

**Evaluation of a novel sedative analgesic drug
(Dexmedetomidine) used
in conscious sedation of pediatric dental patient**

Thesis

**Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University, in the partial fulfillment for the
Requirement of the Master's Degree in Pediatric
Dentistry**

By

Ali Abd El-Razek Mohamed El-Taher

B.D.S. of Oral and Dental Medicine

Faculty of Dentistry

Ain Shams University

2002

**Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University
2009**

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Sherine Ezz-El Din Taha

*Professor & Chairman of Pediatric
and Preventive Dentistry Department
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University*

Dr. Norhan Abl El- Wahab El- Dokky

*Lecturer of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University*

Dr. Ehab Mohamed Amer

*Anesthesia consultant
Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry Department
Faculty of oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University*

Dedication

To

My Family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and sincere thanks to **Prof.Dr. Sherine Ezz-El Din Taha**, Professor and Chairman of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for her support, continuous teaching and encouragement. Her valuable cooperation and infinite helpful supervision were of inestimable value to the accomplishment of this work, and I was greatly honored working under her supervision.

Deepest appreciation and thanks are extended to **Dr. Norhan Abd El-Wahab El-Dokky**, Lecturer of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine Cairo University, for her kind, endless help, encouragement, meticulous supervision, unique cooperation, patience and constructive efforts during the supervision, of this dissertation.

I would like also to express my deep appreciation and thanks to **Dr. Ehab Mohamed Amer**, Anesthesia Consultant in Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his help and continuous support, valuable guidance and advice during this study.

I am greatly indebted to **Dr. Abd El-Aziz Abd Allah**, Anesthesia Consultant, for his sincere cooperation and valuable support during this study.

My due thanks to the participating children in this study and their parents for their understanding and cooperation.

My thanks are extended to all **staff members** in the General Anesthesia Unit as well as to **colleagues** of Pediatric and Preventive Dentistry Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for their kind help during this study.

Ali Abd El-Razek El-Taher (2009)

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	4
AIM OF THE STUDY.....	37
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	38
RESULTS.....	52
DISCUSSION.....	78
SUMMARY	86
CONCLUSION.....	89
RECOMMENDATIONS.....	90
REFERENCES.....	91
APPENDIX	119
ARABIC SUMMARY	

List of Figures

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
Figure (1)	Modified form for informed consent.(Courtesy Kenneth C. Troutman, DDS, Columbia University).	48
Figure (2)	Arabic Consent for The Use Of Sedation For Pediatric Dental Treatment.	49
Figure (3)	Patient assessment chart, Pediatric Dentistry Department, Cairo University.	50
Figure (4)	Patent cannula in position.	41
Figure (5)	Dexmedetomidine ampule.	41
Figure (6)	Midazolam ampule.	42
Figure (7)	Propofol ampule.	42
Figure (8)	Blood pressure monitor.	42
Figure (9)	Pulse oximeter.	42
Figure (10)	Modified chart for pediatric dentistry outpatient sedation.	51

Figure (11)	Pediatric Dentistry outpatient sedation evaluation rating scale.	44
Figure (12)	Pain assessment scales.	46
Figure (13)	Mean age and weight of the selected children for both groups.	53
Figure (14)	Mean pulse rate of the selected children for both groups.	56
Figure (15)	Mean blood pressure of the selected children for both groups.	57
Figure (16)	Mean procedure time and recovery time for both groups.	59
Figure (17)	Percentage of different dental procedures in both groups.	61
Figure (18)	Percentage of sleep scores in both groups.	64
Figure (19)	Percentage of different movement scores in both groups.	66
Figure (20)	Percentage of crying scores in both groups.	68
Figure (21)	Percentage of scores of overall behavior in both groups.	70
Figure (22)	Correlation between the total sedative dose and overall behavior	75

Figure (23)	Correlation between the total sedative dose and recovery time.	76
Figure (24)	Correlation between the total sedative dose and overall behavior	77

