



# **Structural deformation of the Sufr El Dara accommodation zone, Western side of the Gulf of Suez Rift**

**Thesis submitted in partial Fulfillment of the requirement for  
The degree of Master of philosophy In Science (Geology)**

**By**

**Mohamed Mohamed El-Said Mohamed Abdallah**

**B.Sc. (Geology)**

**Supervisors**

Prof. Dr. Adel Ramadan Moustafa  
Professor of structural Geology  
Head of Geology department  
Faculty of Science  
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Hamed Abdel Aal  
Professor of Geophysics and  
Vice deen of post graduated  
studies and research  
Faculty of Education  
Ain Shams University

Dr. Ali Mohamed Ali Abd-Allah  
Assistant Professor of Structure Geology  
Ain Shams University

**Geology Department  
Faculty of Science  
Ain Shams University  
Cairo2009**

## **NOTE**

**In addition to the research work materialized in this thesis, the candidate has attended postgraduate courses for one academic year in the Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University in the following topics:-**

**Advanced Structural Geology**

**Geotectonics**

**Field Geology and Mapping**

**Photogeology and Remote Sensing**

**Geomorphology**

**Biostratigraphy**

**Advanced Lithostratigraphy**

**Sedimentary petrology**

**Sedimentation**

**Geostatistics and Basic Language programing**

**English Language**

**He has successfully passed the final examination in the courses.**

**Professor Dr. Adel Ramadan Moustafa**

**Head of Geology Department**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

**I am indebted to Professor Dr. Adel Ramadan Moustafa, Professor of Structural Geology, Department of Geology, Faculty of Science , Ain Shams University, Professor Dr. Mohamed Hamed Abdel Aal, Professor of Geophysics, Department of Geology and Biological Sciences, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University and Dr. Ali Mohamed Ali Abd-Allah, Assistant professor of Structural Geology, Department of Geology, Faculty of Science , Ain Shams University for supervising this work and for constructive criticism during the progress of the work covered by the present thesis.**

**I am also grateful to the Geological and Geophysical Data Center of the Egyptian General Petroleum Corporation (E.G.P.C.) for providing the seismic data and well logs used in this study.**

## **Abstract**

The study area represents the southwestern part of the Sufr El Dara accommodation zone in the south part of the Suez rift. This zone separates the southern (southwest dipping) half graben from the central (northeast dipping) half graben. This study was carried out to delineate the subsurface geological structures affecting the area. The present study is mainly based upon regional and residual magnetic map derived from a total intensity magnetic map, detailed examination of seismic reflection profiles and data obtained from 15 wells drilled in the study area. Structure contour, isochron and composite dip maps were constructed for the Miocene formations (Zeit Formation, South Gharib Formation, Belayim Formation and Kareem Formation). In addition, regional and residual magnetic maps for each 500 m downward depth interval were constructed.

The structural style in the study area is mainly controlled by faults and their related tilted blocks. The transfer zone in the north is controlled by NNE to NE oriented faults, whereas the southwest-tilted Gebel El Zeit block is governed by the Clysmyc faults. The former faults extend from the Red Sea Mountains in the west to affect the zone area, where they were reactivated during the Late Cretaceous deformation and then during the Suez rifting phases. These faults have left-lateral normal-slip movement as indicating from the left-lateral offset of the magnetic anomalies. This left-lateral movement resulted in several reverse faults and folds that obliquely oriented to these faults. Some of these reverse faults form positive flower structures on the top surface of the transfer zone. The faults affecting the study area started their development during the

Oligo-Miocene rifting phase and continued through the Miocene age, where the Miocene basin was formed on the trough of Esh El Mellaha block as the transfer zone received a relatively thin Miocene section. The fault displacements were estimated on the planes of three faults which show that:

Both of the northeast oriented faults bounding the southeastern limit of the zone and inside the zone had high displacement rates during the deposition of the Miocene rocks except during the deposition of the Belayim sediments. During the deposition of these sediments, Esh El Mellaha clysmic fault has the highest displacement rate.

