mental illness.

Attitude

Definition

Attitude is defined as a learned predisposition to respond to a particular object in a generally favorable or unfavorable way (*Delamater*, 2000). It is a feeling, belief, or opinion of approval or disapproval towards something (*Martin*, 2001). It is a relatively enduring evaluation that a person holds about a target (*Bizer*, 2004).

Attitudes components:

Attitudes have three main components

- 1- Affective component: the feelings toward the attitude object.
- 2- Behavioral component: the intention and action.
- 3- Cognitive component: the belief (*Statt*, 2003).

Attitudes link the person to other individuals, groups, and social organizations and institutions. Each person has literally hundreds of attitudes, one for each significant object in the person's physical and social environment. By implication, the individual's attitudes should reflect his or her location in society. Thus, attitudes are influenced by gender, race, religion, education, and social class (*Keicolt*, 1988).

Attitudes formation

Many attitudes are learned through direct experience with the object. More often, attitudes are learned through interactions with others. Socialization by parents, explicit teaching in educational and religious settings, and interactions with friends are important sources of attitudes. Another source of attitudes is the person's observations of the world. The viewing of programs intentionally designed to teach positive attitudes toward racial or ethnic minorities does increase children's acceptance of such persons. With regard to adults, the amount and quality of coverage by the media (press, radio, and television) of an issue influences the public's perception of the importance of that issue.

Social institutions influence the attitudes one learns in several ways. Adults tie to particular ethnic, religious, and other institutions influence the attitudes they teach their children. The instruction given in schools reflects the perspectives of the dominant political and economic institutions in society. The amount and quality of media coverage of people and events reflects the interests of particular groups in society. Through these mechanisms, the individual's attitudes reflect the society, institutions, and groups of which she or he is a member (*Delamater*, 2000).

Attitude functions

Each attitude fulfills one or more of four functions for the individual.

- 1-Instrumental function: An individual develops favorable attitudes toward objects that aid or reward the individual and unfavorable attitudes toward objects that thwart or punish the individual. For example, a person who earns a large salary will have a positive attitude toward the job.
- 2- **Knowledge function**: They provide the person with a meaningful and structured environment.
- 3-Expression function: Some attitudes express the individual's basic values and reinforce self-image. Whites' attitudes toward black Americans reflect the importance that whites place on the values of freedom and equality.
- 4-**Protection function**: They protect the person from recognizing certain thoughts or feelings that threaten his or her self-image or adjustment (*Delamater*, 2000).

Attitude measurement

Two of the most commonly used techniques are the Likert scale and the semantic differential.

- **1-The Likert scale**: The participants reporting attitudes on a Likert scale are asked to what extent a variety of statements are characteristic of them,
- **2-The semantic differential:** The participants reporting attitudes on a semantic differential measure are asked to indicate how well a series of adjectives describe the attitude object (*Bizer*, 2004).

In either case, the researcher will typically provide a variety of items for the participant to complete. The scores from the items are combined to create an overall measure of the person's attitude toward the target.

Attitude strength

It is the extent to which an attitude is persistent over time, resists attempts to persuade, influences behavior, and influences cognition (*Petty & Krosnick*, 1995). Although two people may hold what appear to be equivalent attitudes – perhaps as observed by identical scores from Likert scales – the attitudes might not be functionally equivalent. Researchers have identified a wide variety of ways in which attitudes can become stronger, including thinking about attitudes, learning about the attitude object, or expressing attitudes repeatedly.

Attitude change:

It is the process by which a person's evaluation toward a target is made more positive or negative (*Bizer*, 2004). The classic perspective in the study of attitude change is the *communication–persuasion paradigm*, which grew out of the work by Hovland and his colleagues at Yale University (*Hovland et al*, 1953).

- *Persuasion* is defined as changing the beliefs or attitudes of a person through the use of information or argument, or it can be defined as a form of social influence whereby a communicator uses rational and/or emotional arguments to convince others to change their attitudes or behavior (*Bordens & Horowitz*, 2002).
- According to the paradigm, each attempt involves 4 items: source, message, target, and context (*Hovland et al, 1953*).
 - 1- If the **source** is perceived as an expert, trustworthy, or physically attractive, the message is more likely to produce attitude change. Thus, it