



**تأثير مادة الكيوميكس و الهيبوكلوريت الصوديوم  
كسوائل شطف على نظافة القنوات الجذرية  
للأسنان باستخدام تقنيات الارواء المختلفة**

رسالة مقدمة الى كلية طب الأسنان جامعة عين  
شمس تمهيدا للحصول على درجة الماجستير فى  
علاج الجذور

مقدمة من الطيبة  
منة الله محمد سيد ابو السعود  
بكالوريوس طب الاسنان  
جامعة عين شمس ٢٠٠٧

٢٠١٥

# المشرفون

أ.د/ حسام محمد عصام الدين توفيق  
أستاذ علاج الجذور  
كلية طب الأسنان - جامعة عين شمس

أ.م. عبير الجندى  
استاذ مساعد علاج الجذور  
كلية طب الأسنان - جامعة عين شمس



# **The effect of Qmix and sodium hypochlorite as root canal irrigants on root canal cleanliness using different irrigation Techniques.**

A Thesis submitted to the  
Faculty of dentistry  
Ain Shams Univeristy

In Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for master  
degree  
In Endodontics

By

***Menna Allah Mohamed sayed aboelsaud***

B.D.S. Ain Shams University (2007)

2015

# **Supervisors**

Prof.Hossam Mohamed Essam  
Eldeen Tawfik  
Professor of Endodontics  
Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University

Assoc.Professor.Abeer El-  
Guindy  
Assoc.Professor of Endodontics  
Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم  
} يرفع الله الذين آمنوا منكم  
{ والذين آمنوا العلى درجات

صدق الله العظيم

سورة المجادلة : ١١

# Acknowledgement

*First, I wish to express my deep thanks and sincere gratitude to **ALLAH**, who always helps me, care for me and granted me the ability to accomplish this thesis.*

*I would like to express my deepest gratitude, thanks and gratefulness to **Prof.Hossam Tawfek** Professor of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his enthusiastic support, continuous encouragement and great help through out of the accomplishment of this work.*

*My sincere thanks to **Ass.Prof.Abeer Elguindy**, Associate Professor of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for her kind and meticulous supervision, support, help, valuable guidance through the work.*

*Words can never express my sincere thanks to my **Husband** for his generous support and continuous encouragement.*

*I would like to express my over lasting gratitude to all my dear friends, colleagues in Ain Shams University who offered me help, encouragement wishing them the best of all.*

*Menaa Allah Mohamed Sayed Abo Elsaud*

## **Dedication**

### **To My Parents**

- My Father
- My Mother

### **To My Family**

- My Husband
- My Twins Fares and Adam

### **To My Sisters**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

---

<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>REVIEW Of LITERATURE</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>AIM OF THE STUDY</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>53</b>
<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>SUMMARY</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>96</b>
<b>REFER ENCES</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>ARABIC SUMMARY</b>	<b>-</b>

---



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Fig. No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>1</b>	Stereomicroscope	<b>47</b>
<b>2</b>	Stereomicroscope image 12x of tooth sample 3 group A2 showing cervical , middle and apical thirds	<b>48</b>
<b>3</b>	Stereomicroscopic image showing the traced debris in each canal	<b>49</b>
<b>4</b>	Scanning Electron Microscope	<b>50</b>
<b>5</b>	Sputter coated root segments	<b>50</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Score 1:</b> No smear layer; orifice of dentinal tubules patent	<b>51</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Score 2:</b> Small amount of smear layer; some dentinal tubules patent	<b>51</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Score 3:</b> Homogenous smear layer along almost the entire canal wall, only very few dentinal tubules patent	<b>51</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Score 4:</b> Entire root canal wall covered with a homogenous smear layer, no patent dentinal tubules.	<b>51</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Score 5:</b> A thick, non homogenous smear layer covering the entire root canal wall	<b>51</b>
<b>11</b>	Bar chart of dentin debris in different tooth segments within the NaOCl group A	<b>56</b>
<b>12</b>	Bar chart of dentin debris in different irrigation techniques within the NaOCl group A	<b>57</b>
<b>13</b>	Bar chart of collective data for dentin debris in each subgroup for the in group (A)	<b>58</b>
<b>14</b>	Images for dentin debris under stereomicroscope 12X for different segments in Subgroups A1, A2 and A3.	<b>59</b>

