Shear Bond Strength of Two Resin Cements to Ceramic and Tooth Substrate (Enamel And Dentin) Using Different Bonding Approaches

Thesis

Research Project Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University in Partial Fulfillment of the Master Degree In

(Fixed Prosthodontics)

By

Ahmed Nabil Abdel Aziz,

B.D.S (2001)

Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine
Cairo University
2009

Supervisors

Dr. Ashraf Hassan Mokhtar

Professor & head of Fixed Prosthodontics department Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine Cairo University

Dr. Gehan Abd El-Hady ElNaggar

Associate Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine Cairo University

ACKNOWLEDGEMEN7S

The completion of this thesis became possible with the help and support of a number of people to whom I am greatly indebted. I believe it is my duty to register here my deep gratitude to them.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to **Dr.** Ashraf Hassan

Mokhtar, Professor & head of Fixed Prosthodontics department, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his guidance, support, directions and continuous encouragement. It has been my privilege and almost pleasure to conduct this work under his supervision.

A special acknowledgment for **Dr.***Gehan Abd El-Hady ElNaggar*, Assistant professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for her continuous, endless help, motivation and encouragement through the various stages of this study.

My acknowledgement would be incomplete without extending my thanks to *Dr. Mohammed Mahmoud Abdel Mohsen*, professor of operative dentistry, Faculty of Oral & Dental Medicine, Cairo University and former dean of Misr international university and *Dr.Marwa Mokbel*, lecturer of oral pathology, Misr international university, for their generous help, effort and time that have been of great value for this research.

I would not forget to thank the staff members of the fixed Prosthodontics Department for all their help and cooperation during my research work.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my mother & sisters who are always supporting and encouraging me in every step in my life.

قوة الربط القصية لأسمنتين راتنجيين مع الخزف و المادة السنية (المينا والعاج) باستخدام مداخل مختلفة للربط

رسالة مقدمة من

الطبيب /أحمد نبيل عبد العزيز بكالوريوس طب و جراحة الفم والاسنان (۲۰۰۱)

إستيفاء للحصول على درجة الماجستير في فرع الإستعاضات السنية المثبتة

كلية طب الفم والاسنان جامعة القاهرة ٢٠٠٩

المشرفون

أد./ أشرف حسن مختار

أستاذ و رئيس مجلس قسم الاستعاضات السنية المثبتة كلية طب الفم والأسنان جامعة القاهرة

أرم/جيهان عبد الهادى النجار أستاذ مساعد بقسم الاستعاضات السنية المثبتة كلية طب الفم والأسنان جامعة القاهرة

List Of Contents

	Page No.
List of figures	II
List of Tables	VI
Introduction	1
Review of Literature	3
Aim of the study	30
Materials and Methods	31
Results	56
Discussion	81
Summary	89
Conclusions	91
Recommendation	92
References	03

List Of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No
1	Rectangular shape mold for teeth fixation.	35
2	Disassembled mold.	35
3	Lower molar fixed within acrylic block with flattened enamel surface.	36
4	Lower molar fixed within acrylic block with flattened dentin surface.	37
5	Ceramic disc of 5 mm diameter & 0.8mm thickness.	40
6	Copper mold for wax pattern fabrication.	41
7	Wax patter inside the copper mold.	41
8	Attachment of the sprue.(a)Front view(b)Top view.	42
9	Investment ring and AlOx plunger preheated in the burn out furnace.	43
10	EP 600 press furnace.	43
11	Investment ring, IPS Empress 2 ingot and AlOx placed within the press furnace.	44
12	Removed investment ring from the press furnace and placed on IPS Empress cooling rack.	44
13	Finished and treated IPS Empress 2 disc (5 mm in diameter and 0.8 mm in thickness).	45
14	Component parts of the cementing device.	51
15	Cemented disc sample within the cementing device.	51
16	Schematic diagram of the cementing device.	52