- 1- The two faults of the accommodation zone had the maximum displacement (more than 370 meters per million years) in the study area during the deposition of the Upper Miocene evaporites of South Gharib and Belayim Formations. On the other hand, Esh El Mellaha clysmic fault had the minimum displacement rate (less than 50 meters per million years) during the deposition of the Rudies Formation.
- 2- During the Miocene time, the displacement rates of the northeast oriented fault inside the accommodation zone were higher than the rate values of the fault bounding the zone except during the deposition of the Rudies Formation.
- 3- The rate of the displacement on the plane of Esh El Mellaha clysmic fault increased upward through the Miocene time, where it was constant during the deposition of the Kareem and Belayim Formations. On the contrary, the rate of the displacements of the two faults of the accommodation zone show random patterns, in the single fault and in relation to each

other. These patterns attributed that, the large number of faults that dissect the accommodation zone fragmented the zone into several blocks that were differently activated by the same tectonic movement, the blocks displaced in different rates. Also, the displacement on the planes of the two faults reached their maximum values during the deposition of the Miocene evaporites.

- 4 The Miocene formations were deposited during active tectonic movements where the northeast oriented faults which control the accommodation zone were more active than the Clysmic faults which form the half graben, except during the deposition of the Belayim Formation. These structures affect most of the rock units ranging in age from Precambrian to Recent. The faults exist in three main sets, which are NNW set (Clysmic trend), N-S set and NNE set. The Clysmic faults are the longest and have the largest displacement and were formed by a northeast oriented extension during the Oligo-Miocene initial stage of Suez rifting. The rotation on the listric planes of some Clysmic faults formed several southwest- tilted blocks. The transfer zone has different directions of tilting inside the Miocene beds.

## List of Contents

<b>Subject</b>	<b>No.</b>
<b>Page</b>	
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	I
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	II
<b>List of contents</b>	V
<b>List of Figures</b>	VIII
<b>List of Tables</b>	XI
 <b><u>CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION</u></b>	 1
1.1. Location of the Study area	2
1.2. Objective of the Study	2
1.3. Mapping Methodology	4
1.4. Historical review of Exploration activities in Egypt	6
1.5. General Structural History of the Gulf of Suez	7
1.6. Previous Studies	9
 <b><u>CHAPTER II : STRATIGRAPHY</u></b>	 14
2.1 Stratigraphy of the Study Area	15
2.2. Pre-Rift Rocks	15
2.2.1. Precambrian Basement	15
2.2.2. Paleozoic Rocks	16
2.2.2.1. Araba Formation	16
2.2.2.2. Naqus Formation	17
2.2.3. Mesozoic Rocks	17
2.2.3.1. Malha Formation "Lower Cretaceous"	17
2.2.3.2. Raha Formation "Cenomanian"	17
2.2.3.3. Abu Qada Formation "Lower Turonian"	17
2.2.3.4. Wata Formation "Turonian"	18
2.2.3.5. Matulla Formation "Coniacian-Santonian"	18
2.2.3.6. Duwi Formation "Campanian"	19
2.2.3.7. Sudr Formation "Campanian-Mastrichtian"	19
2.2.4. Cenozoic Rocks	19
2.2.4.1. Esna Shale "Paleocene-Lower Eocen	19
2.2.4.2. Thebes Formation "Lower Eocene"	22
2.2.4.3. Mokattam Formation "Middle Eocene"	22

2.3.	Syn-Rift Rock	23
2.3.1.1.	Miocene Rocks	23
2.3.1.2.	Abu Gerfan Formation “Surface Miocene”	25
2.3.1.2.	Gharamul Formation “Surface Miocene”	26
2.3.1.3.	Gemsa Formation “Surface Miocene”	27
2.3.1.4.	Nukhal Formation “Subsurface Miocene”	28
2.3.1.5.	Rudeis Formation “Subsurface Miocene”	28
2.3.1.6.	Kareem Formation “Subsurface Miocene”	29
2.3.1.7.	Belayim Formation “Subsurface Miocene”	29
2.3.1.7.1.	BabaMember	30
2.3.1.7.2.	Sidri Member	30
2.3.1.7.3.	Feiran member	30
2.3.1.7.4.	Hammam Faraun Member	30
2.3.1.8.	South Gharib Formation “Subsurface Miocene Rocks”	31
2.3.1.9.	Zeit Formation “Subsurface MioceneRocks”.	31

### **CHAPTER III : INTERPRETATION OF MAGNETIC DATA** 32

3.1.	Introduction	33
3.2.	Magnetic data	34
3.3.	Qualitative Interpretation	36
3.4.	Digitization	38
3.4.1.	Magnetic Separation	38
3.5	Structures revealed by magnetic data	39

