

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ



**Intraoral Digital Radiography versus Cone
Beam Computed Tomography (CBCT) in
Detection and Measurement of Simulated
Periapical Lesions: an In Vitro Study**

الأشعة الرقمية داخل الفم مقابل الأشعة المقطعية ذات الشعاع
المخروطى بالحاسوب فى الكشف عن و قياس الآفات الذروية
المحاكاة: دراسة مختبرية

*Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental
Medicine, Cairo University, for partial fulfillment of
requirements for Master Degree in Oral Radiology*

BY

Arwa Ibrahim Ramadan

(B.D.S) 2008

Proposal Code: ORAD-702

Supervisors

Dr. Sahar Hosny El Dessouky

*Associate Professor Oral Radiology Department,
Faculty of Oral and Dental medicine,
Cairo University*

Dr. Dina Mohamed El Beshlawy

*Lecturer, Oral Radiology Department,
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University*

Dedication

To my beloved family

My dear parents

My deeply loved husband Sherif

My lovely kids Lama and Yahia

My sisters

My mother and father in law

For their continuous

Love, Support And Care

Throughout the years

Acknowledgement

All praise is due to mighty Allah who has showered me with his bountiful blessings.

I would like to express my profound appreciation and deep gratitude to ***Dr. Sahar Hosney*** Associate professor of Oral Radiology, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, not only for her help, support, care, guidance and scientific knowledge that she provided me throughout the study but also for the valuable lessons of life she has taught me.

I would like to express my special appreciation and thanks to ***Dr. Dina El Beshlawy***, Lecturer of Oral Radiology, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, who has been a tremendous mentor for me. I would like to thank her for encouraging me to complete my research and for pushing me to grow as a researcher. Her advice on both research as well as on my career has been priceless.

Special thanks extend to ***Dr. Amr Hosney***, Lecturer of Dental Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his help during the practical part of the study.

I would like to thank my friends, ***Enas Anter*** and ***Hager El Sarawy*** Assistant lecturers of Oral Radiology, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University who supported me and incited me to strive towards my goal.

I would like to thank all my colleagues and staff members of Oral Radiology, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for their continuous help and care throughout the whole study.

List of Contents

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
1. Introduction	1
2. Review of Literature	5
3. Aim of the Study	73
4. Materials and Methods	74
5. Results	87
6. Discussion	101
7. Summary and Conclusion	109
8. Recommendations	112
9. References	113
10. Arabic Summary	

List of Figures

<i>Figure number</i>	Title	<i>Page number</i>
<i>Figure 1</i>	Progression of periapical diseases through different stages of the disease process	8
<i>Figure 2</i>	Vascular initial response, during inflammation. Temporary contraction of the microcirculation system, followed by an immediate vasodilatation. Erythrocytes migrate to the center of the vessel and leucocytes to the periphery. Histamine induced contraction of the endothelium causes the apparition of cracks on the vessel walls	10
<i>Figure 3</i>	Plasma extravasation lets plasma into the surrounding tissue where resulting edema increases pressure on nerve fibers and causes pain	10
<i>Figure 4</i>	Comparison of image quality in panoramic radiograph (A& B) and in periapical film (C) ((<i>Huumonen & Ørstavik 2002</i>))	17
<i>Figure 5</i>	Tomographic images (A, C) in comparison with periapical radiographs (B, D). The tomographic images appear more blurred, but show a section of the tooth/jaw segment rather than the whole traverse that creates the periapical image	18
<i>Figure 6</i>	(A& B) Intraoral radiographs of the upper left first molar showing periapical lesion. (C& D) two cropped computed-tomography images showing more detailed information about the condition of the tooth, e.g. the large lesion at the palatal root	19
<i>Figure 7</i>	T2-weighted (A) and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images (B) of a patient with a periapical granuloma (arrow)	20
<i>Figure 8</i>	(A) Intraoral periapical radiograph shows a well-circumscribed radiolucent periapical lesion (arrow) without a sclerotic border. (B) Ultrasonography with color Doppler and power Doppler shows a poorly defined hypoechoic lesion (arrow) exhibiting a rich vascular supply	21
<i>Figure 9</i>	Scintigraphic images of the acute periapical lesion. (A) cropped panoramic image for the involved tooth, (B& C) horizontal section and profile show ‘hot spots’, i.e. high intake of radionuclides in the tissues	22