List of Tables

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
Table (1)	Patient Physical Status Classification (ASA Classification)	38
Table (2)	Preoperative instructions given to parents the day before the session.	40
Table (3)	Mean and standard deviation of the age and weight of the selected children.	53
Table (4)	Mean and standard deviation of the total dose of both groups.	54
Table (5)	Mean values and standard deviation of the pulse rate in both groups.	55
Table (6)	Mean values and standard deviation of the blood pressure in both groups.	57
Table (7)	Mean and standard deviation of procedure time for both groups.	58
Table (8)	Mean and standard deviation of recovery time for both groups.	58
Table (9)	The percentage of each procedure done in both groups.	60
Table (10)	Percentage of the effectiveness of sedation in both groups.	61

Table (11)	Percentage of the side effects in both groups.	62
Table (12)	Percentage of the rating scale for sleep in both groups.	63
Table (13)	Percentage of the rating scale for movement in both groups.	65
Table (14)	Percentage of the rating scale for crying in both groups.	67
Table (15)	Percentage of the rating scale for overall behavior in both groups.	69
Table (16)	Visual analogue scale for both groups.	71
Table (17)	Ghraphic rating scale for both groups.	72
Table (18)	Numerical rating scale for both groups.	73
Table (19)	Correlation and significance between the total sedative dose and recovery time.	74
Table (20)	Correlation and significance between the total sedative dose and the overall behavior	74
Table (21)	Correlation and significance between the total sedative dose and recovery time	75
Table (22)	Correlation and significance between the total sedative dose and the overall behavior	76

Introduction

The field of pediatric dentistry beholds the greatest challenge among the various other branches of dentistry in providing dental care without inflicting any adverse psychological impact upon the child. Children are the future dental patients, and therefore the dental care they receive should promote positive dental experiences, which in turn will promote positive dental attitudes in the adult (*Tickle et al., 2002*).

The issue of dental fear and anxiety has been studied extensively, and presents a significant problem to patients and dentists alike. A sizeable proportion of the populations are anxious about dental treatment, and it is recognized that this can act as a barrier to oral health. Therefore, it is of great importance that the dental health professional is able to identify children who are dentally anxious (*Holmes and Girdler, 2005*).

Uncooperative behavior in the dental setting is most typically attributed to behavioral manifestations of anxiety. While there is no doubt that anxiety plays a major role in the dental behavior of many children, there may be other causes for the uncooperative behavior observed in the dental setting. Children who exhibit high levels of uncooperative behavior as being strong-willed (as well as independent, persistent and confident). These children are likely to be noncompliant, stubborn, argumentative and defiant. Such uncooperative behavior has been rated by dentists as being the major problem in the dental chair. Major consequences of such strong-willed uncooperative behavior may include a delay or termination of treatment before completion, or a decrease in the quality of care provided (*Forehand and Long, 1999*).

One of the most important features of effective anxiety control and behavior management is effective communication. Hence, if a child has communication or learning difficulties, he or she may be more likely to exhibit anxiety related behavior (*Stephen and Jinous, 2003*).

Mostly, conventional behavior management technique is successful in helping the child to accept dental treatment; however, there are few children who do not respond to this approach. The American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry (AAPD) has recommended a number of behavior management methods. These procedures vary in invasiveness. The AAPD guidelines list the following behavior management techniques: tell show-do (TSD), positive reinforcement, voice control, nonverbal communication, distraction, desensitization, modeling, parental presence/absence and hand-over-mouth (*American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry, 2002*).

Today modern pediatric dentistry describes so many techniques to manage the behavior of the child dental patient. The use of a range of drugs as adjuvant to behavioral psychology should enable the dentist to handle not all but most of unmanageable children (*Duggal, 2003*).

Among drugs used in conscious sedation we can mention midazolam and propofol. Midazolam is a benzodiazepine derivative as well as propofol is a short acting intravenous sedative agent used for the induction of general anesthesia for adults and children, maintenance of general anesthesia, and sedation in medical contexts (*McKenzie et al., 2004, Miner and Burton, 2007 and Cheng et al., 2008*).

Recently, Dexmedetomidine is a potent highly selective α_2 -adrenoreceptor agonist which has been widely used in the intensive care unit (ICU) for sedation and postoperative analgesia (*Bhana, et al., 2000, Shelly, 2001 and Nelson, et al., 2003*).

The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of a novel sedative-analgesic drug which is dexmedetomidine during conscious sedation in pediatric dental clinic as well as comparing the effectiveness, safety, and recovery time for combined use of propofol and midazolam versus dexmedetomidine during conscious sedation for children.