Ain Shams University Faculty of Science Physics Department



# **Evaluation of Natural Radioactivity and Trace Elements in some Yemeni Rocks**

#### A Thesis for

## M.Sc. Degree in Physics

By

#### **Abdul Hameed Mohammed Othman Abdullah**

## **Supervisors:**

## \- Prof. Dr. Mohamed El-Morsy

Professor of Nuclear Physics

Faculty of Science – Ain Shams University

#### **Y-Prof. Dr. Abou Bakr Ramadan**

Head of Sitting and Environmental Department Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority

Cairo -

# Ain Shams University Faculty of Science Physics Department



Faculty of Science

## **Acknowledgment**

Firstly, Great thanks to Allah. Secondly, I wish to express my utmost gratitude, appreciation, and sincere thanks to every one who helped me to complete this work especially:

- Prof. Dr. M.El-Morsy who supported me from the beginning by solving the administration difficulties that I met. Also, he helped me a lot during the graduate courses. He was not just a supervisor, but also he was a father. He guided me through preparing, writing, and finalizing this work.
- Prof. Dr. Abou Bakr Ramadan who supervised me and give me a lot of help.
- Yemeni National Atomic Energy Commission, especially my boss
   Dr.Moustafa Baharan who supports me unlimitedly, also all my colleagues
   there.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) which supported me with this fellowship.
- Egyptian Atomic Energy Authority which hosted me and helped me finish the experimental work.
- Dr. Marina Forentesyev, Head of Neutron Activation Analysis Department,
   Dubna Nuclear Research Center, Moscow, Russia, Who carried out the
   neutron activation of the samples.
- My family members who were the full power to me to finish this work.
- All my friends in Yemen and in Egypt.

#### **Abstract**

In this study, '\$\forall \text{ rock samples were collected from different sites in Yemen (Ma'rib, Al Jawf, Sana'a, Dhamar, Amran, Ibb, Al Bayda and Al Dalea). The samples were prepared for two gamma-ray techniques (passive and active techniques), natural radioactivity and neutron activation analysis.

For natural radioactivity, samples were prepared to be measured by HPGe. Samples were crushed. A '·· cc of each sample was put in a plastic container and sealed well. Sealed containers were kept for at least one month for secular equilibrium. HPGe detector with efficiency of "o". and '. keV resolution was used. Each sample was counted over night ('t' hrs). Spectra were collected and analyzed using Genie'k software. Counting repeated three times for each sample and the average was taken. Activity concentrations were calculated for "t"Ra, "t"Th series, and "K isotope. Results show that samples '\" and '\! (Gneissose Granite-highly weathered) have the highest activity concentrations, whereas sample '(Albitite) has the lowest.

In general, results show that activity concentration of  ${}^{\Upsilon\Upsilon}$ Ra ( ${}^{\Upsilon\Upsilon}$ U) ranges from  ${}^{\Upsilon}$ ,  ${}^{\Upsilon}$  Bq/kg [sample (Albitite)] to  ${}^{\Lambda}$ ,  ${}^{\Upsilon}$  Bq/kg [sample (Gneissose Granite-highly weathered)] with an average of  ${}^{\Upsilon}$ ,  ${}^{\Upsilon}$  Bq/kg. Also, activity concentration of  ${}^{\Upsilon\Upsilon}$ Th ranges from  ${}^{\Upsilon}$ ,  ${}^{\Upsilon}$  Bq/kg [sample (Albitite)] to  ${}^{\Upsilon}$ ,  ${}^$ 

Classifying samples to main groups according to their geological origin, the average activity concentrations of  ${}^{117}$ Ra,  ${}^{117}$ Th, and  ${}^{11}$ K, were  ${}^{11}$ L,  ${}^{11}$ L,  ${}^{11}$ L, and  ${}^{11}$ L, were  ${}^{11}$ L,  ${}^{11}$ L,  ${}^{11}$ L, and  ${}^{11$ 

Consequently, the absorbed dose rate, radium equivalent activity, external hazard index and internal hazard index, are the highest for samples \\\^\circ\' and \\\^\\\^\\\^2\\ (Gneissose Granite-highly weathered) and the lowest for sample \(^\circ\' (Albitite)\).

