List of Contents

Ti	Title P		
•	List of Abbreviations	. I	
•	List of Tables	. IV	
•	List of Figures	. VIII	
•	Introduction	. 1	
•	Aim of the Work	. 6	
•	Review of Literature		
	- Heart Failure	. 7	
	- Peripheral Skeletal Muscles and Heart Failure	. 27	
	- Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing (CPX) and Systolic Heart Failure	. 41	
	- Rehabilitation of Heart Failure Patients	. 56	
•	Patients and Methods	. 66	
•	Results	. 93	
•	Discussion	. 131	
•	Summary and Conclusion	. 156	
•	Recommendations	. 162	
•	References	. 163	
•	Appendices	. 211	
•	Arabic Summary		

List of Abbreviations

ACEAngiotensin Converting Enzyme **ACEIs**Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors **ADH**Anti-Diuretic Hormone **AF**.....Atrial fibrillation **ARBs**.....Angiotensin Receptor Blockers **ARNI**.....Angiotensin Receptor Neprilysin Inhibitor **AT**.....Aerobic training BB.....Beta blockers BCL2B-cell lymphoma 2 BMI.....Body mass index **BNP**B-type Natriuretic Peptide **CAD**Coronary Artery Disease CHFChronic Heart Failure CKcreatinine kinase **CPET**Cardiopulmonary exercise testing **CPX**Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test **CR**Cardiac Rehabilitation **CRT**.....Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy **DM**.....Diabetes Mellitus **ECG** Electrocardiogram **EF**.....Ejection Fraction **ES**.....Electric Stimulation **ESR**Erythrocyte sedimentation rate **EVR**Exercise Ventilatory Response **GFR**Glomerular Filtration Rate **HBP**High Blood Pressure

List of Abbreviations

HF	.Heart Failure		
HFmrEF	.Heart Failure with Midrange Ejection Fraction		
HFpEF	Heart Failure with Preserved Ejection Fraction		
HFrEF	Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction		
HRR	Heart Rate Recovery		
ICD	.Implantable cardiac defibrillators		
IGF-1	Insulin Growth Factor 1		
inos	Inducible Nitric Oxide Synthetase		
LDH	Lactate Dehydrogenase		
LV	Left Ventricle		
LVAD	Left Ventricular Assist Device		
LVH	Left Ventricular Hypertrophy		
METs	Metabolic equivalent of tasks		
MHC	Myosin Heavy Chain		
MLHFQ	Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire		
MuRF	.Muscle Ring Finger Protein		
NMES	Neuromuscular Electric Stimulation		
NPs	Neuropeptides		
	.New York Heart Association Functional Classification		
PET	.Positron Emission Tomography		
QOL	Quality of Life		
RAS	Renin-Angiotensin Aldosterone System		
RPE	Ratings of Perceived Exertion scale		
TOE	Transoesophageal Echocardiography		

List of Abbreviations

Table No.	Title	Page
Table (1):	Functional classification of heart failure according to NYHA and Goldman specific activity scale	l
Table (2):	Relation of exercise workload (Vo2 and metabolic equivalents [METs]) to stages of the different treadmil protocols and to stationary bicycle ergometry)
Table (3):	Stages of Naughton protocols and their relation to the functional class and O2 consumption	3
Table (4):	Basal characteristics of control group	
Table (5):	Basal characteristics of patients in Group 1	
Table (6):	Basal characteristics of patients in Group 2	
Table (7):	Comparison between control and rehabilitation patients as regard age. BMI and EF	,
Table (8):	Comparison between the control group and patients of group 1 and 2 as regard age	2
Table (9):	Comparison between the control group and patients of group 1 and 2 as regard BMI	2

Table No.	Title Page
Table (10):	Comparison between the control group and patients of group 1 and 2 as regard EF
Table (11):	Comparison between the control group and the rehabilitation groups regarding the frequency of HF etiology whether ischemic (I) or dilated (D)
Table (12):	Comparison between the control group and the rehabilitation groups regarding functional classification 105
Table (13):	Comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding the occurrence of hypertension
Table (14):	Comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding the occurrence of Diabetes Mellitus (DM)
Table (15):	Comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding peak VO2 (VO2p) pre and post rehabilitation

Table No.	Title Page
Table (16):	Comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding VO2-VT pre and post rehabilitation
Table (17):	Comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding VE/VCO2 slope pre and post rehabilitation
Table (18):	Comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding maximum workload (WL) achieved pre and post rehabilitation
Table (19):	Comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding HRR values pre and post rehabilitation
Table (20):	Comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding MLHFQ values pre and post rehabilitation
Table (21):	Correlation matrix between the EF and the CPX parameters and MLHFQ 124

Table No.	Title Page
Table (22):	Correlation matrix between the HRR and other CPX parameters and MLHFQ pre rehabilitation
Table (23):	Correlation matrix between the HRR and other CPX parameters and MLHFQ post rehabilitation
Table (24):	Correlation matrix between the MLHFQ and CPX parameters pre rehabilitation
Table (25):	Correlation matrix between the MLHFQ and CPX parameters post rehabilitation

Figure No.	Title Pag	е
Fig. (1):	Adiponectin and chronic heart failure-associated myopathy	
Fig. (2):	V-slope, ventilatory equivalents, and end-tidal methods for the detection of VT	
Fig. (3):	Viasys/Jaeger LE 200CE machine used in CPET	
Fig. (4):	Electrode placement of the limb and precordial leads in the ECG during CPX	
Fig. (5):	ECG monitoring during CPX73	
Fig. (6a,b):	A patient performing CPET on treadmill. Gas exchange curves during CPET	
Fig. (7):	Stages of CPX protocol74	
Fig. (8):	A patient performing diaphragmatic breathing exercises	
Fig. (9):	A patient performing chest mobilization exercise	
Fig. (10):	A patient performing lateral costal expansion exercise	
Fig. (11):	Quadstar®II, BioMedical Life Systems 4 channel stimulator 85	
Fig. (12):	Patient performing EMS for both quadriceps and gastrocnemius85	
Fig. (13):	Low frequency current used in EMS 86	
Fig. (14):	Warm up exercises 87	