Figure No.	Title	Page No
17	Testing machine.	53
18	Tooth sample secured to the lower fixed compartment of testing machine by tightening screws.	54
19	Histogram showing Effect of different bonding approaches on shear bond strength.	58
20	Histogram showing the effect of tooth substrate, whether enamel or dentin, on shear bond strength.	59
21	SEmicrograph showing total etch approach to enamel.	63
22	SEmicrograph showing total etch approach to enamel.	64
23	SEmicrograph showing total etch approach to enamel.	64
24	SEmicrograph showing total etch approach to enamel.	65
25	SEmicrograph showing total etch approach to dentin.	65
26	SEmicrograph showing total etch approach to dentin.	66
27	SEmicrograph showing total etch approach to dentin.	66
28	SEmicrograph showing self etch approach to enamel.	67
29	SEmicrograph showing self etch approach to enamel.	67
30	SEmicrograph showing self etch approach to enamel.	68
31	SEmicrograph showing self etch approach to dentin.	68
32	SEmicrograph showing self etch approach to dentin.	69

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
33	SEmicrograph showing self etch approach to dentin.	69
34	SEmicrograph showing self etch approach to dentin.	70
35	SEmicrograph showing self etch approach to dentin.	70
36	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to enamel.	71
37	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to enamel.	71
38	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to enamel.	72
39	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to enamel.	72
40	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to dentin.	73
41	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to dentin.	73
42	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to dentin.	74
43	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to dentin.	74
44	SEmicrograph showing self adhesive approach to dentin.	75
45	Histogram showing effect of different bonding	g 76

Figure No.	Title	Page No
	approaches on pore number at resin-tooth interface.	
46	Histogram showing effect of tooth substrate on pore number at resin-tooth interface.	77
47	Ceramic disc bonded to enamel employing total etch approach.	78
48	Ceramic disc bonded to dentin employing total etch approach.	78
49	Ceramic disc bonded to enamel employing self etch approach.	79
50	Ceramic disc bonded to dentin employing self etch approach.	79
51	Ceramic disc bonded to enamel employing self adhesive approach.	80
52	Ceramic disc bonded to dentin employing self adhesive approach.	80

List Of Tables

Fable 1	No. Title	Page No.
1	Factorial design for the disc shaped samples.	46
2	Results of the effect of different bonding approaches on shear bond strength to enamel and dentin (in MPa).	57
3	Results of the effect of tooth substrate (Enamel or Dentin) on shear bond strength (in MPa).	59
4	Results of the effect of different bonding approaches on pore number.	76
5	Results of the effect of tooth substrate (Enamel or Dentin) on pore number.	77

Introduction

Advances in adhesive technology have occurred at a remarkable place over the past 10 years. It seems as though every month brings a "new" and "better" bonding system onto the market. Clinical protocol is constantly changing. Just when clinicians have mastered one technique, they find it has been replaced by another.

Adhesion offers a number of advantages including retention and stabilization of restoration without removal of sound tooth structure; it reduces marginal leakage at the restoration tooth interface, transmits and distributes functional stresses across the bonding interface to the tooth structure with potential to reinforce weakened tooth structure (78). It has also expanded the range of possibilities for aesthetic restorative dentistry (38).

Today's patient pays more attention to cosmetics than ever before, and teeth are a key consideration in personal appearance. Tooth-colored restorative materials are used to cosmetically restore and/or re-contour teeth with little or no tooth preparation. Advances in dental adhesive technology have allowed the dentist to improve facial aesthetics in a relatively simple and economical way.

Bond strength between tooth substrate and restoration is affected by a variety of factors including type of luting agent, content of adhesive material and type of surface pretreatment of both tooth and fitting surface of the restoration.

Eventually, the current adhesive systems should be able to provide sealed margins, but the progressive loss of this marginal seal and successive marginal deterioration caused by microleakage, is mainly caused by polymerization shrinkage of resin cement and stresses resulting from thermal dimensional changes. Therefore, most progress in adhesive