Estimated absorbed dose rate ranges from ', '\(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) nGy/hr [sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) (Albitite)] to \(\frac{\psi-\psi-\psi}{\psi}\) nGy/hr [sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) (Gneissose Granite-highly weathered)] with an average of \(\frac{\psi-\psi-\psi}{\psi}\) nGy/hr. Radium equivalent activity ranges from \(\frac{\psi-\psi}{\psi}\) Bq/kg [sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) (Gneissose Granite-highly weathered)] with an average of \(\frac{\psi-\psi-\psi}{\psi}\) [sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) (Gneissose Granite-highly weathered)] with an average of \(\frac{\psi-\psi}{\psi}\) [sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) (Sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\)) (Sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) (Sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\)) (Sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\) (Sample \(\frac{\psi}{\psi}\)) (Sample

For neutron activation analyses which was carried out in Dubna Nuclear Research Reactor, Moscow, Russia, samples of about ·, γ g were packed in sealed polyethylene packs for short-lived elements which were irradiated for γ min and cooled for γ-γ min. Spectra of induced γ-activity then measured for ο and γ min, respectively. Long-lived radionuclides were determined using activation with the epithermal neutrons. Primarily packed in aluminum cups, rock samples were repacked after irradiation for ε days, and then were measured for the first time for ε min since ε days of cooling and for the second time for γ minutes since γ days of cooling.

The elements Sc, Cr, Fe, Co, Ni, Zn, As, Se, Br, Rb, Ag, Sb, Cs, Ba, La, Ce, Sm, Tb, Yb, Hf, Ta, W, Au, Th and U, were determined. Samples were irradiated for <sup>£</sup> d. After <sup>£</sup>-o days of decay the samples were repacked and then measured twice for medium and long-lived isotopes twice respectively.

Measuring time varied from ' to ' hrs. To determine the short-lived isotopes of Mg, Al, Cl, V, Mn, Cu, Al, In, and I. Samples were irradiated for " s and measured twice after " o min and ' min of decay for o A and ' min, respectively. To determine Cu, K, Na the same samples were re-irradiated for additional " min, and after ' o hrs of decay measured for " min.

Gamma spectra were measured using Ge-(Li) detectors with a resolution of  $^{7,\circ}$  keV for the  $^{7,\circ}$  keV line, with an efficiency of about  $^{7,\prime}$  relative to a  $^{7}$ x $^{7}$ " NaI detector for the same line. Data processing and element concentration determinations were performed. For long-term irradiation, single comparators of Au ( $^{1}$   $^{1}$ 

Results show that the highest elemental concentrations are Zr ( $\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon$ ) ppm), then Mn ( $\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon$ ) ppm) in trace elements group. For marine origin elements group Mg ( $\xi \cdots ppm$ ) is the highest, then Na ( $\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon$ ) ppm). For oil origin elements group V ( $\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon$ ) ppm) is the highest, then Ni ( $\Lambda^{\circ}$  ppm). And, for major elements group, Ca ( $\Upsilon\Upsilon\Upsilon$ ) ppm) is the highest, then Al ( $\Upsilon\Upsilon$ ), ppm), and Fe ( $\Upsilon\Upsilon$ ) ppm).

# Contents

Acknowledgment	1
Abstract	ii
Table of contents	V
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	y
Chapter I: Introduction and Literature review	
\- Introduction	١
\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	۲
1,1,1 Cosmic rays:	٣
1,1,7 Cosmogenic radionuclides	٣
۱٫۱٫۳ Primordial radionuclides	٤
۱,۱,۳-a Series Radionuclides	٤
۱,۱,۳-b Non-Series Radionuclides	٥
۱٫۲ Man made Radiation Sources	٥
۱٫۳ External hazards of radiation	٧
',", Concept of detriment	٧
۱,۳,۲ Deterministic effects	٨
۱٫۳٫۳ Stochastic effects in the exposed individuals	١.
۱٫۳٫٤ Dose limits in occupational exposure	17
۱,۳,0 Dose limits in public exposure	١٤
1.5 Gamma spectroscopy	١٦
۱٫٤٫۱ Passive method	١٦
1, £, Y Active method	١٧
۱٫٤٫۳ Theoretical bases of neutron activation analysis	١٨
1.5.5 Qualitative Analysis	71
1.5.0 Quantitative analysis	77
۱٫٤٫۰٫۱ Absolute Method	77
۱٫٤٫۰٫۲ Comparator method	70
۱٫٤٫۰,۳ Single comparator method	77
1.º Radioactivity levels in soil and rock samples	77
1,7 Trace elements in the environmental samples	**