### **CHAPTER IV : INTERPRETATION OF SEISMIC DATA** 57

4.1.	Introduction	58
4.1.1.	Average velocity	59
4.1.2.	Interval velocity	59
4.1.3.	Root-mean-square velocity	60
4.2.	Interpretation of average and interval velocities	60
4.2.1.	The average velocity curve	60
4.2.2.	The interval velocity models	60
4.2.3.	Average velocity maps	61
4.3.4.	Interval velocity maps	70

### **CHAPTER V : STRUCTURAL SETTING** 82

5.1	Structural setting and tectonics of the Suez rift	83
5.2.	Structural styles of the study area	91
5.2.1	Structures of Gebel Sufr El Dara	91



	accommodation zone	
5.2.2.	Structures of Gebel El Zeit tilted block	103
5.2.2.1	Esh El Mellaha clysmic fault	108
5.2.2.2	El Zeit fault system	116
5.3.	Description of the Miocene structures	116
5.3.1.	Structures on the top Kareem Formation	116
5.3.2.	Structures on the top Belayim Formation	120
5.3.3.	Structures on the top South Gharib Formation	122
5.3.4.	Structures on the top Zeit Formation	126
5.4	Rate of fault displacements	129
5.4.1	Rates of fault displacements during the deposition of Rudies Formation	130
5.4.2.	Rates of fault displacements during the deposition of Kareem Formation	130
5.4.3.	Rates of fault displacements during the deposition of Belayim Formation	132
5.4.4	Rates of fault displacements during the deposition of the Upper Miocene evaporite	132
<b><u>CHAPTER VI : SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS</u></b>		135
<b><u>REFERENCES</u></b>		147
<b><u>ARABIC SUMMARY</u></b>		157

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figure No.</b>		<b>Page No.</b>
1.1	Location Map of the study (by El Azabi, 2001).	3
1.2	Seismic lines in the study area.	5
1.3	Structure map of the Suez rift showing the main structural domain (after Abd-Allah, 2008).	13
2.1	Stratigraphic section and surface rock units in the study area ,Youssef, 1986	20
2.2	Generalized Lithology of the rock unit in Gulf of Suez, Egypt (EGPC 1994).	21
3.1	Total magnetic intensity map anomaly.	37
3.2	Regional magnetic map horizon at 500 meter depth.	41
3.3	Regional magnetic map horizon at 1000 meter depth.	42
3.4	Regional magnetic map horizon at 1500 meter depth	43
3.5	Regional magnetic map horizon at 2000 meter depth.	44
3.6	Regional magnetic map at 2500 meter depth.	45
3.7	Regional magnetic map horizon at 3000 meter depth.	46
3.8	Regional magnetic map horizon at 3500 meter depth.	47
3.9	Regional magnetic map horizon at 4000 meter depth.	48
3.10	Residual magnetic map horizon at 500 meter depth.	49
3.11	Residual magnetic map horizon at 1000 meter depth.	50
3.12	Residual magnetic map horizon at 1500 meter depth.	51
3.13	Residual magnetic map horizon at 2000 meter depth.	52
3.14	Residual magnetic map horizon at 2500 meter depth.	53
3.15	Residual magnetic map horizon at 3000 meter depth.	54
3.16	Residual magnetic map horizon at 3500 meter depth.	55
3.17	Residual magnetic map horizon at 4000 meter depth.	56
4.1	Velocity (m/sec) versus depth (m) for well Wadi Dib-1.	63
4.2	Velocity (m/sec) versus depth (m) for well Gazwarina-1.	63
4.3	Velocity (m/sec) versus depth (m) for well Gebel El Zeit-2.	64
4.4	Velocity (m/sec) versus depth (m) for well Zeit Bay.	64
4.5	Velocity (m/sec) versus depth (m) for well Wadi Dara G-1.	65
4.6	Interval and average velocities plot, Gebel El Zeit-2 well.	66
4.7	Interval and average velocities plot, Zeit Bay-1 well.	67
4.8	Interval and average velocities plot, Wadi Dib-1 well.	68
4.9	Interval and average velocities plot, Wadi Dara G-1 well.	69
4.10	Average velocity map of Zeit Formation.	72
4.11	Average velocity map of South Gharib Formation.	73
4.12	Average velocity map of Belayim Formation.	74

