

SEGMENTAL TRUNK AND HIP JOINT MOTION ANALYSIS DURING SIT-TO- STAND TASK IN STROKE PATIENTS

Thesis

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for the Requirement of
Master Degree in Physical Therapy**

By

NAGWA IBRAHIM MOHAMMED REHAB

B.Sc., in Physical Therapy, Cairo University (2003)

**Department of Physical Therapy for Neuromuscular
Disorder and Its surgery**

Supervisors

**Prof. Dr. Abdulaleem
Abdulfattah Atteya**

**Professor in Physical Therapy
Department for Neuromuscular
Disorder and Its surgery.
Faculty of Physical Therapy,
Cairo University.**

**Dr. Nevein Mohammed
Mohammed Gharib**

**Lecturer in Physical Therapy
Department for Neuromuscular
Disorder and Its surgery.
Faculty of Physical Therapy,
Cairo University.**

Dr. Nirmeen Adel Abdel-Ghaffar Kishk

**Lecturer in Neurology Department.
Faculty of Medicine,
Cairo University.**

**Faculty of Physical Therapy
Cairo University
2009**

Segmental trunk and hip joint motion analysis during sit –to-stand task in stroke patients / Nagwa Ibrahim Mohammed Rehab; Supervisors Prof. Dr/ Abdulaleem Abdulfattah Atteya, Lecturer/ Nevein Mohammad Gharib, Lecturer/ Nirmeen Adel Abdel-Gaffar.- Physical Therapy Department for Neuromuscular Disorder and its Surgery- Faculty of Physical Therapy – Cairo University, Master Thesis, 2009, in 162 various leaves.

ABSTRACT

The purposes of this study were to evaluate the segmental trunk (including thoracic and lumbar spine) and hip joint movements during sit-to-stand task, and to assess balance in both stroke patients and healthy normal subjects. Thirty stroke patients and ten normal subjects participated in this study. Patients were divided into two equal groups according to the degree of spasticity of the affected lower limb measured by Modified Ashworth Scale. All subjects were assessed for balance by Berg balance scale and for the range of motion of thoracic, lumbar spine and hip joint by three- dimensional motion analysis system during sit-to-stand task. The results showed significant differences in balance score and in thoracic, lumbar spine and hip joint range of motion (during the two phases of sit-to-stand movement except the second phase for the hip joint) among the three groups. It was concluded that stroke patients had altered pattern of movements of thoracic, lumbar spine and hip joint during sit-to-stand task that appear in the form of increasing thoracic, lumbar spine and hip joint flexion during pre buttock lift-off phase and a longer sit-to-stand duration as compared to normal subjects.

Key words: stroke. sit-to-stand. segmental trunk. hip. three-dimensional motion analysis. balance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and above all, I would like to thank God who provided me with patience and graces that we could never able to count.

No words could ever express my most sincere; heart felt appreciation and deep thanks to **Prof. Dr. Abdulaleem Abdulfattah Atteya**, Professor in Physical Therapy Department for Neuromuscular Disorder and Its surgery, Faculty of Physical Therapy- Cairo University, for his generous supervision, valuable instruction, constant encouragement, creative ideas and constructive criticism.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Nevein Mohammed Mohammed Gharib**, Lecturer in Physical Therapy Department for Neuromuscular Disorder and Its surgery for her patience and encouragement during reviewing this work. She gave me a lot of her time and effort to accomplish this work. Her comments and guidance were very beneficial for me.

I am truly grateful for **Dr. Nirmeen Adel Abdel-Gaffar**, Lecturer of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine-Cairo University for her sincere help, beneficial remarks and valuable advises throughout this work.

Sincere and deep thanks to **Dr. Moussa Abd El-Fattah Sharaf**, Lecturer in Physical Therapy Department for Neuromuscular Disorder and Its surgery, Faculty of Physical Therapy-Cairo University for his creative ideas and valuable advises.

My special appreciation and sincere thanks to **Dr. Dalia Mohamed**, Lecturer in Physical Therapy for Basic Science Department, Faculty of Physical Therapy- Cairo University for her kind help and important role in regulating and facilitating the practical part of this work.

I would like to extend my sincere appreciation to **Wanees Mohamed EL-Amir**, Assistant Lecturer and **Mohammed Sharaf**, Demonestrator in Physical Therapy Department for Neuromuscular Disorder and Its surgery, Faculty of physical therapy- ,

It gives me great pleasure to acknowledge all my patients and volunteer subjects for their kind and active participation in this work.