Figure 10	CCD sensors with different sizes simulating periapical films	23
Figure 11	PSP intraoral sensors with different sizes (0, 1, 2 and 3) similar to conventional films	24
Figure 12	Schematic representation of a digital image. (A) X-ray shadow. (B) Image as detected by the digital sensor; each square is a pixel. (C) Numerical representation of pixel values sent to the computer. (D) Digital image on the computer screen	26
Figure 13	Direct intraoral digital imaging	27
Figure 14	Diagram representing direct CCD sensor array	28
Figure 15	Diagram representing the indirect digital imaging	29
Figure 16	Underexposed image (left) and the same image after contrast optimization (right)	31
Figure 17	As this radiograph gradually gets darker, the lesions (arrows) at the apices of the first molar become more	31
Figure 18	(A) Original digital image of a periapical lesion and root canal obturation, (B) Pseudo color digital image shows obturation in the apical third of the root canal and resorption of the root A) Original digital image of a periapical lesion and root canal obturation, (B) Pseudo color digital image shows obturation in the apical third of the root canal and resorption of the root apex	33
Figure 19	Original digital images of dental implants: (A) base and (B) follow up. Subtraction result (C) showing angular bone resorption related to implants (arrow)	34
Figure 20	Example of ideal digital subtraction radiography. (A) Initial digital image. (B) Second radiograph obtained a few weeks later, the arrow is pointing at a lesion. (C) The lesion itself, made more noticeable by the removal of anatomical noise from the image	34
Figure 21	diagrammatic representation of CBCT imaging; a cone-shaped beam rotates 360 degrees around patient's head	42
Figure 22	Examples of different CBCT machines according to patient positioning option; seated (at the left), supine (at the middle), standing (at the right)	43

Figure 23	Localized region (left), Interarch (middle) and Maxillofacial (right) FOVs	45
Figure 24	Comparison of volume data sets obtained isotropically (left) in CBCT and anisotropically (right) in CT	49
Figure 25	Bilateral linear oblique multiplanar reformation through lateral and medial poles of the mandibular condyle on the axial image (a) providing corrected coronal, limited field-of-view, thin-slice temporomandibular views (b) demonstrating right condylar hyperplasia	51
Figure 26	Curved MPR simulated “panoramic” image from CBCT showing CBCT applications in temporomandibular joint assessment. Reformatted “panoramic” image showing right side condyle differences in shape compared with normal left	52
Figure 27	Reformatted panoramic image (a) providing reference for multiple narrow trans-axial thin cross-sectional slices (b) of radiolucent bony pathology in the left mandible, demonstrating bucco-lingual expansion and location of the inferior alveolar canal	53
Figure 28	“Ray sum” simulated lateral cephalometric projection	54
Figure 29	Visualization options for a cone-beam computed tomography volume. Left picture: Visualization of the full data volume by means of a shaded surface display method with thresholds set to show the soft tissues. Middle picture: Visualization of the full data volume by means of a shaded surface display with the threshold set to show hard tissues (bone and teeth) only. Right picture: The volume rendering method. The data attenuation values corresponding to the soft tissues were made partially transparent, allowing for visualization of the underlying skeleton and teeth	55
Figure 30	(A) Typical implant planning image set shows a “generic” implant fixture orientation in relation to the inferior alveolar nerve. (B) A close-up image of the case above isolating the 3D color volume and proposed implant placement visualization. (C) A 3D colorized view to show the submandibular fossa in relation to the implant site. (D) A colorized “slab” rendering allows the clinician to actually	57

	see the canal and the desired position of the intended implant fixture. Precise measurements can now be made	
Figure 31	CBCT images of a patient with a mandibular cyst. (A) Mesial view of right half of the mandible in a surface mode. (B) Anterior view of the mandible in the surface mode. (C) Lingual view of the mandible in surface mode. (D) Radiographic cross-sectional view of the maxilla and mandible. (E) reformatted panoramic view	58
Figure 32	Patient with unilateral cleft palate with tooth impaction at (A) Axial cut, (B) Sagittal section, (C) coronal section and (D) 3D volume	59
Figure 33	Curved MPR simulated “panoramic” image from CBCT showing CBCT applications in temporomandibular joint assessment. Reformatted “panoramic” image (top) showing right side condyle difference in shape compared with normal left. Cropped paracoronal reformatted images clearly showing subcortical cystic defects in surface of right condyle as compared with the left, indicative of active degenerative joint disease	60
Figure 34	Three-dimensional depiction of periodontal bone loss around a maxillary second premolar tooth. (A) Reformatted panorama. (B) The arrows indicate the extent of bone loss on the mesial and distal aspects of the tooth. (C) The arrows indicate the extent of bone loss on the facial and palatal tooth aspects	61
Figure 35	Preoperative (A) and postoperative (D) soft tissue profile view in surface mode. Preoperative (B) and postoperative (E) radiographic views of the patient’s right half. Preoperative (C) and Postoperative (F) radiographic views of the patient's left half	62
Figure 36	Volumetric segmentation of the airway in three dimensions. A. Left, frontal and right views of the segmented airway space. B. Three-dimensional superimposition showing airway dimensions	63
Figure 37	(A) The patient is complaining about a painful sensation in her left maxillary first molar. No periapical lesion can be detected on the periapical radiograph. (B) A small apical	64

