DIFFERENT MODALITIES OF BREAST AUGMENTATION MAMMOPLASTY

Essay
Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master
Degree in General Surgery

Presented by
Shehta Mostafa Shehta Zurub
M. B. B. Ch.

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Alaa Abbas Sabry

Professor of general surgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Hossam EL Sadek Ibrahim

Lecturer of general surgery
Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Fathy EL Sherif

Lecturer of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University Cairo – Egypt

Acknowledgement

First of all, I thank **ALLAH** who gave me the power to finish this work which I hope, can be a humble contribution to research in the field of breast surgery.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to *Prof. Dr.* Alaa Abbas Sabry, Professor of general surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his guidance and supervision.

I would also express my warmest thanks to **Dr. Hossam EL Sadek Ibrahim**, lecturer of general surgery Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his help and encouragement through this work.

My deepest appreciation and greatest thanks to **Dr. Ahmed Fathy EL Sherif** Lecturer of Plastic and Reconstruction Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, who was very kind, and saving no time of effort helping me with this work.

Last but not least i would like to express my best regards and thanks to all who gave me a hand while working in this research and i would like to dedicate this work to my beloved family who supported me to complete this work.

Contents

	Page
I- Introduction	1
II- Aim of the Work	4
III – Anatomy of the female breast	5
IV – Anthropomorphic measurements of the breast	20
- The golden ratios of the breast	26
- Protocol for measurements	30
V – Breast Implants	36
- Smooth versus textured	39
- Silicon versus saline	47
VI – Position of implants	61
- Submammary versus supbectoral	61
- Dual plane	65
- Subfascial	67
VII – Surgical approaches	70
- Inframammary approach	73
- Periareolar approach	75
- Intragreolar approach	77

-	Axillary approach	79
-	Axillary endoscopic approach	85
-	Transumbilical approach	87
VIII -	- Complications of Breast augmentation	90
IX –	Algorithm for breast augmentation	106
X – C	Conclusion and summary	111
XI – 2	References	113
XII -	Arabic summary	•••••

List of Figures

Fig.	Descriptions	
1	Milk line of the breast	6
2	Mammary gland development	7
3	Diagrammatic sagittal section through the non lactating female breast and anterior thoracic wall.	8
4	Deep breast fascia.	10
5	Arterial distribution of blood to the breast, axilla, and chest wall	12
6	Venous drainage of the breast, axilla, and chest wall	13
7	Lymph nodes of the breast and axilla	14
8	Schematic drawing of the breast identifying the position of lymph nodes relative to the breast and illustrating routes of lymphatic drainage	
9	Levels of axillary lymph nodes identified in relation to the pectoralis minor muscle: I lateral, II behind, III medial.	16
10	Nerves of the breast and axilla	19
11	Breast shape	20
12	Position of the breast.	21
13	Breast caliber	22

14	The infra mammary crease	23
15	Breast measurement	
16	The aesthetically perfect breast (no common aesthetic procedures suggested). b Side view	25
17	Leonardo DaVinci's representation of human body lengths	26
18	Le Corbusier study on body proportions	26
19	The <i>upper row</i> represents a series of breast heights with progressively increasing projection. The <i>lower row</i> represents the same breast profiles with variation according to the factor of gravity	28
20	Frontal dimension ratio progression height over width. Ratios from 0.7 to 1.3 are harmonious	28
21	a) Mushroom deformity due to prosthesis too large in diameter. b) Excessive lateral position of prostheses, leading to asymmetrical breasts	29
22	The squash deformity due to a prosthesis with a too-high profile in a tight breast without lifting	30
23	Illustration showing the lines of measurement for the anterior chest, breast, and arm	31
24	(Above) Polyurethane-covered silicone gel-filled breast implant. (Below) The appearance of the polyurethane as seen by electron microscopy	37
25	(<i>Left</i>) The MSI textured surface. (<i>Right</i>) A close-up view of its surface as seen by electron microscopy	38