1. V Literature review	٣٢
Aim of the work	٥٧
Chapter II: Materials and methods	
Y, Composite and individual samples	٥٨
۲,۲ Common Sampling Design	٥٨
۲.۲,۱ Judgmental sampling Design	٥٨
۲,۲,۲ Random Sampling Design	٥٨
۲,۲,۳ Systematic Design	٥٩
Y,Y,£ Cluster Design	٥٩
۲, ۳ General Aspects of Sampling and Sample Preparation	٥٩
۲. ٤ Sample Collection and Storage	٦.
Y.o Samples Preparation and Measurements	٦١
۲.7 Identification of Samples under Study:	٦٢
Y. V General Properties of Radiation Detector	٦٤
۲. A Gamma ray Spectroscopy	٦٦
۲.۹ Gamma Ray Spectrometer Set Up	٦٧
۲,۱ · Background Reduction of the Used Gamma Ray Spectrometer	
7.4	
Y, YY Energy and Efficiency Calibration of Gamma Spectrometers	٦٨
Y. Y Energy Calibration and Peak Identification	٧.
۲,۱۳ Efficiency Calibration of HPGe Detector	٧١
Y, 15 Normalization Factors	٧٥
Y,10 Quality control of measurements	<b>YY</b>
Y, Neutron Activation Analysis	<b>YY</b>
Chapter III: Results and Discussion	
T, Calculation of elemental concentrations	۸.
۳,۲ Results of Gamma Analysis	٨١
۳.۳ Dose assessment	94
۳.۳.\ Sources of radiation exposure	98
۳.۳.۱, Natural sources of exposure	9 £
۳.۳.۱٫۱-a Exposure from terrestrial radiation	9 £
۳.۳.۱٫۱-b Exposure from cosmic rays	9 £
T.T. 1. Man-made sources of exposure	90

T.T.Y Units of the radiation dose	90
T.T.T National and International level of dose rate	97
۳.۳.٤ Absorbed dose rate	97
T.T.o Derivation of the gamma dose rate in air outdoors	9 ٧
۳.۳.٦ Radium Equivalent activity (Ra <sub>Eq</sub> )	99
T.T.V External Hazard index (H <sub>ex</sub> )	١
۳.۳.۸ Internal Hazard index (H <sub>in</sub> )	1.1
۳.٤ Neutron Activation Analysis results	۱۱٤
۳٫٤.۱ Evaluation of Enrichment Factor	119
۳.٤,۲ Marine origin elements	١٢٨
۳.٤,۳ Oil origin elements	179
T.o Comparison of U and Th concentrations determined by NAA and GS	۱۳.
Conclusion	١٣٢
References	١٣٦

