

Single incision versus conventional laparoscopic trans-abdominal pre-peritoneal inguinal hernia repair: prospective study

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of the
Doctorate degree in general surgery

By

Ahmed Medhat Ahmed Mokhtar

M.B.B.CH., MS

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY, CAIRO

Supervised by

Prof. Mohamed Emad Saleh

PROFESSOR OF GENERAL SURGERY

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Prof. Ashraf M. Fawzy Mahmoud

PROFESSOR OF GENERAL SURGERY

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Dr. Mohamed Mahfouz M. Omar

LECTURER OF GENERAL SURGERY

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

Ain Shams University

2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, most gracious, most merciful.

All praise and glory to Allah the almighty who alone made this small objective to be accomplished. I feel honored to glorify his name in the sincerest way asking him to accept my efforts.

My deep appreciation goes to Prof. Dr. Emadsaleh for his constant help and support through out this work and for his unique effort, considerable help and assistance he offered me through out this work.

I'd like to thank Prof Dr. Ashraf Fawzy for his help and support in this study, his support, the knowledge he offered and for his dedication to complete this study.

Special thanks to Dr. Mohamed Mahfouz for his constant guidance through the whole way and for dedicating much of his precious time to accomplish this work.

My heartfelt gratitude to my family and fiancée for their encouragement, constant prayers, and continuing support.

Contents

Introduction.	1
Aim of the work.	5
Review of literature:	
• Surgical anatomy of the inguinal region.	6
• Classifications of inguinal hernia.	39
• Different methods for laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair.	53
• Types of single incision laparoscopic surgery.	63
• Advantages and disadvantages of single incision laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair.	65
Patients and methods.	72
Results.	95
Discussion.	106
Summary and conclusion.	113
References.	114
Arabic summary.	-----

List of Figures

Figure Number	Title	Page
1	layers of fascia	9
2	Abdominal wall muscles	10
3	Abdominal wall muscles	11
4	The myopectineal orifice of Fruchaud	18
5	Fossae of the anterior abdominal wall and their relation to the sites of groin hernias	21
6	Posterior view of the inguinal region	23
7	preperitoneal anatomy of right groin	28
8	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	30
9	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	32
10	Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair	35
11	Actual operative view TAPP repair right side with Triangle of doom demonstrated	38
12	Actual view-TEP repair left side direct inguinal Hernia	38
13	Plates of ancient healing. Taxis for reduction of an incarcerated hernia	54
14	Plates of ancient healing. Various trusses for containment of groin hernias	55
15	Contemporary presentation of an inguinal hernia operation	57
16	Preparation of the patient	75
17	Creating The Pneumo-Peritoneum	75
18	Trocar placement	76
19	Completion of peritoneal incision	78
20	Dissection of sac	80
21	Separation of sac from cord structures	80
22	completion of dissection with entire sac lying in the peritoneal cavity	81
23	Parietalisation. The vas deferens and the testicular vessels are completely separated from the peritoneal attachment	81

24	Size of the mesh for right side hernia trimmed edges of the mesh is oriented towards the lateral aspect	83
25	Method of folding the mesh first fold	83
26	Method of folding the mesh second fold	84
27	Reverse loading of the mesh into a 10.5 mm reducing with a needle holder	84
28	Fixation of the mesh to the cooper's ligament	85
29	extended Square of doom	86
30	Completion of peritoneal closure	87
31	supra umbilical incision	88
32	Open access to the abdominal cavity	89
33	supra umbilical incision for single port laparoscopy	89
34	Introducing the SILS-Port	90
35	SILS-Port with 3 trocars and gas supply	91
36	Visual Analog Scale	93

List of Tables

Table Number	Title	Page
1	Showing the Spermatic cord contents and its covering	26
2	Lichtenstein classification	42
3	Nyhus' classification	44
4	Bendavid's classification	45
5	Aachen classification	47
6	Modified traditional classification	48
7	The EHS groin hernia classification	50
8	Comparison between both groups as regard general data	96
9	Distribution of both groups as regard types of hernia.	99
10	Comparison between both groups as regard operative time in minutes	101
11	Comparison between both groups as regard post operative pain using the visual analogue scale	103
12	Difference in postoperative hospital stay between the two groups	104

