### The Diagnostic Value of Serum Interlukin-6 Versus Alpha Fetoprotein As A Tumor Marker for Hepatocellular Carcinoma In Egyptian Patients

#### **Thesis**

Submitted for the partial fulfillment of the master degree in **Internal Medicine** 

# Presented by Shady Samir Abdel Hamid Ghait

M.B.B.Ch., Ain Shams University (2011)

#### **Under supervision of**

### Prof. Dr. Amal Shawky Mohamed Bakir

Professor of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology and Hepatology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Ossama Ashraf Ahmed

Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology and Hepatology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

### Dr. Hany Haron Kaiser

Assistant Professor of Internal Medicine, Gastroenterology and Hepatology Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2015



# Acknowledgement

First, I would like to thank **Allah** a lot for Blessing this work until it has reached its end, as a part of his generous help throughout our life.

My profound thanks and deep appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Amaal Shawky,** Professor of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her great support and advice, his valuable remarks that gave me the confidence and encouragement to fulfill this work.

I am also thankful to **Dr. Osama Ashraf**, Assistant professor of Internal Medicine and Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his valuable supervision, co-operation and direction that extended throughout this work.

I would like to direct my special thanks to **Dr.Hany**Haron, Assistant professor of Internal Medicine and
Gastroenterology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams
University, for her invaluable help, fruitful advice,
continuous support offered to me and guidance step by step
till this thesis finished.

My deep thanks to the subjects accepting participation in the study.

Finally my deep thanks to my colleagues and my family who supported me throughout my life.



**Shady Ghait** 

### **List of Contents**

|  | Page |
|--|------|
| Acknowledgment                             |      |
| List of Abbreviations                      |      |
| List of Tables                             |      |
| List of Figures                            | V    |
| Introduction                               | 1    |
| Aim of the Work                            | 3    |
| Review of Literature                       | 4    |
| Chapter (1):                               |      |
| Hepatocellular Carcinoma                   | 4    |
| Chapter (2):                               |      |
| Tumour markers of hepatocellular carcinoma | 45   |
| Charter (3):                               |      |
| Cytokines and hepatocellular carcinoma     | 61   |
| Chapter (4):                               |      |
| Interleukin-6                              | 79   |
| Patients and Methods                       | 91   |
| Results                                    | 97   |
| Discussion                                 | 114  |
| Summary                                    | 123  |
| Conclusion                                 | 126  |
| Recommendations                            | 127  |
| References                                 | 128  |
| Arabic Summary                             |      |

### **List of Abbreviations**

AAT : Alpha one anti-trypsin AFP : Alpha-feto protein

AGP : Alpha one acid glycoprotein

AJCC : American Joint Committee On Cancer

ALP : Alkaline phosphatase

APC : Adenomatous polyposis coli BCLC : Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer

Bil : Billirubin

BMP : Bone morphogenic protein

CLIP : Cancer of Liver Italian Program

CT : Computed tomography.

DCP : Desgamma carboxy prothrombin

DKK1 : Dickkopf 1

ECOG : Eastern cooperative oncology group

EGF : Epidermal growth factor

EGFR : Epidermal growth factor receptorEpCAM : Epithelial cell adhesion moleculeERK : Extracellular signal-regulated kinase

EUS : Endoscopic ultra sonography FGF : Fibroblast growth factor

FLK-1 : Fetal liver kinase

FLT-1 : Fms-like tyrosine kinase GDP : Guanosine 5'-diphosphate

GGT : Gamma-glutamyl transpeptidase

GLYP3 : Glypican-3

GP73 : Golgi protein 73

HCC : Hepatocellular carcinoma

HIFU : High intensity focus ultrasound

HSP70 : Heat shock protein 70 IGF : Insulin like growth factor

IL-1 : Interlukin-1 IL-6 : Interlukin-6

### List of Abbreviations (Cont.)

IR : Insulin receptor

JIS : Japan Integrated Staging score

K19 : Keratin 19

LFT : Liver function tests

MELD : Model for endstage liver disease

miR : Micro RNA

MRI : Magnetic resonance imaging.

m-RNA : Messenger RNA MSCT : Multi-Slice CT

NAFLD : Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease NASH : Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis

NK cells : Natural killer cells

OPN : Osteopontin

PEI : Percutaneous ethanol injection

PIVKA II : Prothrombin induced by Vitamin K Absence

II

PLGF : Placental growth factor

PT : Prothrombin time

PVT : Portal vein thrombosis RCT : Randomized control trial RFA : Radiofrequency ablation

