Clinical Scoring versus Endoscopic and Histopathological Findings of upper Gastrointestinal Presentations

Ehesis

Submitted For partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Pediatrics

By

Bassem Ali Abdelrazek Moustafa

M.B.B.CH (2010) Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof. Mostafa Abdel-Aziz El-Hodhod

Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Marwa Talaat El-Deeb

Assistant Professor of Pediatrics Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams Univeristy 2015

Acknowledgement

First and foremost, thanks to **Allah**, to whom I relate any success in achieving any work in my life.

I wish to express my deepest thanks, gratitude and appreciation to Prof Dr. Prof. Mostafa Abdel-Aziz El-Hodhod, Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University, for his sincere encouragement, constant advice and valuable guidance throughout the performance of this work. I really have the honor to complete this work under his supervision.

I owe special gratitude to **Dr. Marwa Talaat El-Deeb,** Assistant Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine — Ain Shams University, for her close supervision and continuous advice which gave me the best guide during different stages of this work.

Special thanks go to Prof. Dr. Ahmed Hamdy, for his support and cooperation in the whole work.

I can't forget to thank all members of my Family, especially my Parents, my Wife and my Daughter, for pushing me forward in all steps in my career.

A Bassem Ali Mostafa

List of Contents

Subject		Page No.
List of Abbi	eviations	i
List of Table	es	iii
List of Figur	res	iv
Introduction	1	1
Aim of the V	Work	5
Review of L	iterature	
Upper (Gastrointestinal Symptoms	6
Endosc	opic Findings	22
Histopa	thological Findings	44
Subjects and	d methods	59
Results		68
Discussion		109
Summary		121
Conclusion		••••••
Recommend	lations	124
References		127
Arabic Sum	mary	—

List of Abbreviations

CMPA : Cow's milk protein allergy

DJ : Duodenojejunal

EGD : Esophagogastroduodenoscopy

EoE : Eosinophilic esophagitis

FGIDs : Functional gastrointestinal disorders

GER : Gastroesophageal reflux

GERD : Gastroesophageal reflux disease

GI : Gastrointestinal

GIT : Gastrointestinal tract

HD : Hetzel-Dent Classification

IBD : Inflammatory bowel disease

IBS : Irritable bowel syndrome

LA : Los Angeles classification

LDA : Low-dose aspirin

NG : Nodular gastritis

NPO : Nothing per orifice

NSAIDs : Non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs

PPI : Proton pump inhibitor

SSRI : Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor

UGIE : Upper Gastrointestinal endoscopy

List of Tables

Cable No.	Eitle	Page No.

|--|

Table (I):	Causes of vomiting	9
Table (II):	Causes of dysphagia	
Table (III):	Causes for upper GI hemorrhage	16
Table (IV):	Upper gastrointestinal symptoms grading	
	according to Loeb	21
Table (V):	Indications of upper GI endoscopy:	23
Table (VI):	Proposed classification and grading system	
	for the endoscopic assessment of the	
	esophageal features of eosinophilic	
	esophagitis:	30
Table (VII):	Gastric mucosal injury	40
Table (VIII):	Joffe classification of duodenitis:	
Table (IX):	Definition and causes of esophageal	
	eosinophilia	46
Table (X):	Diseases associated with esophageal	
	eosinophilia:	47
Table (XI):	Comparison of histopathologic features of	
	Eosinophilic esophagitis and	
	Gastroesophageal reflux disease	48
Table (XII):	Criteria for the diagnosis of GERD and	
	esophagitis on endoscopic biopsies	
	(ESPGHAN).	50
Table (XIII):	Grading criteria of gastric biopsies and	
	duodenal according to revised Sydney System	
	by	53
Table (XIV):	Modified Marsh Classification of histologic	
	findings in celiac disease (Oberhuber)	57

List of Tables (Cont.)

