

EVALUATION OF LEFT VENTRICULAR SYSTOLIC FUNCTION IN PATIENTS WITH PRIMARY DIASTOLIC HEART FAILURE

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the Master Degree in Cardiology

By

Ahmed Mohamed ElGuindy

MB.Bch, MRCP (UK)

Under the supervision of

Hussein H. Rizk, MD

Professor of Cardiology, Cairo University

Wafaa A. El Aroussy, MD

Professor of Cardiology, Cairo University

Azza A. Farrag, MD

Assistant Professor of Cardiology, Cairo University

Faculty of Medicine

Cairo University

2010

CONTENTS

Item	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	II
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	III
LIST OF FIGURES	VI
LIST OF TABLES	VIII
INTRODUCTION	1
AIM OF WORK	3
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
Chapter 1: Epidemiology of Diastolic Heart Failure	5
Chapter 2: Physiology of Myocardial Relaxation and Diastolic Function	11
Chapter 3: Molecular Mechanisms of Diastolic Dysfunction	22
Chapter 4: Diastolic Versus Systolic Heart Failure	30
Chapter 5: Evaluation of Left Ventricular Diastolic Function	35
Chapter 6: Evaluation of Left Ventricular Systolic Function	61
Chapter 7: Management and Prognosis of Diastolic Heart Failure	74
STUDY POPULATION AND METHODS	78
RESULTS	86
DISCUSSION	101
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	114
REFERENCES	118
MASTER TABLES	134
ARABIC SUMMARY	

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my mentors and colleagues for their unfailing help and support in completing this work.

I am extremely grateful to Prof Hussein Rizk, who proposed the idea of the work and has been instrumental in guiding me through the process of patient selection, evaluation and discussing the results. I am equally grateful to Prof Wafaa El-Aroussi for her help in designing the research plan and for her prudence in studying and interpreting the collected data. I am deeply indebted to Prof Azza Farrag for her immense help in obtaining the echocardiograms for most patients, for her perseverant attitude in guiding me in the different measurements and calculations and for her unwavering support throughout the various stages of this work.

My heartfelt thanks is also extended to my professors and teachers at Cairo University, especially Prof Ayman Kaddah, Dr Walid Ammar and Dr Karim Said for their guidance and encouragement throughout my training years.

I remain thankful to my family for their solid support, encouragement and patience throughout the different stages of this work. Finally, I wish to express my deep gratitude to my father; his mentorship, guidance and priceless advice have always been a cornerstone to my progress.

Ahmed ElGuindy

ABSTRACT

Background: Heart failure is a burgeoning problem worldwide with approximately one half of the affected patients having normal or near-normal left ventricular systolic function. Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction is an essential requirement for the diagnosis of this condition, but the extent of possible systolic function impairment remains enigmatic. Subtle systolic function abnormalities may not be reflected by estimation of conventional systolic function parameters including ejection fraction, fractional shortening, and mean circumferential fiber shortening rate.

Objective: Studying the status of left ventricular systolic function in patients with diastolic heart failure using novel parameters including mitral annular plane systolic excursion which reflects LV longitudinal axis systolic shortening, pre-ejection contraction time, isovolumic contraction time, systolic ejection time and myocardial performance index (MPI).

Methods: 30 patients with symptoms and/or signs of heart failure with echocardiographic evidence of diastolic dysfunction and normal left ventricular ejection fraction ($> 50\%$) were recruited for the study, in addition to 10 age- and sex-matched healthy controls. All study subjects and controls had full clinical history, physical examination, 12-lead electrocardiogram and a comprehensive echocardiographic evaluation with measurement of a number of LV systolic function parameters (fractional shortening, ejection fraction, mitral annular plane systolic excursion, myocardial performance index, precontraction time, contraction time, mitral annular systolic velocity and time to peak mitral annular systolic velocity).

Results: There was no significant difference in LV systolic function parameters between patients and controls apart from minor (but statistically significant) reduction in mitral annular plane systolic excursion (14.08 ± 2.84 vs 16.1 ± 2.69 , $p = 0.046$ for patients and controls respectively).

Conclusion: Our findings clearly show that patients with diastolic heart failure do not exhibit any significant impairment of left ventricular systolic function.

Key Words: Diastolic heart failure, left ventricular systolic function, mitral annular plane systolic excursion, long-axis function, myocardial performance index.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	Late mitral inflow
A'	Peak late diastolic mitral annular velocity
ACEI	Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors
AF	Atrial fibrillation
ANP	atrial natriuretic peptide
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
BNP	Brain natriuretic peptide
CAD	Coronary artery disease
cGMP	cyclic Guanosine monophosphate
CHARM	Candesartan in Heart failure Assessment Reduction of Mortality and morbidity
CHF	Congestive heart failure
CKD	Chronic kidney disease
CMR	Cardiovascular magnetic resonance
CNP	C-type natriuretic peptide
COPD	Chronic obstructive lung disease
CT	Contraction time
CVS	Cerebrovascular stroke
DIG	Digitalis Investigators Group
DM	Diabetes mellitus
DNP	Dendroaspis natriuretic peptide
E	Early mitral inflow
E'	Peak early diastolic mitral annular velocity
ECM	Extracellular matrix
EFFECT	Enhanced Feedback for Effective Cardiac Treatment
ESC	European Society of cardiology
ESPVR	End-systolic pressure-volume relationship