4.13	Average velocity map of Kareem Formation.	75
4.14	Average velocity map of Rudeis Formation.	76
4.15	Interval velocity map of Zeit Formation.	77
4.16	Interval velocity map of South Gharib Formation.	78
4.17	Interval velocity map of Belayim Formation.	79
4.18	Interval velocity map of Kareem Formation.	80
4.19	Interval velocity map of Rudeis Formation.	81
5.1	Diagrammatic cross section of a passive continental rift (after Condie, 1989).	87
5.2	Major tilted rift blocks and dip provinces in Suez rift (After Moustafa, 2002).	88
5.3	Structural map of the Suez rift showing major faulted blocks and deep basins (shaded) (after Patton et al., 1994).	89
5.4	Half graben-to half graben transfer zones between Oppositely tilted half grabens in the Suez rift and northwest Red Sea map (after Moustafa, 1997).	90
5.5	Structure time contour map of top Kareem Formation.	94
5.6	Interpreted seismic section (seismic line WG 86-102) showing the steep dip of the faults that affect Gebel Sufr El Dara accommodation zone.	95
5.7	Structural model for the formation of the reverse faults and folds.	96
5.8	Miocene dip direction map of the study area.	97
5.9	Structural map of the Gebel Sufr El dara accommodation zone showing the northeast elongated lineaments which controlled the orientation of the zone and the extrusion of Dokhan Volcanics in the Precambrian rocks of South Sinai and Red Sea Mountains.	99
5.10	East – west subsidence profile from Nubia Formation to Zeit Formation.	100
5.11a	Northwest – Southeast subsidence profile from Nubia Formation to Kareem Formation.	101
5.11b	Northwest – Southeast subsidence profile from Belayim Formation to Post Zeit Formation.	102
5.12	Interpreted seismic section (WG 86-113) showing Gebel El zeit tilted blocks and its boundary faults.	105
5.13a	Formation of the short reverse faults at the upward tips of deep seated normal faults.	110
5.13b	Formation of the short reverse faults and the low angle to bedding parallel thrust faults.	110

5.14	Tectonic model for the formation of the high angle. reverse faults and thrust faults in the draped section over El Zeit fault system.	111
5.15	Model of the formation of the reverse faults in the Wadi Baba area (after Sharp et al., 2000).	112
5.16	Clay model of the formation of the reverse faults affecting the draped section over deep sealed faults. (after Withjack et al., 1990).	113
5.17	Interpreted seismic section (WG 86-123) showing the listric surface of Esh El Mellaha faults.	114
5.18	Interpreted seismic section (WG 86-107) showing positive flower structure at the accommodation zone.	115
5.19	Isochronus contour map of the Belayim Formation.	119
5.20	Structure contour map of the top Belayim Formation.	121
5.21	Isochronus contour map of the South Gharib Formation.	124
5.22	Structure contour map of the top South Gharib Formation.	125
5.23	Isochronus contour map of the Zeit Formation.	127
5.24	Structure contour map of the top Zeit Formation.	128
5.25	Displacement rates of three faults in the study area. during the deposition of the Rudeis, Kareem, Belayim and evaporites Formations.	131

## **LIST OF TABLES**

<b>Table No.</b>		<b>Page No.</b>
1.1	Exploration activity in the Gulf of Suez	6
1.2	Exposed rift blocks within the three half grabens (provinces) of Suez rift	8
2.1	Miocene classification in the Gulf of Suez	24

# **CHAPTER I**

## **INTRODUCTION**

## **INTRODUCTION**

The study area represents the southern part of the Dara accommodation zone in the Suez rift. This zone separates the southern (southwest dipping) half graben from the central (northeast dipping) half graben.

### **A- location of the Study Area**

The study area (Figure 1.1) is located between Latitudes  $27^{\circ} 45'$  and  $28^{\circ} 05' N$  and Longitudes  $33^{\circ} 10'$  and  $33^{\circ} 35' E$  on the south western coast of the Gulf of Suez. The area is geographically surrounded to the north by Gebel Gharamoul, to the east by Gebel El-Zeit crest, to the west by Esh El-Mellaha range (NW-SE trend) and to the south by Zeit Bay. The central area is a gravel plain. Miocene rocks are well exposed at Gebel Gharamul, Gebel El Zeit and Gebel Abu Gerfan.

### **B- Objectives of the Study**

The Objectives of the study are:

- 1- To map the subsurface syn-rift formations.
- 2- To delineate the subsurface geologic structures affecting the study area by using seismic data.
- 3- To study the basement topography.
- 4- To study the bedding attitude in and around the southern part of the Dara accommodation zone.
- 5- To determine the different tectonic events that affected the study area.
- 6- To propose a structural model for the study area with respect to the regional structural setting of the Suez rift.