Eable No	r. Eitle	Page No.
Results		
Table (1):	Age of the included patients:	68
Table (2):	Gender of the included patients:	68
Table (3):	Relative frequency of complains included patients	
Table (4):	Frequency and severity profile among with vomiting of the studied group	•
Table (5):	Frequency and severity profile among with dyspepsia of the studied group	•
Table (6):	Frequency and severity profile among with melena of the studied group	•
Table (7):	Frequency and severity profile among with diarrhea of the studied group	.
Table (8):	Frequency and severity profile among with hematemesis of the studied group.	•
Table (9):	Endoscopic esophageal findings included patients:	-
Table (10):	Classification of esophagitis among i patients:	
Table (11):	Endoscopic findings in the stomach included patients:	•
Table (12):	Endoscopic classification of gastritis included patients:	
Table (13):	Endoscopic duodenal findings among i patients:	

Table (14):	Endoscopic classification of duodenitis among included patients:	74
Table (15):	Histopathological finding of esophageal biopsies among included patients; n=37	75
Table (16):	Histopathological finding of gastric biopsies among included patients. n=89	76
Table (17):	Histopathological finding of duodenal biopsies among included patients. n=85	77
Table (18):	Diagnostic value of the endoscopy among the included patients:	78
Table (19):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding gender.	79
Table (20):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding age	80
Table (21):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding complaint:	81
Table (22):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding endoscopic classification of esophagitis	82
Table (23):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding nodularity of esophagus in endoscopy	84
Table (24):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding vascular anomalies of esophagus in endoscopy	85
Table (25):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding competency of cardia of esophagus in endoscopy	86

Table (26):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding endoscopic classification of gastritis:
Table (27):	
Table (28):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding vascular changes of stomach in endoscopy 90
Table (29):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding mucosa of the duodenum
Table (30):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding nodularity of the duodenum in endoscopy
Table (31):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding endoscopic classification of duodenitis endoscopy
Table (32):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding papillary height in esophageal biopsy94
Table (33):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding degree of esophagitis esophageal biopsy95
Table (34):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding eisonophils in esophageal biopsy
Table (35):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding diagnosis of esophageal biopsy

Table (36):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding degree of gastritis in gastric biopsy
Table (37):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding inflammatory cells in gastric biopsy99
Table (38):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding H pylori in gastric biopsy
Table (39):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding eisnophils in gastric biopsy
Table (40):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding diagnosis of gastric biopsy
Table (41):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding villi of duodenum in duodenal biopsy
Table (42):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding inflammatory cells in duodenal biopsy
Table (43):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding degree of duodenitis in duodenal biopsy
Table (44):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding number of eisonophils in duodenal biopsy 107
Table (45):	Comparison between different groups of diagnostic value of endoscopy regarding diagnosis of duodenal biopsy

List of Figures

Figure No.	Citle Page V	lo.
Review of Liter	rature	
Figure (I):	Upper and Lower human gastrointestinal tract	7
Figure (II):	Normal esophagus	. 26
Figure (III):	Normal cardia	. 26
Figure (IV):	Nodular esophagitis	. 31
Figure (V):	Hiatus hernia	. 33
Figure (VI):	Normal antral appearance	. 36
Figure (VII):	Normal pylorus	. 36
Figure (VIII):	Normal duodenal bulb	. 36
Figure (IX):	Normal descending duodenm	. 36
Figure (X):	Upper endoscopy showing modular gastritis in 1 years old female patient with HP infection	. 38
Figure (XI):	Peptic ulcer disease	. 40
Figure (XII):	Diffuse Duodenal Nodular Lymphoid Hyperplasia.	. 41
Figure (XIII):	Endoscopic still of duodenum of person with coeliac disease showing scalloping of folds and "cracked-mud" appearance to mucosa	. 43
Figure (XIV):	Flat duodenal mucosa	. 43
Figure (XV):	The normal esophageal wall showing the stratified squamas epithelum	