ET	Ejection time
HTN	Hypertension
IVC	Isovolumic contraction
IVCT	Isovolumic contraction time
IVR	Isovolumic relaxation
IVRT	Isovolumic relaxation time
IVS	Interventricular septum
LAP	Left atrial pressure
LV	Left ventricle
LVEDD	Left ventricular end-diastolic dimension
LVEDV	Left ventricular end-diastolic volume
LVEF	Left ventricular ejection fraction
LVESD	Left ventricular end-systolic dimension
LVESV	Left ventricular end-systolic volume
MAPSE	Mitral annular plane systolic excursion
MRI	Magnetic resonance imaging
NEP	Neutral endopeptidase
NHF	National Heart Failure project
NPR	Natriuretic peptide receptor
NT	N-terminal
NYHA	New York Heart Association
PASP	Pulmonary artery systolic pressure
PCT	Pre-contraction time
PVd	Pulmonary vein diastolic flow
PVs	Pulmonary vein systolic flow
PWT	Posterior wall thickness
RV	Right ventricle
RVSP	Right ventricular systolic pressure
RyR	Ryanodine receptor

S'	Peak mitral annular systolic velocity
SERCA	Sarcoplasmic endoplasmic reticulum Ca^{2+} ATPase
SR	Sarcoplasmic reticulum
TAPSE	Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion
TDI	Tissue Doppler imaging
TST	Total systolic time
VCF	Velocity of circumferential fiber shortening
Vp	Propagation velocity

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1	Prevalence of heart failure in cross-sectional, population-based echocardiographic studies	7
Figure 2	The four stages of diastole	12
Figure 3	Excitation-contraction and inactivation-relaxation coupling in cardiomyocytes	15
Figure 4	Left ventricular distensibility, cavity size and compliance	18
Figure 5	Molecular mechanisms of diastolic dysfunction	23
Figure 6	Phenotype paradigm of chronic heart failure	28
Figure 7	Calculation of time constant of myocardial relaxation (τ)	36
Figure 8	Left ventricular and segmental diastolic distensibility before and during pacing-induced angina	37
Figure 9	Transmitral Doppler left ventricular inflow velocity, Doppler tissue velocity, and color M-mode imaging during diastole	42
Figure 10	Patterns of mitral inflow velocity, pulmonary venous flow, and mitral annular velocity in normal individuals and in diastolic dysfunction	47
Figure 11	Schematic representation of noninvasive methods to diagnose diastolic heart failure	56
Figure 12	Mitral inflow and pulmonary venous flow from a patient with increased left ventricular end-diastolic pressure	59
Figure 13	Diagnostic algorithm for estimation of left ventricular filling pressure in patients with normal LVEF	60
Figure 14	LV pressure-volume loops with a normal and an acute decrease in contractile state	65
Figure 15	Calculation of myocardial performance index (MPI)	66
Figure 16	Obtaining Doppler tissue imaging of the mitral annulus or of two	

	adjacent points for calculation of myocardial strain or strain rate	69
Figure 17	Parasternal short-axis view recorded at the base and at apical level in a patient with normal ventricular function	73
Figure 18	M-mode guided registration of mitral annular plane systolic displacement	81
Figure 19	Calculation of myocardial performance index	83
Figure 20	Schematic representation of various tissue-Doppler derived indices	84
Figure 21	Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors and comorbidities among patients	88
Figure 22	Correlation between average peak mitral annular systolic velocity (S') and average mitral annular plane systolic excursion	99
Figure 23	Correlation between E/E' ratio and average mitral annular plane systolic excursion	100

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
Table 1	Patient characteristics and comorbidities at study entry	79
Table 2	Baseline characteristics of patients and controls	88
Table 3	Echocardiographic measurements and global LV systolic function	89
Table 4	Non-conventional echocardiographic indices of LV systolic function	90
Table 5	Mitral annular plane systolic excursion (MAPSE) measured at four sites of the mitral annulus	91
Table 6	Echocardiographic parameters of left ventricular diastolic function	92
Table 7	LV systolic function parameters in patients with delayed relaxation and pseudonormalization	93
Table 8	Left ventricular systolic function parameters in patients with coronary artery disease	95
Table 9	Left ventricular systolic function parameters in patients with systemic hypertension	95
Table 10	Left ventricular systolic function parameters in patients with diabetes mellitus	97
Table 11	Left ventricular systolic function parameters in patients with chronic kidney disease	97
Table 12	Left ventricular systolic function parameters in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy	99

