

Ain Shams University Faculty of Arts Department of English Language and Literature

Types of Pragmalinguistic Errors in the Essays of Egyptian University EFL Learners

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Master's Degree in Linguistics

Submitted by

Nermin Hosny Ibrahim Ahmad

An English Instructor at the English and Scientific Methods Department in the German University in Cairo

To

The Department of English Language and Literature

Faculty of Arts

Ain Shams University

Under the supervision of

Prof. Zeinab Ali El Naggar

Dr. Nadia Abdulgalil Shalaby

Professor Emerita of English LanguageTeaching Methodology **Associate Professor of Linguistics**

Faculty of Education

Faculty of Arts

Ain Shams University

Ain Shams University

i

Types of Pragmalinguistic Errors in the Essays of Egyptian University EFL Learners

Under the supervision of

Prof. Zeinab Ali El Naggar	Dr. Nadia Abdulgalil Shalaby

Professor Emerita of English Associate professor of linguistics Language Teaching Methodology

Faculty of Education Faculty of Arts

Ain Shams University Ain Shams University

Types of Pragmalinguistic Errors in the Essays of Egyptian University EFL Learners

By

Nermin Hosny Ibrahim

Under the supervision of

Prof. Zeinab Ali El Naggar & Dr. Nadia Abdulgalil Shalaby

Abstract

This research aims at investigating the types of pragmalinguistic errors (a sub-category of pragmatics) in the writings of EFL learners, the causes that contribute to such errors, and the frequencies of pragmalinguistic errors in different levels of language proficiency. This research also provides illustrations for the misunderstanding -caused by pragmalinguistic errors- which may occur, inadvertently, due to conveying unintended/wrong meaning to the reader. In this respect, 92 essays written by university EFL learners in Cairo, Egypt were analyzed for the aforementioned purposes. The errors were checked by four English native speakers for reliability purposes and for confirming the inappropriateness of the errors. The qualitative analyses of the findings reveal that the types of pragmalinguistic errors found were Erroneous Construction of Sentences, Arabic Construction of Sentences and Lexical Errors. On the other hand, the quantitative analyses of the findings reveal that pragmalinguistic errors are mostly attributed to L1 transfer and inappropriate language use. Moreover, some of the pragmalinguistic errors which were detected in the writings of EFL learners occurred with higher frequency in the writings of intermediate-level students than in the writings of advanced-level ones whereas some other pragmalinguistic errors occurred with comparable frequencies; in both cases, the differences between intermediate- and advanced-level students did not reach a statistical significance.

Keywords: Pragmatics, Pragmalinguistic Errors, Pragmalinguistic Transfer, Lexical Phrases, Lexical Errors, L1 Influence, L1 Transfer

Acknowledgements

This research was made possible by various means and by the help of many people who have thankfully contributed to achieving my goal and finalizing this research. I hereby gratefully acknowledge the assistance of those people whose support is of equal importance; hence, they are mentioned hereunder irrespective of their order to express my heartfelt appreciation.

First and foremost, I am grateful to my two valued supervisors Prof. Zeinab El-Naggar and Dr. Nadia Shalaby for their continuous and enormous efforts exerted in reviewing this research. It has been an honor to work with such a knowledgeable and experienced professor like Dr. Zeinab who has enriched this study with her infinite knowledge and insightful comments. Moreover, I am exceptionally indebted to Dr. Nadia's innumerous and invaluable contributions, priceless support, and graceful guidance through all, as well as pre-, research stages on both the academic and personal levels. Additionally, I would like to show my profound appreciation to Mrs. Dahlia Sennara for her endless and indescribable support, for her remarkable comments all through my thesis and pre-thesis stages, and for the inter-rater reliability. Moreover, I am sincerely thankful to Dr. Ehab Yassin for his instructions and help in the statistical analyses of this research.

Further, I would like to show my gratitude to my father for his indispensible guidance and efforts through all of my life and particularly through my early years of learning. By fostering me, I envisage him as the cornerstone of my achievements. It would not have been possible to attain my current experience and language proficiency if it were not for his efforts. Moreover,

I would like to thank my mother for her unprecedented care, her unmatched devotion, her constant prayers and her blessings; all of which made the impossible possible.

