

**Assessment of 1.5 mm low profile Titanium
miniplate as a tension band in treatment of
mandibular parasymphseal fractures.**

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University
In partial fulfillment of the requirement of Master Degree in
Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.

By

Mohammad Adel Helmy

B.D.S (October 6 University)

2007

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

2013

Supervisors

Doctor

Mostafa Kamal EL-Din Ezz

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery

Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery Department

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Doctor

Sameh Tarek Mekhemer

Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery

Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery Department

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Doctor

Hesham El-Sayed El-Hawary

lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery

Oral & Maxillofacial Surgery Department

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Dedication

In the name of Allah the most gracious, the most merciful. I dedicate this thesis to my parents and sister who have always been so close to me that I found them with me whenever I need.

I also dedicate this thesis to all Martyrs in the Islamic world who fight and dead searching for freedom, justice and dignity especially ours in Egypt in the revolution of 25th of January 2011 and military coup of 30th of June 2013, hope all rest in peace and promise to complete our mission for a civilized and developed not only country but also the Islamic world as a whole to reach top of the world.

I also dedicate this thesis to every researcher seeking knowledge to help humanity and suffer of patients hoping him to reach his goal and success.

Acknowledgment

Acknowledgment

First of all I would like to thank **ALLAH** Mighty and Majestic for his guidance to finish this thesis.

Second, there were a number of people without whom this thesis might not have been written, and to whom I am greatly indebted.

To my parents and sister who have been a source of encouragement and inspiration to me so, I would like to thank them so much.

I would like to conduct my gratitude, plentiful thanks and deep appreciation to ***Prof. Dr. Mostafa kamal Ezz***, Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his support and assistance that lead to realization of this thesis.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation and a lot of thanks to ***Prof. Dr. Sameh Tarek Mekhemer***, Professor of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for his precious advice, critical help and infinite support. It is of great honor to work under his supervision and I will remain always grateful.

Words can't express the deepest feeling of gratitude and genuine appreciation to ***Dr. Hesham El-Sayed El-Hawary***, Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University. It is of great honor to work under his supervision.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation and a lot of thanks to ***Dr. Amr Maher***, for his support in statistical analysis. It is of great honor to work with him.

Special thanks to ***Dr.Essam Ashour***, Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, October 6 University and ***Dr. Mohamed Faramawey***, Lecturer of Oral and Maxillofacial surgery, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, For their limitless effort and support. I will remain always grateful.

Special thanks to all my colleagues for their full cooperation and support.

Contents

<u>CHAPTER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
III. AIM OF THE STUDY	31
IV. PATIENTS AND METHODS	32
V. RESULTS	61
VI. DISCUSSION	83
VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	89
VIII. REFERENCES	94
IX. ARABIC SUMMARY	1

List of Tables

<u>TABLE NO.</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
01	Showing Patient's data collected from history, clinical and radiographic examination of group I.	62
02	Showing Patient's data collected from history, clinical and radiographic examination of group II .	63
03	Showing mean age of cases included in study and control groups.	73
04	Showing etiology of cases included in study and control groups.	74
05	Showing percentage of wound dehiscence in both groups at different intervals.	75
06	Showing percentage of infection in both groups at different intervals.	76
07	Showing percentage of infection in both groups at different intervals.	77
08	Percentage of occlusion in both groups at different intervals.	78
09	Showing percentage of incidence of parasthesia in both groups at different intervals.	79
10	Showing percentage of non-union or malunion in both groups at different intervals.	80
11	Showing percentage of radiographically detected infection.	81
12	Showing percentage of radiographically detected displacement.	82

List of Figures

<u>FIGURE NO.</u>	<u>TITLES</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
01	Diagram showing Champy's ideal line of osteosynthesis.	13
02	Diagram showing miniplates optimal location for osteosynthesis in symphyseal region as described by Champy.	13
03	Diagram showing comminuted parasymphyseal fracture Fixed by reconstruction plate.	17
04	Diagram showing Midface fracture fixation by low-profile titanium miniplate.	29
05	Diagram showing Angle fracture fixation by low-profile titanium miniplate.	29
06	(a) Different shapes and (b) different types of low-profile titanium miniplate.	30
07	Pre-operative clinical photos showing occlusion: (a) From frontal view with anterior open bite and vertical displacement of fractured segments. (b) From lateral view of right side. (c) From lateral view of left side with posterior open bite and vertical displacement of fractured segments.	44