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure due to primary diastolic dysfunction has been variously termed as diastolic heart failure, heart failure with preserved (or mildly abnormal) left ventricular systolic function and heart failure with normal (or mildly reduced) left ventricular ejection fraction. This reflects the uncertainty about the accepted magnitude of systolic dysfunction associated with this disorder. Such uncertainty stems essentially from the limitations of the various parameters of left ventricular systolic function. The most commonly used index of global ventricular systolic function is the left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). However, many pitfalls may contribute to misleading LVEF estimation. These include poor visualization of the left ventricular endocardium, various arrhythmias, acute ischemia, myocardial depressants, asynchrony of left ventricular contraction and foreshortening of left ventricular images. The use of Doppler echocardiography to determine the stroke volume and rate of rise of left ventricular systolic pressure is also limited by the mathematical assumption implied in calculating the left ventricular outflow, the variability in angle of incidence of the Doppler beam and the requirement for adequate recording of mitral regurgitation. Even newer echocardiography modalities including tissue Doppler and three-dimensional echocardiography have their own limitations in detecting and quantitating left ventricular systolic dysfunction. Although strain and strain rate imaging have the advantage of being less affected by motion or tethering, they are limited by their signal to noise ratio. Three-dimensional echocardiography provides tomographic cuts for evaluation of regional function and calculation of volume from any window but still imaging resolution is inferior to that of two-dimensional echocardiography.

Estimating the degree of systolic dysfunction in patients with primary diastolic heart failure is not only required for appropriate diagnosis of this condition, but it also has both therapeutic and prognostic implications. The prognosis of heart failure patients with normal systolic function is generally thought to be less ominous than that of patients with systolic dysfunction. This advantage will obviously be lost if prominent systolic dysfunction is associated with diastolic dysfunction. From the therapeutic standpoint, positive inotropic agents are often

avoided in conditions of diastolic dysfunction since they have the potential to worsen pathophysiological processes such as myocardial ischemia that is a frequent cause of diastolic dysfunction. However, such agents may be of salutary value if associated systolic dysfunction is a prominent feature and conversely, negative inotropic agents should be cautiously utilized in such circumstances.

A new and relatively simple method of estimating left ventricular systolic function relies on the measurement of ventricular long axis velocities and amplitude using M-mode and tissue Doppler imaging of the mitral annulus. The left ventricular long axis is claimed to be a particularly sensitive measure of ventricular systolic function reflecting the subendocardial position of the longitudinal fibers which makes them more vulnerable to ischemia, left ventricular hypertrophy and other abnormalities of activation and relaxation. The technique of measuring atrioventricular plane displacement as a result of systolic long axis shortening is readily mastered and lacks most of the disadvantages associated with traditional echocardiographic techniques.

The intricate relationship between systole and diastole is supported by a substantial body of experimental evidence. Conceptually myocardial contraction and relaxation should be considered as a continuous cycle. The energy generated during systole is stored within the myocardium particularly in coiled collagen fibers and with the onset of diastole the ventricle uncoils creating left ventricular suction. As myocyte contractile function declines, recoil will also decline in parallel. This decline may be exacerbated by independent changes in extracellular matrix.

AIM OF WORK

This study has four primary objectives:

1. Study the status of left ventricular systolic function in patients presenting with primary diastolic heart failure using novel parameters that may prove more sensitive than the commonly used ejection fraction.
2. Evaluating the value of mitral annular plane systolic excursion in detecting subtle changes in left ventricular systolic function that are undetectable by estimates of global systolic function in this cohort of heart failure patients.
3. Confirming or refuting the concept that systolic and diastolic heart failure are a continuum of pathophysiological changes as opposed to being two separate disorders with different morphological and physiological features.
4. Discussing the potential impact of our findings on the prognosis and therapy of patients with diastolic heart failure, a condition that still suffers from the scarcity of evidence-based management strategies.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

CHAPTER 1

EPIDEMIOLOGY OF DIASTOLIC HEART FAILURE

Heart failure is a major public health problem. Given the data showing a significant increase in the number of heart failure related hospitalizations, it can be regarded as an emerging epidemic ¹. Recently, consistent figures regarding the incidence and prevalence of heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) became available despite different definitions, diagnostic modalities and populations included. ²

Incidence

Data from the Olmsted County, Minnesota cohort-based study revealed that 43% of patients with newly diagnosed heart failure had normal LVEF. The group of patients with heart failure with normal LVEF was characterized by a higher proportion of women (69%), more advanced age (mean age 77 years), higher prevalence of atrial fibrillation (29%), lower prevalence of coronary artery disease (31%) and previous myocardial infarction (15%) compared with patients with heart failure and LVEF < 50% (women 41%, mean age 74 years, atrial fibrillation 24%, coronary artery disease 53% and previous myocardial infarction 42%). Interestingly, there was no difference between the two populations in the prevalence of hypertension and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. In logistic regression analysis, two factors were independently associated with LVEF in patients with newly diagnosed heart failure: female sex and age > 90. ³

Prevalence (*Figure 1*)

Recently published data derived from consecutive patients hospitalized with decompensated heart failure in Olmsted County, Minnesota showed that prevalence of heart failure with normal LVEF significantly increased over the 15-year observation period (1987-2001) at a rate of 1% per year. Over the same period, there was no change in the prevalence of heart failure with reduced LVEF. There were more women and obese patients in the groups with normal