#### List of Tables

Table ('-')	Recommended dose limits	10
Table (\'-\')	The relative elemental abundance in magnetic rocks.	۲۸
Table (۱-۳)	Uranium values in the environmental materials	٣١
Table (۲-۱)	Samples and Sites descriptions	۲۲
Table (۲-۲)	Relative Intensities of Gamma-ray from Ra-۲۲7 radionucl	lide
	with its Short-Lived Daughters.	٦٩
Table (۲-۳)	Calculation of Normalization factors of desired e	nergy
	lines.	٧٥
Table (₹-٤)	Characteristics of the irradiation channels	٧٨
Table (٣-١)	Activity concentration of some Yemeni rocks samples	٩.
Table (٣-٢)	Average activity concentration in each group of rocks type in	
Table (٣-٣)	Yemeni rock samples.  Average activity dose and effective dose rates assessment	
	the Th and Th useries and K	١٠٦
Table ( <sup>۳</sup> −٤)	Conversion factors for different radionuclides	١٠٦
Table (٣-٥)	Radium Equivalent Activity and External Hazard, In	iterna
	Hazard, and absorbed dose rate of Yemeni rock samples.	١.٧
Table (٣-٦)	Average $Ra_{Eq}$ , External and Internal Hazards, and Absorb	ed
	Dose rate from Some Yemeni Rock Samples.	١١.
Table ( <sup>۳</sup> - <sup>∨</sup> )	Extracted, absorbed dose rate in air, and effective dose rat	e in
	Yemeni rocks	117
Table ( <sup>۳</sup> - <sup>∧</sup> )	Trace Elements in Some Yemeni Rocks	١٢.
Table (٣-٨)	Trace Elements in Some Yemeni Rocks (continued)	171
Table (٣-٨)	Trace Elements in Some Yemeni Rocks (continued)	177
Table (٣-٨)	Trace Elements in Some Yemeni Rocks (continued)	177
Table (Υ-Δ)	Trace Flements in Some Vemeni Rocks (continued)	۱۲٤

Table (۳-۹)	Marine Origin Elements in Some Yemeni Rocks	١٢٥
Table (۳-۱۰)	Oil Origin Elements in Some Yemeni Rocks	١٢٦
Table (٣-١١)	Major Elements in Some Yemeni Rocks	١٢٧
Table (٣-١٢)	Comparison of U and Th concentrations in Yemeni rocks	
	determined by NAA and GS	۱۳.

# List of Figures

Figure (۲-۱)	Map shows the samples sites in Yemen	77
Figure (۲-۲)	Definition of detector resolution in terms of FWHM	٦٥
Figure (۲-۳)	The configuration of the gamma ray spectrometer	٦١
Figure (۲-٤)	Detector background reduction shield	٦٨
Figure (۲-0)	Relative efficiency of TTTRa and its daughters gamma ene	ergy
	lines.	<b>Y Y</b>
Figue (۲-٦)	The scheme of the REGATA (Dubna Nuclear Research Reactor, Moscow, Russia) experimental setup	<b>\</b> 9
Figure (۳-۱)	Sample of gamma spectra	٨٩
Figure (۳-۲)	Activity concentrations of K- 5 · (Bq/kg) in some Yemer	ni
	rocks samples.	٩.
Figure (۳-۳)	Activity concentrations of Ra-۲۲٦ (Bq/kg) in some Yem	eni
	rocks samples.	٩١
Figure (۳-٤)	Activity concentrations of Ra-۲۲7 (Bq/kg) in some Yem	eni
	rocks samples	91
Figure (۳-0)	Activity concentrations on Th-YTY (Bq/kg) in some Yemo	eni
	rocks samples.	91
Figure (۳-٦)	Activity concentrations of Th-۲۳۲ (Bq/kg) in some Yem	eni
	rocks samples	91
Figure (۳-۷)	Average activity concentration in Igneous rocks (Yemen	i
	Samples)	91
Figure (۳-۸)	Average activity concentration in Yemeni rocks	91
Figure (۳-۹)	Average activity concentration of K-5. in Yemeni rocks	9 1

Figure $(^{\psi}-^{\vee})$	Radiation sources of exposure	98
Figure (٣-١١)	Radium Equivalent Activity (Bq/kg) from some Yemeni rock	
	samples	١٠٨
Figure (٣-١٢)	Radium Equivalent Activity (Bq/kg) from some Yemeni re	ock
	samples	١٠٨
Figure (٣-١٣)	External Hazard (Hex) from some Yemeni rock samples	١٠٨
Figure (٣-١٤)	External Hazard (Hex) from some Yemeni rock samples	١٠٨
Figure (۳-۱°)	Internal Hazard $(H_{in})$ from some Yemeni rock samples	١٠٩
Figure (٣-١٦)	Internal Hazard $(H_{in})$ from some Yemeni rock samples	١٠٩
Figure (۳-۱۷)	Absorbed Dose rate (nGy/hr) from Yemeni rock samples	١٠٩
Figure (٣-١٨)	Absorbed Dose rate (nGy/hr) from Yemeni rock samples	١٠٩
Figure (۳-۱۹)	Average Radium Equivalent Activity (Bq/kg) in Igneous r	ocks
	(Yemeni rock samples)	١١.
Figure ( $^{r-r}$ )	Average Radium Equivalent Activity (Bq/kg) in some Yer	neni
	rock samples	١١.
Figure (۳-۲۱)	Average internal and External Hazards in Igneous rocks	
	(Yemeni Rock Samples)	111
Figure (٣-٢٢)	Average internal and External Hazards from Yemeni rock	
	samples	111
Figure (٣-٢٣)	Average absorbed Dose rate (nGy/h) from Igneous rocks	
	(Yemeni rocks)	111
Figure (٣-٢٤)	Absorbed Dose rate (nGy/h) from Yemeni rock samples	111
Figure (۳-۲0)	Contribution to total Dose rate of volcanic origin rocks	117
Figure (٣-٢٦)	Contribution to total Dose rate of Sedimentary origin rock	s 115
Figure (٣-٢٧)	Contribution to total Dose rate of igneous origin rocks	115