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (15):	A patient performing aerobic exercise training on treadmill	
Fig. (16):	Cooling down stretching exercise	89
Fig. (17):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of group 1 and 2 as regards age	d 3
Fig. (18):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of group 1 and 2 regarding the BMI	l g
Fig. (19):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of group 1 and 2 regarding the EF	l g
Fig. (20):	Comparison between the control group and the rehabilitation groups regarding HF etiology whether ischemic (I) or dilated (D)	S C
Fig. (21):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and the rehabilitation groups regarding functional classification	8
Fig. (22):	A bar chart showing frequency of hypertension among the control group and the rehabilitation groups)
Fig. (23):	A bar chart showing frequency of diabetes among the control groups and patients of rehabilitation groups)

Figure No.	Title Page
Fig. (24):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding peak VO2 (VO2p) pre and post rehabilitation
Fig. (25):	A linear graph showing comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding peak VO2 (VO2p) pre and post rehabilitation
Fig. (26):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding VO2 –VT pre and post rehabilitation
Fig. (27):	A linear graph showing comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding VO2-VT pre and post rehabilitation
Fig. (28):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding VE/VCO2 slope pre and post rehabilitation
Fig. (29):	A linear graph showing comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding VE/VCO2 slope pre and post rehabilitation

Figure No.	Title Page
Fig. (30):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding maximum workload (WL) achieved pre and post rehabilitation 118
Fig. (31):	A linear graph showing comparison between the control group and patients of the rehabilitation groups regarding maximum workload (WL) achieved pre and post rehabilitation 119
Fig. (32):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding HRR values pre and post rehabilitation
Fig. (33):	A linear graph showing comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding HRR values pre and post rehabilitation
Fig. (34):	A bar chart showing comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding MLHFQ values pre and post rehabilitation
Fig. (35):	A linear graph showing comparison between the control group and patients of rehabilitation groups regarding MLHFQ values pre and post rehabilitation

Figure No.	Title	Page
Fig. (36):	A plot graph showing correlation between EF and Peak VO2	
Fig. (37):	A plot graph showing the correlation between EF and VE/VCO2 slope	
Fig. (38):	A plot graph showing correlation between EF and VO2-VT	
Fig. (39):	A plot graph showing correlation between HRR and VE/VCO2 prorehabilitation	e
Fig. (40):	A plot graph showing correlation between HRR and VE/VCO2 pos rehabilitation	t
Fig. (41):	A plot graph showing correlation between MLHFQ and VE/VCO2 prorehabilitation	e
Fig. (42):	A plot graph showing correlation between MLHFQ and VO2-VT pro- rehabilitation	e
Fig. (43):	A plot graph showing correlation between MLHFQ and VO2 p pos rehabilitation	t

Abstract

Heart failure is a common and costly condition. Reduced endurance is the main limiting factor of exercise capacity in HF patients. CPX is considered the most objective method to assess exercise capacity in HF patients. Aim: To study the degree of improvement among chronic stable left ventricular heart failure patients with low and average functional capacity after functional capacity based rehabilitation program. Methods: Forty patients with CHF were randomized to either a control (received their medical treatment with no specific rehabilitation program) or a rehabilitation group. Symptom-limited cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPX) was performed at baseline and at discharge from the program. Rehabilitation group was further divided according to their functional capacity measures obtained from CPX into group 1 and group 2. Minnesota Living with Heart Failure Questionnaire (MLHFQ) was obtained from all subjects. Group 1 received electric muscle stimulation of both lower limbs 5d/w for 5 weeks. Group2 received conventional а rehabilitation program 2 or 3 times/w for about 40 sessions. VO2p, VO2-VT, VE/VCO2, peak load, HRR and MLHFQ values were compared before and after the treatment period. Results: EMS produced significant improvement of functional capacity measures in addition to quality of life. It was comparable to the aerobic rehabilitation in group 2. Both rehabilitation protocols caused significant improvement when compared to the control group. Conclusion: Functional capacity and quality of life were improved after either EMS or aerobic rehabilitation protocol when applied to selected CHF patients when compared to control patients who didn't receive any rehabilitation program.

Keyword: CHF, electromymostimulation, aerobic training, VE/VCO2

INTRODUCTION

Heart failure is the pathophysiologic state in which the heart fails to pump blood at a rate commensurate with the requirements of the metabolizing tissues (Hunt et al., The prevalence of heart failure 2001a). increases dramatically with age, occurring in 1 to 2 percent of persons aged 45 to 54 years and up to 10 percent of individuals older than 75 years. The syndrome of chronic heart failure has become one of the most common cardiovascular disorders throughout the world, thus placing a heavy financial and social burden on public health funding (Hambrecht et al., 2000), (Pina et al., 2003) and (Colucci and Braunwald, 2005).

The clinical manifestations of heart failure depend on the rate at which the syndrome develops. If the abnormality develops gradually, number of adaptive mechanisms, including cardiovascular remodeling and neurohormonal activation occurs which allows the patient to tolerate and adjust to the abnormality (*Colucci and Braunwald*, 2005).

Chronic heart failure arose as a consequence of inadequate cardiac output and/or damming up of blood behind one or both ventricles (Moraes et al., 2000). Precipitating causes of heart failure may include arrhythmias, myocardial ischemia, systemic infections, pulmonary embolism, cardiac infection and inflammation, cardiac toxins and high output states (Givertz et al., 2005).