CONTENTS

| CHAPTER No. | Page |
|--|-------------|
| I: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| Statement of the problem..... | 3 |
| Purpose of the study..... | 3 |
| Significance of the study..... | 4 |
| Hypothesis..... | 4 |
| Delimitations..... | 5 |
| Limitation..... | 6 |
| Basic assumptions..... | 6 |
| Definitions of terms..... | 7 |
| Operational definitions..... | 8 |
| II: REVIEW OF LITERATURE | 9 |
| (A) Stroke | 9 |
| (B) Factors affecting functional outcome and prognosis of stroke patients..... | 10 |
| (C) Biomechanical principles of sit-to-stand movement in normal subjects | 15 |
| a- Phases of sit-to-stand movement..... | 16 |
| b- Mechanical requirement for standing up..... | 20 |
| c- Movement of the body parts during sit-to-stand transfer..... | 22 |
| 1. Movement of the trunk | 22 |
| 2. Movement of the hip joint | 23 |
| 3. Movement of the knee joint | 23 |
| 4. Movement of the ankle joint | 25 |
| d- Spine and hip joint motion interaction during sit-to-stand movement..... | 26 |
| e- Determinants of sit-to-stand movement..... | 27 |
| f- Muscle action during sit-to-stand movement..... | 40 |
| (D) Sit-to-stand movement in stroke patients..... | 44 |
| (E) Biomechanical consideration of balance in normal subjects | 49 |
| | |
| (F) Balance during body transport in normal subjects..... | 51 |
| 1 - Balance during sit-to-stand movement | 51 |
| 2- Balance during standing..... | 52 |
| 3 - Trunk control in normal subjects..... | 54 |
| (G) Assessment of balance..... | 55 |

| | |
|--|-------------|
| CHAPTER No. | Page |
| (H) Biomechanical consideration of balance in stroke patients | 57 |
| (I) Balance during body transport in stroke patients | 58 |
| 1-Balance disturbance in stroke patients during sit-to-stand movement..... | 58 |
| 2-Balance disturbance in stroke patients during standing | 59 |
| 3 - Disturbance of trunk control in stroke patients.. | 60 |
| (J) Factors affecting lumbar spine range of motion and flexibility..... | 62 |
| (K) Instrumentation for measuring lumbar-hip motion... .. | 64 |
| CHAPTER III: SUBJECTS, MATERIALS AND METHODS | 65 |
| (A)Subject Selection..... | 65 |
| (B) Instrumentations..... | 67 |
| (C) Procedures..... | 73 |
| (D) Methods of statistical analysis..... | 83 |
| CHAPTER IV: RESULTS..... | 85 |
| CHAPTER V: DISCUSSION..... | 112 |
| SUMMARY..... | 131 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 134 |
| RECOMMENDATIONS..... | 135 |
| REFERENCES..... | 136 |
| APPENDICES | |
| ARABIC SUMMARY | |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table No. | Page |
|--|------|
| 1 Diagnosis and clinical description of the patients in mildly spastic stroke patients | 86 |
| 2 Diagnosis and clinical description of the patients in moderately spastic stroke patients | 87 |
| 3 The characteristics of the normal subjects | 88 |
| 4 Comparison between general characteristics among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects..... | 89 |
| 5 Frequency distribution of the side of clinical involvement and type of lesion in mildly and moderately spastic stroke patients | 89 |
| 6 Comparison of the mean values of the Berg balance scale score among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects | 91 |
| 7 Comparison between mildly and moderately spastic patients, mildly spastic patients and normal subjects, and between moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects mean values of Berg balance scale score | 92 |
| 8 Comparison of the mean values of the sit-to-stand duration among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during sit-to-stand movement..... | 93 |
| 9 Comparison between mildly and moderately spastic patients, mildly spastic patients and normal subjects, and between moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects mean values of the sit-to-stand duration..... | 94 |
| 10 Comparison between the left and right sided patients regarding the mean values of sit-to-stand duration for mildly and moderately spastic stroke patients..... | 95 |
| 11 Comparison between the hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke patients regarding the mean values of sit-to-stand duration for both mildly and moderately spastic stroke patients..... | 97 |
| 12 Correlation between Berg balance scale score and sit-to-stand duration for mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects..... | 98 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 13 Comparison of the mean values of percentage of buttock-lift off from the overall sit- to-stand duration among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during sit-to-stand movement..... | 100 |
| 14 Comparison between mildly and moderately spastic patients, mildly spastic patients and normal subjects, and between moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects mean values of the percentage of buttock lift-off from the overall sit-to- stand duration | 101 |
| 15 Comparison of the mean values of the range of motion of thoracic spine among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during sit-to-stand movement..... | 104 |
| 16 Comparison between mildly and moderately spastic patients, mildly spastic patients and normal subjects, and between moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects mean values of the thoracic spine during pre and post buttock lift-off phase..... | 104 |
| 17 Comparison of the mean values of the lumbar spine range of motion among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during pre and post buttock lift- off phases.... | 107 |
| 18 Comparison between mildly and moderately spastic patients, mildly spastic patients and normal subjects, and between moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects mean values of the lumbar spine range of motion during pre and post buttock lift-off phases..... | 107 |
| 19 Comparison of the mean values of the hip joint range of motion among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during pre and post buttock lift-off phases... | 110 |
| 20 Comparison between mildly and moderately spastic patients, mildly spastic patients and normal subjects, and between moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects mean values of the hip joint range of motion during pre and post buttock lift-off phases | 110 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | Page |
|---|------|
| 1 Different phases of sit-to-stand movement | 19 |
| 2 Phases of sit-to-stand movement | 19 |
| 3 The direction of segmental movement during sit-to-stand..... | 21 |
| 4 Movement of the shoulder, hip and knee during sit-to-stand movement..... | 24 |
| 5 Range of motion of the hip joint during sit-to-stand movement | 24 |
| 6 Range of motion of the knee joint during sit-to-stand movement .. | 25 |
| 7 Range of motion of the ankle joint during sit-to-stand movement | 26 |
| 8 Preferred foot placement..... | 31 |
| 9 The three starting positions of the trunk..... | 33 |
| 10 Trajectory of the total body center of mass observed during the four sit-to-stand tasks | 39 |
| 11 The stability of the pelvis..... | 54 |
| 12 Height and weight scale | 68 |
| 13 Universal goniometer..... | 69 |
| 14 The motion capture unit system overview | 70 |
| 15 The wand kit..... | 71 |
| 16 The markers shape..... | 72 |
| 17 Markers placement on the patient..... | 76 |
| 18 Armless adjustable height chair..... | 79 |
| 19 Anterior view of the normal subject with his arms folded across his chest | 80 |
| 20 Diagram illustrating method of calculation of thoracic, lumbar, and hip flexion- Extension angles..... | 83 |
| 21 Frequency distribution of the side of clinical involvement in mildly spastic stroke patients..... | 90 |
| 22 Frequency distribution of the side of clinical involvement in moderately spastic stroke patients..... | 90 |
| 23 Frequency distribution of the type of the lesion in mildly spastic stroke patients..... | 90 |
| 24 Frequency distribution of the type of the lesion in moderately spastic stroke patients..... | 90 |