TACE : Transarterial chemoembolization

TE : Transient elastography

TGF : Transforming growth factor

US : Ultrasonography

VEGF : Vascular endothelial growth factor

VEGFR: Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

Receptors

### List of tables

| East of tables |  |      |
|----------------|--|------|
| Table          | Title  | Page |
| 1              | Comparison between the studied groups as regard general data.  | 97   |
| 2              | Comparison between the studied groups as regard co –morbidities.                                       | 98   |
| 3              | Comparison between the cirrhotic groups with and without HCC as regard HCV and HBV.                    | 99   |
| 4              | Comparison between the studied groups as regard laboratory data .                                      | 100  |
| 5              | Comparison between the HCC group and the cirrhotic group as regard modified child pugh classification. | 101  |
| 6              | Comparison between the HCC group and the cirrhotic group as regard performance status.                 | 101  |
| 7              | Comparison between the HCC group and the cirrhotic group as regard encephalopathy and ascites.         | 102  |
| 8              | Distribution of the studied HCC group as regard tumor size and site and number of nodules.             | 102  |
| 9              | Correlation between IL-6 versus different variables among HCC group.                                   | 103  |
| 10             | Correlation between AFP versus different variables among HCC group.                                    | 104  |
| 11             | comparison between all studied groups as regards AFP.  | 105  |
| 12             | Comparison between all studied groups as regards IL-6.   | 106  |
| 13             | Comparison between all studied groups as regards AFP and IL6.  | 107  |

# List of tables (Cont.)

| Table | Title                                   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 14    | Comparison between HCC patients         | 109  |
|       | classified according to their AFP level |      |
|       | into <200ng and >200ng as regards IL-6. |      |
| 15    | Diagnostic validity of IL-6 and AFP for | 111  |
|       | discriminating cirrhotic from control   |      |
|       | patients .                              |      |
| 16    | Diagnostic validity of IL-6 and AFP for | 112  |
|       | discriminating HCC patients from        |      |
|       | control patients.                       |      |
| 17    | Diagnostic validity of IL-6 and AFP for | 113  |
|       | discriminating HCC patients from        |      |
|       | cirrhotic patients.                     |      |

# **List of Figures**

| Fig. | Title   | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 1    | Contrast- enhanced CT scan of a cirrhotic       | 20   |
|      | liver demonstrates a multifocal hepatoma.       |      |
| 2    | Magnetic resonance (MR) imaging of the          | 21   |
|      | liver with hepatitis C, cirrhosis and newly     |      |
|      | diagnosed hepatocellular carcinoma.             |      |
| 3    | Well-differentiated HCC with a trabecular       | 23   |
|      | pattern and intervening sinusoids.              |      |
| 4    | A moderately differentiated HCC with            | 23   |
|      | nuclear atypia in the form of enlarged          |      |
|      | convoluted nuclei.                              |      |
| 5    | Malignant epithelial tumor consists of tumor    | 24   |
|      | cells, discohesive, pleomorphic anaplastic,     |      |
|      | giant, with a scant stroma and central necrosis |      |
|      | because of the poor vascularization.            |      |
| 6    | Algorithm updated by the ASSLD practice         | 26   |
|      | guidelines 2010 for investigation of small      |      |
|      | nodules found on screening in patients at       |      |
| _    | risk for HCC.                                   |      |
| 7    | Algorithm updated by the ASSLD practice         | 28   |
|      | guidelines 2010 for investigation of small      |      |
|      | nodules.  | 20   |
| 8    | Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging           | 30   |
|      | classification and treatment algorithm.         | 100  |
| 9    | Shows both tumour markers AFP and IL-6          | 108  |
| 10   | among the studied groups.                       | 110  |
| 10   | shows comparison between HCC patients           | 110  |
|      | classified according to their AFP level into    |      |
|      | <200ng and >200ng as regards IL-6.              |      |

## List of Figures (Cont.)

| Fig. | Title   | Page |
|------|---|------|
| 11   | ROC curve analysis showing the diagnostic performance of IL6 and AFP for discriminating patients with Cirrhosis                                 | 111  |
|      | from control.   |      |
| 12   | ROC curve analysis showing the diagnostic performance of IL6 and AFP for discriminating patients with HCC from control.                         | 112  |
| 13   | ROC curve analysis showing the diagnostic performance of IL6 and AFP and their combination for discriminating patients with HCC from cirrhosis. | 113  |

### Introduction

epatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is an increasingly prevalent clinical problem worldwide and is the fifth most common cause of cancer-related death, results in between 250, 000 and one million deaths globally per annum. HCC has unique geographic, sex, and age distributions that are likely determined by specific etiologic factors (Venook et al., 2010)

Cirrhosis of any etiology is the most common risk factor for HCC development. Over 90% of HCCs develop on a cirrhotic liver resulting from either chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) or hepatitis C virus (HCV) infections, alcohol abuse, or accumulation of fat referred as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (Sanval, Yoon& Lencioni, 2010).

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the three most frequently diagnosed cancers in Egypt (Gomaa et al., 2008). HCC is the fifth most common malignancy in the world and the third most common cause of cancer-related death (Ahmedin et al., 2008).