I would also like to extend my appreciation to my friends Mariam Mostafa, Hanan Salah, Essam Fares, Noha Omaya, Mai El-Ghrib for their outstanding co-operation and help, and, importantly, Alaa Darwish for her untold assistance. Also, special thanks go to my friend Mai Kamal for her constant encouragement and her unceasing help. Over and above, I would like to sincerely thank all of the raters, Miss Sussana Matters, Dr. Abdullah John Alger, Dr. Haely Liam, Mr. Chris Hayens, Mr. Jeffrey Young, who despite the fact that they are of no relation of mine, they put in illimitable efforts and took much of their valuable time to rate the errors for the two surveys in the study.

Last, but by no means least, the unforgettable support and considerate care of my husband Sayed Ismail, his thoughtful understanding along the road, and the warm caresses of my adorable son Hamza, have all sailed my ship peacefully through the thunderstorms.

Table of Contents

Abstractii
Acknowledgementsiv
List of Tablesxi
List of Figuresxii
List of Abbreviations xiii
Transcription List of Arabic Soundsxiv
Chapter One: Introduction1
1.1. Pragmatics and Language Proficiency1
1.2. Pragmatics: Pragmalinguistics and Sociopragmatics3
1.3. Investigations of Pragmatics in the Literature6
1.4. Pragmatic Routines6
1.5. Formulaic Sequences7
1.6. Pragmalinguistics in the Scope of this Study10
1.8. Statement of Problem12
1.9. Research Questions12
1.10. Significance of the Research13
1.11. Delimitation of the Research13
1.12. Operational Definition of Terms14
1.13. Organization of Remainder of Thesis15
Chapter Two: Review of Literature and Related Studies16

2.0. Introduction	16
2.1. Pragmalinguistic Failure	16
2.1.1. Transfer of Speech Act Strategies	16
2.1.2. Transfer of Mother Tongue Semantically/Syntactically Equivalent	
Structures	18
2.1.2.1. Transfer of Semantically Equivalent Structures	19
2.1.2.2. Transfer of Syntactically Equivalent Structures	19
2.1.3. Transfer of Semantically/Syntactically Non-equivalent Structures	20
2.1.4. Syntactic/Semantic Formulas and Pragmatic Function	21
2.1.5. Levels of Pragmatic Principles	23
2.1.5.1. Pragmatic Failure of Lexical Devices	24
2.1.6. Pragmalinguistic transfer	25
2.1.7. Erroneous Pragmalinguistic Structures	26
2.1.8. Special Pragmatic Practices	27
2.1.8.1. Use of Tenses	27
2.1.8.2. Formulaic Expressions	28
2.1.8.3. Pragmatic Particles	28
2.1.9. Pragmalinguistics in Writing	29
2.1.9.1. Pragmalinguistics in Science Reporting	30
2.1.9.2. Pragmalinguistics in EFL Writing	32
2.2. Conclusion	34
Chapter Three: Methodology	35

3.0. Introduction35
3.1. The Research Design35
3.2. Participants35
3.3. Data39
3.3.1. Data Collection39
3.3.2. Data Assessment40
3.3.3. Procedures of Data Analysis43
3.4. Procedures46
3.5. Conclusion
Chapter Four: Data Analysis, Results and Discussion49
4.0. Introduction49
4.1. Results of Qualitative Analysis49
4.1.1. Results and Discussion of Pragmalinguistic Errors49
4.1.2. Results of Pragmalinguistic Errors in the Writings of ILS50
4.1.2.1. Erroneous Construction of Sentences in the Writings of ILS50
4.1.2.2. Arabic Construction of Sentences in the Writings of ILS55
4.1.2.3. Lexical Errors in the Writings of ILS60
4.1.2.3.1. Literal Translation Errors in the Writings of ILS60
4.1.2.3.2. Multiple-Meaning Word Errors in the Writings of ILS63
4.1.2.3.3. Near Synonym Errors in the Writings of ILS66
4.1.2.3.4. Lexical Collocation Errors in the Writings of ILS67