| Figure No. | Page |
|---|-------------|
| 25 Comparison of the mean values of the Berg balance scale score among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects | 92 |
| 26 Comparison of the mean values of the sit-to-stand duration among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during sit-to-stand movement..... | 94 |
| 27 Comparison between the left and right sided patients regarding the mean values of sit-to-stand duration for mildly and moderately spastic stroke patients..... | 96 |
| 28 Comparison between the hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke patients regarding the mean values of sit-to-stand duration for both mildly and moderately spastic stroke patients..... | 97 |
| 29 Correlation between Berg balance scale score and sit-to-stand duration in mildly spastic stroke patients..... | 98 |
| 30 Correlation between Berg balance scale score and sit-to-stand duration in moderately spastic stroke patients..... | 99 |
| 31 Correlation between Berg balance score and sit-to-stand duration in normal subjects..... | 99 |
| 32 Comparison of the mean values of percentage of buttock lift-off from the overall sit- to-stand duration among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during sit-to-stand movement..... | 101 |
| 33 Comparison of the mean values of the range of motion of thoracic spine among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during sit-to-stand movement during pre lift-off phase..... | 105 |
| 34 Comparison of the mean values of the range of motion of thoracic spine among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during sit-to-stand movement during post lift-off phase..... | 105 |
| 35 Comparison of the mean values of the lumbar spine range of motion among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during pre lift-off phase | 108 |

| Figure No. | Page |
|---|-------------|
| 36 Comparison of the mean values of the lumbar spine range of motion among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during post lift-off phase..... | 108 |
| 37 Comparison of the mean values of the hip joint range of motion among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during pre lift-off phase..... | 111 |
| 38 Comparison of the mean values of the hip joint range of motion among mildly, moderately spastic stroke patients and normal subjects during post lift-off phase..... | 111 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|------------|---|
| 1/3 thigh: | At junction of the proximal one-third with the distal two-thirds of a line joining the apex of the greater trochanter and midpoint of the lateral knee joint line. |
| 2-D: | Two dimensional. |
| 3/4 thigh: | At the junction of the proximal three quarters and distal one-quarter of a straight line joining the apex of the greater trochanter to the midpoint of the lateral knee joint line. |
| 3-D: | Three-dimensional. |
| ANOVA: | Analysis of variance.. |
| A-P: | Antero-posterior. |
| ASIS: | Anterior superior iliac spine. |
| BBS: | Berg balance scale. |
| BOS: | Base of support. |
| BMI: | Body mass index. |
| C6: | Sixth thoracic vertebra. |
| C7: | Seventh thoracic vertebra. |
| Cm: | Centimeter. |
| COG: | Centre of gravity. |
| COM: | Centre of mass. |
| COP: | Centre of pressure. |
| EMG: | Electromyography. |
| FIM: | Functional Independence Measures. |
| Fig.: | Figure. |
| FSTS: | Forward sit to stand. |
| GIa: | Group Ia; mildly spastic stroke patients. |
| GIb: | Group Ib; moderately spastic stroke patients. |
| GII: | Group II; normal subjects. |
| GM: | Gluteus medius |
| HAT: | Head, arms and trunk. |
| ICC: | Intra class correlation coefficient. |
| Kg: | Kilogram. |