26	(Above) The Siltex textured-surface, saline-filled breast implant. (Below) A close-up of its surface as seen by electron microscopy	
27	(Above) The Biocell textured-surface, tissue expander. (Center) The Biocell textured-surface, saline-filled breast implant. (Below) A close-up of the implant's textured surface as seen by electron microscopy	
28	The "Velcro" effect	42
29	Permanent puckering (folding into ridges) of prosthesis	42
30	Rotation with an anatomic implant	44
31	Gel-filled Mentor implant cut open to show the gel stays inside because it is "cohesive"	48
32	Left: Shape-stable cohesive gel-filled Allergan 410 implant maintains its shape when upright. Right: Standard gel filled implant sags toward the bottom	48
33	An overfilled implant will lose the anterior rippling but develop scalloping on the edges	51
34	An under filled saline implant will have increased rippling on the anterior surface	52
35	A moderate-profile Mentor 325-ml implant filled with stated minimum of 325 ml	53
36	a) Gel-filled implant has no ripples on surface or scallops on edges. b) Gel-filled implant maintains smooth contour when patient is lying down	53

37	Tissue has grown around protective strap of a saline filled implant that was removed and replaced for aesthetic reasons	56
38	a) 31-year-old patient with 255-ml submuscular saline-filled implants with Baker III capsular contracture. b) The implants feel firm and the superior hemispheres are visibly protruding. c) The right-side implant has deflated. d) Deflated right implant and Baker III contracture on the left side. The same contracture on the right probably caused the fold flaw failure and deflation. e) After insertion of new size 240-ml submuscular saline-filled implants. f) Baker I result. Implant feels soft and superior hemisphere is no longer convex	57
39	a) 1 A 51-year-old patient with Baker IV capsules around Submammary gel-filled implants. 2 Side view. b) Mammogram showing calcified capsule around implant. c) After complete capsulectomy and insertion of smooth gel-filled 240-ml implants beneath pectoralis muscle. d) Baker I result	58
40	a) Thin patient with saline-filled implants who has minimal soft tissue coverage. Scalloping of implants can be seen. b) Patient with edges of implants highly visible and palpable. c Patient with gel-filled implants in whom the edges are not prominent	60
41	a) Submammary pocket	62 63

42	a) Sub pectoral pocket	64
	b) Supbectoral breast augmentation	65
43	Dual-plane breast augmentation	66
44	a) Preoperative. B) Six weeks after subglandular breast augmentation via an inframammary approach	73
45	Inframammary approach to subglandular breast augmentation	74
46	a) <i>1–3</i> Preoperative photos of 22-year-old woman	
	b) 1–3 Postoperative photos 6 months after breast augmentation with sub pectoral implants using an inferior periareolar incision	76
47	Marking. a) Midline marked and template placed over right breast, centering the nipple under the highest point of the template. b) Marking of opposite side. c The surgeon should make sure that the medial edge of the template mark on each side is equidistant to the midline	77
48	Intraareolar markings	78
49	a) Patient with hypomastia. b) The patient is marked while standing. c) Preoperative markings	79
50	Axillary incision a) followed by dissection with Mayo scissors b)	80
51	Creation of intramuscular plane between pectoralis major and minor via digital dissection	81

52	Surgical use of a Van Buren dissector a) to complete the subpectoral pocket b)	81
53	a) Implant placement. b) One-way stopcock closed system	82
54	Postoperative placement of the foam tape a) and bra b)	82
55	The axillary scar is virtually imperceptible	84
56	(Above) Axillary incision location. A line indicates the middle of the lateral border of the pectoralis. The dot is located in the apex of the hair-bearing skin of the axilla. (Center) The incision begins not less than 1 cm posterior to the posterior edge of the pectoralis lateral border, passes through the dot in the apex of the axilla, and continues high in the posterior axilla to minimize visibility. (Below) A properly located incision is invisible with the patient's hand is on her hip	85
57	(Above) The right-angled endoscope-retractor system. (Below) The Probe Plus II dissecting instrument incorporates a retractable sleeve that covers or exposes a needle tip (inset)	86
58	Retractor positions for pocket dissection	86
59	The 50-cm-long dissector with a 1-cm-diameter rhomboid shaped plastic tip	88
60	Introduction of the expander into the subglandular pocket with the PIC	89

