A study of Intratympanic Dexamethasone Injection in Meniere's disease

(Continuation study)

Thesis

Submitted for partial fulfillment of the Master Degree of Audiology

By

Sameh Mumdouh Abdel Raouf

(M.B., B.Ch)

Under Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Adel Abd El Maksoud Nassar

Head of Audiology Dept., ENT Dept. – Audiology Unit

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Ehab Fahim Chedid

Consultant of Audiology

Military Medical Academy

Dr. Rasha Hamdi El-Kabarity

Assistant professor of Audiology, ENT Dept. – Audiology unit

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine

Ain Shams University

2017

Acknowledgment

First and above all thanks to **ALLAH**, the most merciful and who is behind all success.

I would like to express my all sincere and deepest gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Adel Abd Elmaksoud Nassar**, Professor of Audiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his kind supervision, helpful advice and giving much of his precious time and constant support throughout the conduction of this work.

I wish to thank Dr., Rasha Hamdi El-Kabarity

Assistant Professor of Audiology, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for her generous assistance, kind cooperation and valuable advice throughout this work.

I am most grateful to **Dr., Ahmed Ehab Fahim Chedid**

Consultant of Audiology, Military Medical Academy, for his continuous efforts, great help, and advices to make this work at its best.

My deepest thanks for my professors and colleagues of Audiology unit, Ain Shams University & Military Medical Academy for their continuous support.

I would like to thank all patients who participated in this work and last but not the least I would like to thank my family for their sympathy and endless support.

Contents

List of Contents

Page

- **Introduction and Rationale 1**
- **Aim of the work 6**
- **Review of literature 7**
  - ❖ **Chapter 1: Meniere's disease:**
    - **Historical background 7**
    - **Epidemiology of Meniere's disease 9**
    - **Aetiology 12**
    - **Pathogenesis 16**
    - **Clinical picture 25**
    - **Diagnosis of Meniere's disease 35**
    - **Differential diagnosis 42**
    - **Prognosis of Meniere's disease 42**
  - ❖ **Chapter 2: Management of Meniere's disease:**
    - **Diet 43**
    - **Medications 44**
    - **Noninvasive procedures 46**
    - **Surgery 46**
    - **Intratympanic Gentamycin 47**
    - **Intratympanic Steroids 47**
- **Materials and methods 50**
- **Results 57**
- **Discussion 105**
- **Conclusion 120**
- **Recommendations 121**
- **Summary 122**
- **References 127**
- **Abbreviations 148**
- **Arabic summary ..... —**

List of Tables

No	*Title*	*Page*
1	Diagnostic criteria of Meniere's disease	**33**
2	The point system of the Gibson score	**34**
3	Stages of Meniere's disease	**36**
4	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD), range and T-test for the age in years in the study subgroups	**57**
5	Gender distribution in the study subgroups	**58**
6	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD), range and T-test for the duration of the disease (in months) in the study subgroups .	**60**
7	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards pure tone average (PTA) and word discrimination score (WDS) after FU1 compaired to results in the previous study	**61**
8	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards pure tone average (PTA) and word discrimination score (WDS) after FU2 compaired to results in the previous study	**61**
9	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards pure tone average (PTA) and word discrimination score (WDS) between FU1 and FU2	**62**
10	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test of pure tone average, Speech Reception Thresholds (SRT) in dBHL and Word Discrimination Scores (WDS %) for subgroup A after FU1 & FU2.	**65**

No	*Title*	*Page*
11	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test of pure tone average, Speech Reception Thresholds in dBHL and Word Discrimination scores (WDS %) for subgroup B after FU1 & FU2.	**67**
12	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the basic audiological test results for both study subgroups between the baseline and FU1	**68**
13	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the basic audiological test results for both study subgroups between the baseline and FU2	**69**
14	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the basic audiological test results for both study subgroups between FU1 and FU2	**70**
15	Audiometric configuration in the both study subgroups after FU1 & FU2.	**73**
16	Chi-Square test and P value of patients presented with spontaneous, post head shaking and positional nystagmus in subgroup A after FU1 and FU2 .	**74**
17	Chi-Square test and P value of patients presented with spontaneous, post head shaking and positional nystagmus in subgroup B after FU1 and FU2.	**75**
18	Chi-Square and P value between the difference in the VNG subtests results for both study	**75**

No	*Title*	*Page*
	subgroups between baseline and FU1.	
19	Chi-Square and P value between the difference in the VNG subtests results for both studysubgroups between baseline and FU2	**76**
20	Chi-Square and P value between the difference in the VNG subtests results for both study subgroups between FU1 and FU2 **.**	**76**
21	Mean, SD , and ANOVA test for the latency of P13 in both study subgroups after FU1 and FU2	**77**
22	Mean, SD , and ANOVA test for the latency of P13 in both study subgroups after FU1 and FU2	**78**
23	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the latency of P13 and N23 of both study subgroups between baseline and FU1	**79**
24	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the latency of P13 and N23 of both study subgroups between baseline and FU2	**79**
25	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the latency of P13 and N23 of both study subgroups between FU1 and FU2	**80**
26	Mean, SD, ANOVA test and P value for the amplitude of asymmetry ratio in both study subgroups	**80**