List of Charts and Diagrams

Chart Number	Title	Page
1	showing number of smokers and non smokers in the cases	96
2	showing type of work in both groups	97
3	Percentage of smokers encountered in the study	97
4	Indicates that majority of cases in each group are primary indirect inguinal hernia.	100
5	Operative time between the two groups.	101
6	showing pain score between the 2 groups	103
7	Difference in postoperative hospital stay between the two groups	105

Introduction

Inguinal hernias are commonly met surgical conditions; large numbers of them are repaired every year in all world countries. This was not possible until anaesthesia became available in the 19th century, when true anatomical procedures could be performed (**Wall et al., 2008**).

Inguinal hernia repair is one of the most common surgical procedures worldwide, at least 2000000 hernia repair procedures are annually performed (**Leroy, 2001**).

For about 100 years the Bassini operation, with its many eponymous variants in Europe, Britain and North America, were the mainstay of hernia repair. The results slowly improved with the development of better materials, but the recurrence rate has remained at about 15% (35% for recurrent hernia). In 1987, Lichtenstein introduced the tension-free mesh repair, with a much reduced recurrence rate of 0.2% (**Lichtenstein et al., 1993**).

The first attempts at laparoscopic repair by Ger, involved repairing the internal inguinal ring with clips and later with a special suturing device. This was not an anatomical repair and did not do anything about any generalized inguinal weakness (**Ger, 1991**).

Since the early 1990s, the laparoscopic approach to inguinal hernia repair using three ports has gained increased popularity worldwide(**Agrawal et al., 2010**).

Laparoscopic surgery has been a well-established alternative to open counterpart (**Tai et al., 2010**).

Laparoscopic hernia repair is not more expensive than open repair in terms of direct hospital costs or where a difference exists, this is relatively small. Societal costs due quicker recovery and return to daily activities and work, show clear advantages for the laparoscopic repair and although not currently evaluated in detail, the reduction in chronic groin pain after laparoscopic repair is likely to lead to savings in both direct hospital costs and societal costs (**Snehal et al., 2008**).

In the early 1990's Phillips and McKernan described the totally extraperitoneal (TEP) technique of endoscopic hernioplasty where the peritoneal cavity is not breached and the entire dissection is performed bluntly in the extraperitoneal space with a balloon device or the tip of the laparoscope itself (**Snehal et al., 2008**).

TEP is different in that the peritoneal cavity is not entered and mesh is used to seal the hernia from outside the peritoneum. This approach is considered to be more difficult than TAPP, not feasible in patients with previous abdominal operations, and not applicable for incarcerated hernia but may lessen the risks of

damage to the internal organs and of adhesion formation which has been linked to TAPP (**McCormack et al., 2005**).

Around the same time Arregui and Doin described the transabdominal pre-peritoneal repair (TAPP), where the abdominal cavity is first entered, peritoneum over the posterior wall of the inguinal canal is incised to enter into the avascular preperitoneal plane which is adequately dissected to place a large mesh over the hernial orifices. After fixation of the mesh, the peritoneum is carefully sutured or stapled (**Snehal et al., 2008**).

However some surgeons prefer TAPP because the initial laparoscopy (before dissection) immediately shows the full anatomy and pathology on both sides, It is feasible for incarcerated or strangulated hernias, identifying missed additional direct, femoral hernia or other rare inguinal herniae as paravesical hernia (**Wall et al., 2008**).

Both laparoscopic techniques are similar in term of duration of operation, length of hospital stay, time to return to usual activities and recurrence (**Simons et al., 2009**).

The choice of laparoscopic approach (Trans Abdominal Pre-Peritoneal (TAPP) versus the Totally Extra Peritoneal (TEP)) is still controversial for using the laparoscopic technique (**McCormack et al., 2005**).