Figure (۳-۲۸ a)	Gamma-ray spectrum of a neutron activated sample of Yenrocks	neni ۱۱۵
Figure (۳-۲۸ b)	Gamma-ray spectrum of a neutron activated sample of Yenrocks	neni ۱۱۰
Figure (۳-۲۹)	Trace elements in some Yemeni rocks	١٢.
Figure (۳-۳۰)	Trace elements in some Yemeni rocks (continued)	۱۲۱
Figure (۳-۳۱)	Trace elements in some Yemeni rocks (continued)	177
Figure (۳-۳۲)	Trace elements in some Yemeni rocks (continued)	١٢٢
Figure (۳-۳۳)	Trace elements in some Yemeni rocks (continued)	۱۲٤
Figure (٣-٣٤)	Marine origin elements in some Yemeni rocks	١٢٥
Figure (۳-۳°)	Marine origin elements in some Yemeni rocks (continued)	١٢٥
Figure (۳-۳٦)	Oil origin elements in some Yemeni rocks	١٢٦
Figure (۳-۳۷)	Some major elements in some Yemeni rocks	١٢٧
Figure (٣-٣٨)	relative distribution of U. Th. and K	۱۳۲

# - Introduction

The main purpose of environmental sampling and analysis is to obtain data which describe a particular site at a specific point at time from which an evaluation can be made as basis of possible action. In this direction, the collection of valid samples is the vital first step [ ]. To get precise information, the samples should be representative and accurately selected and analyzed. In order to obtain meaningful data, sampling must be carried out with clear purpose and with understanding of the problem and the physical condition that exist. The concentration and distribution of a radionuclide in the environment are changeable with time and location. In sampling program, especially two items should be carefully considered. These two items are the location of sampling sites and the number of samples. They depend on the spatial homogeneity of the system under study. The sample sizes are depending on the analytical technique, the transportation system and the need for replicating measurements. The frequency and duration of sampling depend on the temporal homogeneity of the system [ ].

The steps in designing and conducting a sampling program can be summarized as follows:

- . Defining the objective problem,
- . Determination of the sample designs,
- . Selection of the collection method,
- . Samples collection and samples analysis,
- . Selection of the parameters to be determined,
- . Selection of the measurements technique, and
- . Data analysis and interpretation.

Environmental radiation monitoring measurements may need to satisfy at least one of the following objectives:-

- ) To assess pollution effects on man and his environment.
- ) To obtain a historical record of environmental quality and provide a data base for future use in, for example, epidemiological studies.
- ) To study and evaluate pollutant interactions and patterns.
- ) To follow long-term trends.
- ) To provide public assurance.
- ) To demonstrate compliance with statutory limits and practice
- ) To establish and active the emergency procedure.

#### **Natural radioactivity and Natural Radiation sources**

All materials contain at least some traces of natural radioactivity. The relative abundance of the natural radioisotopes in the terrestrial reservoirs is directly derived, though modified during geological time, from the isotopic composition at the time of formation of solar system.

Natural radiation sources are classified into three components [ , ]:

- Cosmic rays,
- Cosmogenic radionuclides,
- Primordial radionuclides.