08	Pre-operative panoramic radiograph showing: right parasymphseal and left subcondylar fractures with downward displacement of left segment.	45
09	Pre-operative submentovertex projection showing: non-displaced right parasymphseal fracture from an antro-posterior view.	45
10	(a) Scrubbing (b) Draping of the patient.	46
11	Transoral vestibular incision and exposure of fractured site.	46
12	Reduction of the fractured segments with bone reduction forceps.	47
13	6-holed titanium miniplate placed on the labial aspect surface of the mandible and fixed at the inferior border with 6 bicortical 2.0 mm screws of 11 mm length.	47
14	4-holed low profile titanium miniplate placed superiorly as a tension band and fixed with 4 monocortical 1.5 mm screw of 5 mm length.	48
15	Suturing of the surgical wound.	48
16	Application of a pressure dressing band.	48
17	Immediate Post-operative panoramic radiograph showing: proper alignment of the fractured segments	49

18	Immediate Post-operative submentovertex projection showing proper alignment of the fractured segments.	49
19	post-operative clinical photos follow up showing proper occlusion and wound healing.	50
20	1 month post-operative panoramic radiograph with proper occlusion and proper inferior border alignment with no signs of infection around the screws.	51
21	6 months post-operative panoramic radiograph showing evidence of bone healing with no signs of infection around the screws.	51
22	6 month Post-operative submentovertex projection showing evidence of bone healing and alignment with no signs of infection around the screws.	52
23	Pre-operative clinical photos for 1 st case in group II showing: (a) improper occlusion from frontal view. (b) occlusion from lateral view of right side with posterior open bite. (c) occlusion from lateral view of left side with posterior open bite.	53
24	Pre-operative panoramic radiograph for 1 st case in group II showing: right parasymphiseal and left angle fractures.	54
25	Intra-operative photo for 1 st case in group II after fixation of the right parasymphiseal fracture with two 2.0 mm titanium miniplate .	55
26	Intra-operative photo for 1 st case in group II after fixation of the angle fracture with 8-holed 2.0 titanium miniplate placed monocortically according to champy's ideal line of osteosynthesis.	55

27	post-operative clinical photos follow up showing proper occlusion and wound healing.	56
28	post-operative panoramic radiograph after 1 month of 1 st case in group II showing: evidence of bone healing with no signs of infection around the screws.	57
29	Post-operative submentovertex projection after 1 month of 1 st case in group II showing: evidence of bone healing and alignment with no signs of infection around the screws.	57
30	post-operative panoramic radiograph after 6 months of 1 st case in group II showing: evidence of bone healing with no signs of infection around the screws.	58
31	Post-operative submentovertex projection after 6 months of 1 st case in group II showing: evidence of bone healing and alignment with no signs of infection around the screws.	58
32	Intra-operative photo for case 01, group I after fixation of the body fracture with DCP and low profile titanium miniplate transorally using trocar.	59
33	Immediate Post-operative panoramic radiograph for case 01, group I showing proper alignment of the fractured segments.	59
34	Intra-operative photo for case 03, group I after fixation of the body fracture with two 2.0 mm titanium miniplates through an extraoral approach.	60

35	Immediate Post-operative panoramic radiograph for case 03, group I showing proper alignment of the fractured segments.	60
36	Graph showing wound dehiscence in group I.	64
37	Graph showing wound infection in case 03, group I.	64
38	Graph showing no Segment mobility in group I.	65
39	Graph showing occlusion in group I.	65
40	Graph showing incidence of paraesthesia in group I.	66
41	Graph showing no malunion or non-union in all patients of group I.	66
42	Graph showing no evidence of infection radiographically.	67
43	Graph showing incidence of displacement radiographically.	67
44	Graph showing wound dehiscence in group II.	68

45	Graph showing wound infection in group II .	69
46	Graph showing Segment mobility in group II.	69
47	Graph showing occlusion in group II .	70
48	Graph showing incidence of paraesthesia.	70
49	Graph showing malunion or non-union in all patients of group II.	71
50	Graph showing evidence of infection radiographically in group II .	71
51	Graph showing incidence of displacement radiographically.	72
52	Bar-chart representing age of the patients of the two groups.	73
53	Pie-chart representing gender of the patients of the two groups.	74
54	Bar-chart showing percentage of wound dehiscence in both groups at different intervals.	75

55	Bar-chart showing percentage of infection in both groups at different intervals.	76
56	Bar chart showing percentage of segment mobility in both groups at different intervals	77
57	Bar-chart showing percentage of occlusion in both groups at different intervals.	78
58	Bar-chart showing percentage of incidence of paresthesia in both groups at different intervals.	79
59	Bar-chart showing percentage of non union and malunion	80
60	Bar-chart showing percentage of radiographically detected infection in both groups.	81
61	Bar-chart showing percentage of radiographically detected displacement.	82