<b>Fig. No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>15</b>	Bar chart of Dentin debris in different tooth segments within the Qmix group B	<b>62</b>
<b>16</b>	Bar chart of dentin debris in different irrigation techniques within the Qmix group B	<b>63</b>
<b>17</b>	Bar chart of collective data for dentin debris in each subgroup for the in group (B)	<b>64</b>
<b>18</b>	Images for dentin debris under stereomicroscope 12X for different segments in Subgroups B1,B2 and B3.	<b>65</b>
<b>19</b>	Bar chart of smear layer in different tooth segments within the NaOCl groupA	<b>70</b>
<b>20</b>	Bar chart of smear layer in different irrigation techniques within the NaOCl group A	<b>71</b>
<b>21</b>	Bar chart of collective data for smear layer values for each subgroup in group (A)	<b>72</b>
<b>22</b>	Images for smear layer under Scanning electron microscope 1000X for different segments in Subgroups A1,A2 and A3.	<b>73</b>
<b>23</b>	Bar chart of smear layer in different tooth segments within the Qmix group B	<b>77</b>
<b>24</b>	Bar chart of smear layer in different irrigation techniques within the Qmix group B	<b>77</b>
<b>25</b>	Bar chart of collective data for smear layer values in each subgroups for group (B)	<b>78</b>
<b>26</b>	Images for smear layer under Scanning electron microscope 1000X for different segments in Subgroups B1,B2 and B3	<b>79</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>1</b>	Sample classification	<b>43</b>
<b>2</b>	Comparison between different segments and between different techniques in group A regarding dentin debris	<b>56</b>
<b>3</b>	Dentin debris in each subgroups collectively in group A	<b>58</b>
<b>4</b>	Comparison between different segments and between different techniques in group B regarding dentin debris	<b>62</b>
<b>5</b>	Dentin debris in each subgroups collectively in group B	<b>64</b>
<b>6</b>	Comparing group A&B regarding Plastic syringe	<b>67</b>
<b>7</b>	Comparing Group A&B regarding Ultrasonic irrigation	<b>67</b>
<b>8</b>	Comparing Group A&B regarding Manual agitation	<b>67</b>
<b>9</b>	Comparison between different segments and between different techniques in group A regarding smear layer	<b>70</b>
<b>10</b>	Smear layer values in each subgroups collectively in group A	<b>72</b>
<b>11</b>	Comparison between different segments and between different techniques in group B regarding smear layer	<b>76</b>
<b>12</b>	Smear layer values in each subgroup collectively in group B:	<b>78</b>
<b>13</b>	Comparing group A&B regarding Plastic syringe	<b>81</b>
<b>14</b>	Comparing group A&B regarding Ultrasonic irrigation	<b>81</b>
<b>15</b>	Comparing group A&B regarding Manual agitation	<b>81</b>

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<b>SEM</b>	: Scanning electronmicroscope
<b>NaOCl</b>	: Sodium hypochlorite
<b>PS</b>	: Plastic syringe
<b>US</b>	: Ultrasonic
<b>PUI</b>	: Passive ultrasonic irrigation
<b>EDTA</b>	: Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
<b>EGTA</b>	: Ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid
<b>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></b>	: Hydrogen peroxide
<b>NiTi</b>	: Nickel titanium
<b>MTAD</b>	: Mixture of tetracycline isomer, an acid and a detergent
<b>CA</b>	: Citric acid
<b>CHX</b>	: Chlohexidine
<b>EDS</b>	: Electron dispersive spectroscopy
<b>CSL</b>	: Chemical smear layer
<b>DW</b>	: Distilled water
<b>TOF-SIMS</b>	: Time of flight secondary ion mass spectrometry
<b>IP6</b>	: Inositol hexakisphosphate
<b>PC</b>	: Personal computer
<b>TIFF</b>	: Tagged image file format
<b>SACs</b>	: Simulated accessory canals
<b>ANP</b>	: Apical negative pressure
<b>WL</b>	: Working length

### Introduction

The objectives of root canal therapy are cleaning, shaping, and obturating the root canal system in three dimensions, hence preventing re-infection, thereby creating an environment conducive to healing. The mechanical action of instruments alone is not sufficient to promote satisfactory cleaning of the root canal system. Hence the use of different chemical agents may help in cleaning the complex root canal system through chemical dissolution, detoxification, debridement and flushing away contents of the root canal space.

The requirements of an ideal root canal irrigant are providing lubrication for instrumentation, and it would flush debris from the canal during mechanical preparation and dissolve organic tissue in inaccessible areas of the root canal. It should be bactericidal yet have minimal cytotoxicity, also an irrigant should aid in removal of the smear layer. Several irrigating solutions have been suggested and used however, Sodium hypochlorite is considered the most widely used irrigant in today's modern endodontic practice.

Qmix a root canal irrigant that is composed of Ethylene diamine tetracacetic acid, disodium dehydrate (EDTA) and Chlorhexidine Digluconate was developed to be used as irrigant combining germicidal and calcium chelator's actions. Different irrigation techniques as plastic syringe, manual agitation and passive ultrasonic irrigation affect the efficiency and performance of the irrigating solution, therefore conducting a study to assess the efficiency of Qmix in comparison to sodium hypochlorite as endodontic irrigating solutions using different irrigation techniques was thought to be of great value.

### **Review of literature**

There is a common consensus that root canal irrigants are indispensable aids in dissolving and inactivating organic debris and destroying micro organisms. In addition some agents allow removal of a post preparation smear layer in order to allow access to dentinal tubules. Several methods of employment of an irrigant inside the canal space are available.<sup>(1)</sup>

Root canal cleanliness is achieved by the action of different irrigating solutions and irrigation techniques. During cleaning and shaping organic pulpal materials and inorganic dentinal debris accumulate on the radicular canal wall producing an amorphous irregular smear layer. With pulp necrosis the smear layer can be contaminated by bacteria and their metabolic by-products. The smear layer is superficial with a thickness of 1-5 micrometers and debris can be packed into the dentinal tubules in varying distances.<sup>(2)</sup>

The advantages and disadvantages of the presence of smear layer, and whether it should be removed or not from the instrumented root canals, are still controversial. Endodontic smear layer delays the action of endodontic disinfectants and acts as a physical barrier interfering with adhesion and penetration of sealers into dentinal tubules. In turn, it may affect the sealing efficiency of root canal obturation.<sup>(3)</sup>