61	The prosthesis is filled with saline to improve the subglandular pocket. The expander will be replaced by a permanent prosthesis with the PIC	89
62	a) Preoperative augmentation mammoplasty. b) Six months postoperative with steroid-containing prosthesis. c) Bilateral ptosis occurring with the steroid-containing prosthesis. d) Capsular contracture on left developed following prosthesis exchange to non-steroid-containing implant	
63	Possible "activators" of capsular contracture	93
64	Superior displacement (arrow) on the right side	97
65	Double bubble on the patient's left breast	98
66	Rotation of anatomic implant on the patient's left Breast	99
67	Hematoma on left breast	100
68	Rippling	101
69	Infection of right inframammary wound with improper scar position	101
70	Improper scar placement	102
71	Mondor's disease	103
72	A Synmastia secondary to dissection of the pockets to the midline of the sternum. b Same patient	105
73	Breast augmentation algorithm	107

List of Tables

N.	Description	Page
1	Different implant pockets and specific recommendations for the use of each	68, 69
2	Baker classification for grading capsular contracture	94
3	Comparison between the Baker (clinical) and Wilflingseder (histological) classification of capsular contracture	94

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Description
Ac–In	Acromion to inferior point
Ac-LPB	Acromion to lowest point of breast height
Ac-Ni	Acromion to nipple height
Ac-Ol	Acromion to olecranon
A–He	Areolar height
Ar–In	Areola to inframammary
Ar-LPB	Areola to lowest point of breast
A–Wi	Areolar width
FDA	Food and drug administration
H/P	Height over projection
H/W	Height over width
M-Ac	Manubrium to point of maximum lateral
	prominence of acromion
M-LPB	Manubrium to lowest point on breast
M-N	Manubrium to center of nipple
M-Ni	The distance from the manubrium notch to the
	center of the nipple
M-Pub	Manubrium to pubis
M–Um	Manubrium to umbilicus
М-Ху	Manubrium to xyphoid
N-Ac	Nipple to acromion
N-Cl	Nipple to clavicle
N-N	Nipple to nipple
N-Ni	Nipple-to-nipple distance
PIC	prosthesis introducing clamp
SSN	Suprasternal notch

Introduction

The breast has always been considered as one of the landmarks of femininity, almost from the beginning of recorded human history. While preferred breast size varies according to the culture, level of education and standard of living. *Sarver et al.* (2000) quoted that not every woman with abnormal breast seeks augmentation; the ones who do are invariably psychologically uncomfortable with their breasts.

However, the breast appearance has been both accentuated and diminished over many years with many anthropometric studies for the female breast. According to patient's requirement, facilities and experience of surgeons, a protocol of breast augmentation could be settled.

Sumner et al. (2007) said that no procedure in plastic surgery has been the subject of greater controversy, both scientifically and politically than breast augmentation. Yet, augmentation mammoplasty is the second most commonly performed cosmetic surgical procedure.

There are a number of rationales where the woman may consider breast augmentation. An estimated 70-80% of breast implant procedures were performed for cosmetic purposes and 20-30% for reconstruction of congenital anomalies or post mastectomy defects (*Hall*, 2004).

Stevens W.G. et al. (2007) mentioned that one stage mastopexy with breast augmentation is an increasingly popular procedure.

Spear et al. (2006) stated that four separate incisional sites of breast implant are known; inframammary, periareolar, axillary, or endoscope transumblical assisted breast augmentation (saline filled).

Sumner et al. (2007) focused on the great debates in plastic surgery, whether to place breast implants over the pectorals major muscle "sub glandular", under the muscle "sub pectoral" or at both planes "dual plane".

Breast augmentation offers a high rate of confidence and satisfaction, yet the complications remain frequent, and the causes are usually multifactorial. *Grolleau et al.* (2005) mentioned that complications are dividing into local complications that include capsular contracture, malposition of implant, acute or infra-clinical infections, while systemic complications are mainly immune disorder symptoms, nausea, and vomiting.

Many females are worried about the risk of breast cancer following breast augmentation. *Miglioretti et al.* (2004) said that breast augmentation is not associated with an increased risk of breast cancer, however breast augmentation may interfere