4.1.3. Results of Pragmalinguistic Errors in the Writings of ALS70
4.1.3.1. Erroneous Construction of Sentences in the Writings of ALS70
4.1.3.2. Arabic Construction of Sentences in the Writings of ALS71
4.1.3.3. Lexical Errors in the Writings of ALS75
4.1.3.3.1. Literal Translation Errors in the Writings of ALS75
4.1.3.3.2. Multiple-Meaning Word Errors in the Writings of ALS76
4.1.3.3.3. Near Synonym Errors in the Writings of ALS77
4.1.3.3.4. Lexical Collocation Errors in the Writings of ALS78
4.2. Quantitative Analysis of Pragmalinguistic Errors80
4.2.1. Causes of Pragmalinguistic Errors80
4.2.2. Pragmalinguistic Errors and Level of Proficiency87
4.3. Conclusions and Suggestions100
4.4. Conclusion103
Chapter Five: Conclusion106
5.1. Summary of the Study106
5.2. Practical Implications107
5.2.1. Pedagogical Implications107
5.2.2. Non-pedagogical Implications108
5.3. Limitations of the Study108
5.4. Suggestions for Further Research109
References

Appendices	.118
Appendix A: General Questions	.118
Appendix B: Sample Essays	.120
Appendix C: Native Speakers' ratings for ILS	.123
Appendix D: Native Speakers' ratings for ALS	.130

List of Tables

Table	Title	Page
2.1	Types of Pragmalinguistic Errors (Muir, 2011)	32
3.1	Demographic Characteristics	36
3.2	Types of Pragmalinguistic Errors Taxonomy	43
4.1.2.2	Arabic Construction of Sentences in the Writings of ILS	55
4.1.2.3.2	Multiple-Meaning Word Errors in the Writings of ILS	64
4.1.2.3.3	Near Synonym Errors in the Writings of ILS	66
4.1.2.3.4	Lexical Collocation Errors in the Writings of ILS	68
4.1.3.1	Erroneous Construction of Sentences in the Writings of ALS	70
4.1.3.2	Arabic Construction of Sentences in the Writings of ALS	72
4.1.3.3.1	Literal Translation Errors in the Writings of ALS	75
4.1.3.3.2	Multiple-Meaning Word Errors in the Writings of ALS	76
4.1.3.3.3	Near Synonym Errors in the Writings of ALS	77
4.1.3.3.4	Lexical Collocation Errors in the Writings of ALS	79
4.2.1.1	Pragmalinguistic Errors in the Writings of ILS	81
4.2.1.2	Pragmalinguistic Errors in the Writings of ALS	84
4.2.2.1	Pragmalinguistic Errors in the Writings of ILS vs. ALS	88
4.2.2.2	Lexical Errors in the Writings of ILS vs. ALS	91
4.2.2.3	L1 Transfer in the Writings of ILS vs. ALS	96

List of Figures

Page	Figure
Pragmatics (Leech, 1983)5	1.1.
of rating form via Google Forms42	3.1
inguistic Errors in the Writings of ILS81	4.2.1.1
ence-Pragmalinguistic Errors vs. Other Pragmalinguistic Errors in	4.2.1.2
ings of ILS83	
inguistic Errors in the Writings of ALS84	4.2.1.3
ence-Pragmalinguistic Errors vs. Other Pragmalinguistic Errors in	4.2.1.4
ings of ALS85	
inguistic Errors in the Writings of ILS vs. ALS88	4.2.2.1
Errors in the Writings of ILS vs. ALS91	4.2.2.2
Transfer in the Writings of ILS vs. ALS97	4.2.2.3
sfer Sub-Errors in the Writings of ILS vs. ALS97	4.2.2.4

List of Abbreviations

EFL	English as a Foreign Language
ILS	Intermediate-language Students
ALS	Advanced-language Students
IGCSE	International General Certificate of Secondary Education

Transcription List of Arabic Sounds

The following phonemic symbols were used in transcribing the Arabic words in this study based on the International Phonetic Alphabet (IPA):

Arabic letters	Phonemic transcription
۶	3
ب	b
ت	t
ث	θ
٤	dz
ζ	ħ
Ċ	x
٦	d
3	ð
J	r
j	z
س	s
ش	l
ص	s _t
ض	ď _c
ط	ř,
<u>ظ</u>	9°
٤	ç