Single port access (SPA) surgery is a rapidly evolving field. SPA offers cosmetic advantage compared with standard multiple access laparoscopic procedure (**Buscher et al., 2008**).

Performing laparoscopic operations through one single skin incision has recently emerged as a possible alternative to conventional laparoscopy in a variety of surgical cases (**Piskun and Rajpal , 1999**).

The SILS hernia repair using an access port device is feasible and may offer patients a novel method to have their inguinal hernias repaired (**Jacob et al., 2009**).

The main point for reducing the number of incisions is not only the cosmetic advantage but also lowered incision risks, as wound infection, morbidity of bleeding, incisional hernia, and organ damage (**Tacchino et al., 2009**).

Whether or not there are any benefits of SILS for TAPP inguinal hernia repair over the conventional TAPP laparoscopic approach certainly remains debatable (**Jacob et al., 2009**).

So far, no published data of ongoing studies comparing SILS TAPP inguinal hernia repair with the conventional laparoscopic TAPP inguinal hernia repair.

Aim of the Work

This prospective randomized trial aims at conducting a comparing single incision versus conventional laparoscopic trans-abdominal pre-peritoneal inguinal hernia repair.

Surgical Anatomy

“No disease of the human body, belonging to the province of the surgeon, requires in its treatment, a better combination of accurate, anatomical knowledge with surgical skill than Hernia in all its varieties”: Sir Astley Paston Cooper, 1804 (*Read, 2002*).

❖ Tissue layers of the groin:

The lower abdominal wall is composed of several layers, each placed on top of the other from the peritoneum outward to the skin, similar to the layers of an onion (*Flament et al., 2001*).

The layers of the lower abdominal wall include the following :

1. The Skin.
2. Superficial fascia (Camper's & Scarpa's).
3. Innominate fascia (Gallaudet). This may not always be recognized as a distinct entity.
4. External oblique aponeurosis including the inguinal, lacunar and reflected inguinal ligament.
5. Internal oblique muscle.

6. Transversus abdominis muscle and aponeurosis modified to conjoint tendon (Falx Inguinale).
7. Transversalis fascia associated with the pectineal ligament (Cooper), iliopubic tract, transversalis fascia sling and the deep inguinal ring.
8. Preperitoneal connective tissue and fat.
9. Peritoneum (*Skandalakis et al., 2004*)

Abdominal Skin

▪ **Langer lines**

As elsewhere on the human body, the abdominal skin is transgressed by Langer lines, also called cleavage lines. This is a term used to define the direction within the skin along which the skin has the least flexibility and corresponds to the alignment of the collagen fibers within the dermis. Across the superior half of the anterior abdominal skin, these lines are oriented in a transverse direction. Toward the inferior half of the abdominal skin, these lines begin to assume a slightly more oblique course in an inferior medial direction toward the groin, paralleling the inguinal crease.

▪ **Innervation**

The abdominal skin is innervated in a segmental pattern by the anterior rami of the T7-L1 thoracoabdominal nerves. T7-T9 thoracoabdominal nerves innervate the skin above the umbilicus; T10 thoracoabdominal nerves innervates the skin around the umbilicus; and T11 thoracoabdominal nerves plus cutaneous branches of the subcostal (T12), iliohypogastric, and ilioinguinal (L1) nerves supply the skin inferior to the umbilicus(Moore et al., 2011).

▪ **Superficial Fascia**

The superficial fascia of the abdominal wall is the next layer encountered just deep to the skin. It consists of connective tissue that contains a variable amount of fat. This layer can vary in thickness from less than 1 cm to greater than 15 cm, depending on a person's body habitus (*Spitz and Arregui, 2001*).

▪ **Camper and Scarpa fasciae**

Superior to the umbilicus, the superficial fascia consists of a single layer. Inferior to the umbilicus, it splits into 2 layers. The more superficial and fatty layer is the Camper fascia. The deeper, more fibrous layer is the Scarpa fascia. The Scarpa fascia contains very little fat and is continuous with both the superficial fascia of