	lesion was diagnosed with the sagittal CBT image at the distobuccal root of the left maxillary first molar. In addition, a slight thickening of the sinus membrane can be seen	
Figure 38	Relative image artifact reduction with CBCT (a) axial (top) and cross-sectional images (lower) of the mandibular arch with implants compared with conventional CT (b) axial (top) and cross-sectional (lower) images of maxillary arch with implants	67
Figure 39	Example of beam hardening. Right picture: in CBCT reformatted panorama. Left picture: in cropped panorama	68
Figure 40	Noise reducing image contrast	69
Figure 41	Comparison of image quality of sagittal (upper) and 3D (lower) renderings reconstructed from 300 projection images using conventional Feldkamp back projection (FBP) (left column) and an iterative reconstruction called algebraic reconstruction technique (ART) (right column). While ART requires greater computing power, it also reduces artifacts requiring fewer projections to conduct the reconstruction (equals less dose) and is less sensitive to common patient movement and metal artifacts	69
Figure 42	Dentsply round burs (2, 3&4mm)	75
Figure 43	(A) The milling machine used during the mechanical preparation of the simulated periapical lesions (B) The milling machine after mandible orientation and fixation with the rubber base	76
Figure 44	Folded pink wax thickness measured by means of a digital caliper	77
Figure 45	One of the investigated dry mandibles after wax fixation on the buccal side	77
Figure 46	Digora Optime sensor size 2	78
Figure 47	XCP film holder	78
Figure 48	one of the investigated mandible during digital periapical imaging using parallel technique	79

Figure 49	Digora Optime scanner	79
Figure 50	Immediate image display on the PC monitor after sensor scanning	79
Figure 51	(A) Cone Beam Computed Tomography Imaging unit. (B) One of the investigated mandibles while positioned on the CBCT imaging unit	80
Figure 52	Measurement of a size 3 simulated lesion periapical to the left lateral incisor's socket on a digital periapical image using Digora software	81
Figure 53	Measurement of two size 4 mm simulated lesions periapical to right first and second premolars sockets using Digora software (the root of an extracted tooth was used as a landmark to identify the simulated lesions site)	82
Figure 54	Measurement of three size 4mm simulated lesions periapical to right first, second and third molars sockets using Digora software	82
Figure 55	Implant screen displaying the volumetric data set as: (A) axial image (B) reformatted panorama, (C) 3D volumetric image and (D) serial transplanar image slices	84
Figure 56	Measurement of seven simulated lesions size 3mm (at the anterior, premolar and molar regions) on a selected reformatted panoramic image	84
Figure 57	Measurement of a size 3mm simulated lesion periapical to a canine socket on the selected cross sectional image	84
Figure 58	Measurement of a size 3mm simulated lesion periapical to a first premolar socket on the selected cross sectional image	85
Figure 59	Measurement of a size 3mm simulated lesion periapical to a first molar socket on the selected cross sectional image	85
Figure 60	Bar chart representing comparison between detectability of size 2 simulated periapical lesions by the two modalities	91
Figure 61	Bar chart representing comparison between detectability of size 3 simulated periapical lesions by the two modalities	93
Figure 62	Bar chart representing comparison between detectability of size 4 simulated periapical lesions two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	95

<i>Figure 63</i>	Bar chart representing comparison between size 2 simulated periapical lesions measurements two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	96
<i>Figure 64</i>	Bar chart representing comparison between size 3 simulated periapical lesions measurements two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	98
<i>Figure 65</i>	Bar chart representing comparison between size 4 simulated periapical lesion measurements two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	100

List of tables

<i>Table number</i>	Title	<i>Page number</i>
<i>Table 1</i>	Abbott's clinical classification of the status of the periradicular tissues	<i>14</i>
<i>Table 2</i>	Results of <i>Kappa</i> statistic for the agreement between the observers in correct detection of the simulated periapical lesions	<i>87</i>
<i>Table 3</i>	Results of <i>Cronbach's alpha</i> reliability coefficient for the agreement between the observers in correct simulated periapical lesions size measurement.	<i>88</i>
<i>Table 4</i>	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Friedman's test for comparison between detectability of size 2 simulated periapical lesions by the two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	<i>90</i>
<i>Table 5</i>	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Friedman's test for comparison between detectability of size 3 simulated periapical lesions by the two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	<i>92</i>
<i>Table 6</i>	The frequencies (n), percentages (%) and results of Friedman's test for comparison between detectability of size 4 simulated periapical lesions by two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	<i>94</i>
<i>Table 7</i>	The mean, standard deviation values and results of repeated measures ANOVA test for comparison between size 2 simulated periapical lesion measurements by the two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	<i>96</i>
<i>Table 8</i>	The mean, standard deviation values and results of repeated measures ANOVA and Tukey's tests for	<i>98</i>

	comparison between size 3 simulated periapical lesion measurements by the two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	
<i>Table 9</i>	The mean, standard deviation values and results of repeated measures ANOVA and Tukey's tests for comparison between size 4 simulated periapical lesion measurements by the two modalities digital radiography and CBCT (reformatted panoramic& cross sectional views)	<i>100</i>