No	*Title*	*Page*
27	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the amplitude of asymmetry ratio of both study subgroups between baseline and FU1	**81**
28	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the amplitude of asymmetry ratio of both study subgroups between baseline and FU2	**82**
29	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards the Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale as regarding the basal data and (FU1).	**82**
30.A	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards the Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale in the baseline and first follow up.	**83**
30.B	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards the Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale after the first follow up of our study (FU1) compared to the previous study	**83**
31	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards the Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale as regarding (FU2) compared to the previous study	**84**
32	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and ANOVA-test for Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale of subgroup A	**85**
33	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and ANOVA-test for the Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale of subgroup B	**86**

No	*Title*	*Page*
34	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale of both study subgroups between the baseline and FU1 **.**	**87**
35	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale of both study subgroups between the baseline and FU2	**87**
36	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the Dizziness Handicap Inventory scale of both study subgroups between the FU1 and FU2	**88**
37	Number and percentage of patients who had improvement in subjective hearing loss, tinnitus, vertigo characteristics and aural fullness in both study subgroups after FU1 and FU2	**89**
38.A	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards improving in subjective hearing loss, tinnitus and vertigo characteristics regarding the previous study	**89**
38.B	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards improving in subjective hearing loss, tinnitus and vertigo characteristics regarding FU1 in comparison with the previous study	**90**
39	Prognosis of patients of both study subgroups as regards improving in subjective hearing loss, tinnitus and vertigo characteristics regarding FU2 in comaparison with the previous study	**91**

No	*Title*	*Page*
40	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and P value for subjective hearing loss, tinnitus severity and vertigo characteristics in subgroup A after FU1 and FU2	**91**
41	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T- test for tinnitus and vertigo characteristics in subgroup B**.**	**94**
42	Chi-Square and P value of patients in both study subgroups who had vertigo associated symptoms after FU1 and FU2 .	**96**
43	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the subjective hearing loss, tinnitus and vertigo characteristics of both study subgroups between the baseline and FU1 .	**96**
44	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the subjective hearing loss, tinnitus and vertigo characteristics of both study subgroups between the baseline and FU2 .	**98**
45	Mean (X), Standard deviation (SD) and T-test for the difference in the subjective hearing loss, tinnitus and vertigo characteristics of both study subgroups between FU1 and FU2	**99**
46	Nunber and Percentage of patients with aural fullness in both study subgroups after FU1 & FU2	**99**

No	*Title*	*Page*
47	Chi-Square test and P value for the difference in the percentage of the patients with aural fullness among both study subgroups between baseline and FU1.	**100**
48	Chi-Square test and P value for the difference in the percentage of the patients with aural fullness among both study subgroups between baseline and FU2	**101**
49	Chi-Square test and P value for the difference in the percentage of the patients with aural fullness among both study subgroups between FU1 and FU2	**102**
50	Chi-Square test and P value for the difference in the percentage of the stability of cases in all items of the numerical data.	**103**

List of Figures

No	*Title*	*Page*
1	(1a): Normal membranous labyrinth (2b): Dilated membranous labyrinth in Meniere's disease (Hydrops)	**19**
2	The link between apoptosis of spiral ganglion neurons and endolymphatic hydrops	**22**
3	Light microscopy photomicrograph of the superior crista and utricular macula from patients with Meniere's disease	**24**
4	Mean of age both subgroups	**58**
5	Mean of gender in both subgroups	**59**
6	Pure tone threshold results of the subgroup A after FU1&FU2	**63**
7	Mean of SRT (dBHL) and WDS (%) results of the subgroup A after FU1& FU2	**64**
8	Mean of pure tone threshold results of the subgroup B after FU1 & FU2 .	**66**
9	Mean of SRT (dBHL) and WDS (%) results of the subgroup B after FU1&FU2	**67**
10	Audiometric configuration in the subgroup A after FU1&FU2	**71**
11	Audiometric configuration in the subgroup B after FU1&FU2.	**71**
12	Percentage of patients with spontaneous, post head shaking and positional nystagmus in subgroup A after FU1 and FU2	**74**

No	*Title*	*Page*
13	Percentage of patients with spontaneous, post head shaking and positional nystagmus in subgroup B after FU1 and FU2	**75**
14	Mean of the latency of P 13 and N 23 in both study subgroups Mean, SD and P-value for the amplitude asymmetry ratio in both study subgroups.	**78**
15	Mean of the asymmetry ratio in both study subgroups after FU1& FU2	**81**
16	Mean of the Dizziness Handicap Inventory Scale in subgroup A after FU1 and FU2	**86**
17	Mean of the Dizziness Handicap Inventory Scale in subgroup B after FU1 & FU2	**88**
18	Mean of the subjective hearing loss ,tinnitus scores& the results of vertigo characteristics in subgroup A after FU1 and FU2 **.**	**93**
19	Mean of the subjective hearing loss ,tinnitus scores& the results of vertigo characteristics in subgroup B after FU1 and FU2	**94**
20	Percentage of patients with aural fullness in both study subgroups after FU1 and FU2.	**96**

Introduction

and

Rationale