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| Kg / m ² : | Kilogram per meter square. |
| L1 | First lumbar vertebra. |
| L4: | Forth lumbar vertebra. |
| LO: | Lift-off. |
| LSD: | Least Significant difference. |
| Lt : | Left. |
| m ² : | Meter square . |
| MAS: | Modified Ashworth's Scale. |
| MCU: | Motion capture unit. |
| M-L: | Medio-lateral. |
| No.: | Number. |
| NSTS: | Normal STS. |
| PC: | Personal computer. |
| PSIS: | Posterior superior iliac spine. |
| QUA: | Quadriceps. |
| Q: | Qualisys. |
| r: | Pearson Correlation Coefficient. |
| ROM: | Range of motion. |
| Rt: | Right. |
| SD: | Standard Deviation. |
| S2: | Second sacral vertebra (the midpoint between the two posterior superior iliac spines. |
| sec: | Second. |
| SPSS: | Satistical package for social science. |
| STS: | Sit-to-stand. |
| SD: | Standard deviation. |
| T1: | First thoracic vertebra. |
| T4: | Forth thoracic vertebra. |
| T10: | Tenth thoracic vertebra. |
| TA: | Tibialis anterior. |
| TFL: | Tensor fascia lata. |
| yr: | Year. |

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

A cerebrovascular accident or stroke has been defined as the sudden onset of neurologic signs and symptoms resulting from a disturbance of blood supply to the brain. It was classified as either hemorrhagic or ischemic. Ischemic strokes can be subdivided into two major categories: those that result from thrombosis and those that result from an embolus, whereas hemorrhagic strokes including those that are caused by intracerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and arteriovenous malformation (**Martin and Kessler, 2007**). Approximately, 70 percent of all cerebrovascular accidents are due to ischemia, 20 percent are due to hemorrhage, and the remaining ten percent have an unspecified origin (**Reyson et al., 2001**).

Rising from a chair is performed many times daily and is important prerequisites to the achievement of many functional goals (**Chou et al., 2003**), as well as, maintaining independence in everyday life (**Eriks-rud and Bohannon, 2003**). Inability to perform this essential activity may lead to dependence, institutionalization and even death in elderly subjects (**Janssen et al., 2002**). This task requires some skills as coordination between the trunk and lower limbs, muscle strength, equilibrium and stability and it is often considered into clinical evaluation scales of different pathologies (**Galli et al., 2008**). Following stroke, the ability to rise from a chair is reduced (**Roy et al., 2007**). The most common reason concerned with the difficulty of rising from a chair in stroke patients is mainly related to

difficulty in generating timing and sufficient force in the lower limb extensor muscles to propel the body mass vertically (**Carr and Shepherd, 1998**).

Although a seemingly simple task, sit-to-stand (STS) movement requires the coordinated interaction of linked body segments to transport effectively the body's centre of mass (COM) in a horizontal then vertical direction while maintaining balance over a small base of support, the feet. The basic kinematic include flexion of the trunk and hips to bring the COM forward, followed by bilateral extension of the lower limb joints and trunk extension to raise the body mass in a vertical direction over the feet (**Roebroeck et al., 1994**).

Trunk control requires coordinated interaction of the spine and hip and it is a prerequisite for independence in functional activities as STS transfer. Loss of trunk control is commonly observed in stroke patients. Impairment in trunk control may lead to increased risk of falls, visual dysfunction secondary to resultant head/neck malalignment, decreased independence in activities of daily living and decreased sitting and standing tolerance and balance (**Gillen and Burkhardt, 1998**).

Three dimensional (3-D) analysis system has been used as an accurate quantitative assessment of human movements in different degrees of freedom. It is as an objective method that can be used for studying the control and coordination of the trunk and lower extremity functions.

A major reason for the focus on trunk movement is that during the rehabilitation of people with neurological impairment, trunk alignment and