Figure No.	Title Page No.
Figure (XVI):	Histological section of the gastro-esophageal junction
Figure (XVII):	Eosinophilic esophagitis
Figure (XVIII):	Reflux-associated changes. The basal cell layer comprises no more than 15% to 20% of the thickness of the squamous epithelium
Figure (XIX):	Micrograph showing a cross section of the stomach wall, in the body portion of the stomach.H&E stain
Figure (XX):	Gastric biopsy showing surface epithelial erosion
Figure (XXI):	Helicopacter gastritis (nodular gastritis) 54
Figure (XXII):	Microscopically, the ulcer here is sharply demarcated,
Figure (XXIII):	Normal duodenal mucosa
Figure (XXIV):	Duodenal mucosa in celiac disease 58
Figure (XXV):	Upper GI endoscope for pediatrics 60
Figure (XXVI):	Endoscopy of 10m old female patient showed narrowing of cardia and inflammation of lower esophagus65

List of Figures

Figure No.	Citle	Page No.
Results		
Figure (1):	Gender of the included patients	68
Figure (2):	Endoscopic classification duodenitis among included patier	
Figure (3):	Diagnostic value of the endo among the included patients	1 0
Figure (4):	Comparison between different g of diagnostic value of endo regarding gender	scopy
Figure (5):	Comparison between different g of diagnostic value of endo regarding age.	scopy
Figure (6):	Comparison between different g of diagnostic value of endo regarding complaint	scopy
Figure (7):	Comparison between different g of diagnostic value of endo regarding endoscopic classificati esophagitis.	scopy on of
Figure (8):	Comparison between different groundiagnostic value of endoscopy region nodularity of esophagus in endoscopy	arding
Figure (9):	Comparison between different g of diagnostic value of endo regarding vascular anomalies esophagus in endoscopy	scopy s of

Figure No.	Citle S	₽age No.
Results		
Figure (10): (Comparison between different group diagnostic value of endoso regarding competency of cardia of esophagus in endoscopy	copy f the
Figure (11):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endoscoregarding endoscopic classification gastritis	copy n of
Figure (12):	Comparison between different group diagnostic value of endoscopy regar nodularity of the stomach in endosco	ding
Figure (13):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endosor regarding vascular changes of ston in endoscopy	copy nach
Figure (14):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endosor regarding mucosa of the duodenum	copy
Figure (15):	Comparison between different group of diagnostic value of endosor regarding nodularity of the duoder in endoscopy	copy num
Figure (16):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endoscoregarding endoscopic classification duodenitis endoscopy	copy n of

Figure No.	Citle S	Page No.
Results		
Figure (17):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endosc regarding papillary height esophageal biopsy	opy in
Figure (18):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endosc regarding degree of esophagitis esophageal biopsy	opy in
Figure (19):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endosc regarding eisonophils in esophas biopsy	opy geal
Figure (20):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endosc regarding diagnosis of esophagbiopsy	opy geal
Figure (21):	Value of endoscopy regarding deg of gastritis in gastric biopsy	
Figure (22):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endosc regarding inflammatory cells in gas biopsy	opy stric
Figure (23):	Comparison between different groof diagnostic value of endosc regarding H pylori in gastric biopsy	opy

Figure No.	Citle	Page No.
Results		
Figure (24):	Comparison between different gr of diagnostic value of endos regarding eisonophils in gastric bi	copy
Figure (25):	Comparison between different gr of diagnostic value of endos regarding diagnosis of gastric biop	copy
Figure (26):	Comparison between different gr of diagnostic value of endos regarding villi of duodenum duodenal biopsy	copy in
Figure (27):	Comparison between different gr of diagnostic value of endos regarding inflammatory cells duodenal biopsy	copy in
Figure (28):	Comparison between different gr of diagnostic value of endos regarding degree of duodeniti duodenal biopsy	copy s in
Figure (29):	Comparison between different gr of diagnostic value of endos regarding number of eisnophil duodenal biopsy	copy s in
Figure (30):	Comparison between different gr of diagnostic value of endos regarding diagnosis of duod biopsy	copy denal