The major risk factors for the development of HCC were liver cirrhosis, viral hepatitis (chronic hepatitis B and hepatitis C), toxic (alcohol and aflatoxins), metabolic (Diabetes with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, hereditary haemochromatosis) and immune-related (primary biliary cirrhosis and autoimmune hepatitis) (Parikh and Hyman, 2007).

Peng et al. (2009) reported that for HCC, surgical resection can be offered to patients with a solitary lesion if they are non-cirrhotic, or have cirrhosis but still have wellpreserved liver function. Liver transplantation is an effective option for patients with a solitary lesion <5 cm or up to 3

each diameter. lesions. <3 cm in Percutaneous radiofrequency ablation (PRFA) has emerged as a excellent treatment modality because of its effectiveness and safety for small HCC (<5.0 cm).

Most HCCs are diagnosed at an intermediate to advanced stage, and few meaningful therapeutic options are available at this point (Stefaniuk et al., 2010). Transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) is currently considered as a primary and complementary measure for the treatment of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma and metastatic liver cancer (Cazejust et al., 2010).

The tests used to diagnose HCC include radiology, biopsy and Alfa Fetoprotien (AFP) serology (Forner et al., 2008). AFP has been used as a serum marker for HCC for many years. AFP seems to be of prognostic value at the time of tumor diagnosis. A high AFP concentration ( $\geq 400 \text{ ng/mL}$ ) in HCC patients is associated with greater tumour size, bilobar involvement, portal vein invasion, and a lower median survival rate (Grizzi et al., 2007). But AFP plays alimited role in detection and diagnosis of HCC. Some new candidate biomarkers for the diagnosis of HCC have been investigated (Hui et al., 2010).

### Aim of the Work

### Aim of the Work

The aim of this work is to evaluate the diagnostic value of interleukin-6 in comparison to alphafetoprotein as a tumour marker for hepatocellular carcinoma in Egyptian patients.

### Chapter (1):

### **Hepatocellular Carcinoma**

### **Epidemiology:**

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common tumor worldwide and the leading cause of death amongest patients with cirrhosis. More than 600 000 deaths globally per year have been reported, with 82% of cases occurring in "developing" countries (**Khalid and Bouneva et al., 2011**).

High incidence regions (more than 15 cases per 100,000 populations per year) include sub-Saharan Africa, China, Hong Kong and Taiwan. The incidence is 24.2/100,000 in parts of Africa and the 35.5/100,000 seen in eastern Asia. Japan has one of the highest incidence rates of HCC associated with chronic HBV infection. The incidence tends to be decreasing yearly (Yang et al., 2010).

In Egypt, epidemiology of HCC is characterized by marked demographic and geographic variations. Over the last decade, a remarkable increase, from 4.0% to 7.2%, was observed in the proportion of chronic liver disease (CLD) patients with HCC. The predominant age group (40-59 years) showed a slight increase compared with older groups (> 60 years). A significant increase, from 82.5% to 87.6%, was observed in the proportion of HCC among males. The calculated risk of HCC development is nearly three times higher in men than in women. A unique invisible risk factor for development of HCC in Egypt could be Schistosomal infection. Schistosomiasis induces immune suppression, which could result in increased persistence of viremia following acute infection of both hepatitis B and C (Abd Elhamid et al., 2011).

#### **Risk factors:**

Approximately 70% to 90% of patients with HCC have an established background of chronic liver disease and cirrhosis, with major risk factors for developing cirrhosis including chronic infection with hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) alcoholic liver disease, diabetes, obesity, hereditary liver disease as Wilson's disease hereditary haemochromatosis, iron overload syndromes, alpha 1 antitrypsin deficiency and hereditary tyrosinemia, autoimmune Liver diseases as autoimmune hepatitis and primary biliray cirrhosis, aflatoxin, alcohol intake, smoking & tobacco, gender, hepatic adenoma and family history (**Poon, 2009**).

#### **Liver Cirrhosis**

The prevalence of cirrhosis in patients with HCC is about 80% to 90% in autopsied series worldwide and therefore, approximately 10% to 20% of cases of HCC develop in persons without cirrhosis (Schlansky et al., 2011).

Among HCC cases with cirrhosis, HCV infection was identified in 27% to 73%, HBV infection in 12% to 55%, heavy alcohol intake in 4% to 38%, and hemochromatosis and other causes in 2% to 6% leaving 4% to 6% of the total number of cases without an identified cause. On the other hand persons with HCC without underlying cirrhosis, HCV infection accounted for 3% to 54%, HBV infection for 4% to 29%, heavy alcohol intake for 0% to 28% and less common conditions for 1% to 5% of the cases. In a variable proportion of HCC cases, the etiology was unknown (Montella et al., 2011).