# Treatment of Lateral Epicondylitis withPlatelet-RichPlasma versus Glucocorticoid

#### **Thesis**

Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree in Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation

#### By

#### **Amal Sayed Mohamed Abdelazeem**

M.B.B.CH. Ain Shams University

#### **Under Supervision of**

### Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El Tayeb Nasser

Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

#### Prof. Dr. Ahmed Zaki El Yasaki

Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

#### Dr. Reem Mohamed Ezz El Din El Mallah

Assistant professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine Ain Shams University 2017

# Acknowledgments

First and foremost thanks to **Allah**, who; gave me the ability to complete this work.

I would first like to express my unlimited gratitude and thankfulness to **Prof. Dr. Mahmoud El Tayeb**Nasser, Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his acceptance to supervise my work and for his continuous support, It is a great honor to work under his guidance and supervision.

Also I would like to express my sincere and deep gratitude: to **Prof. Dr. Ahmed Zaki El Yasaki**Professor of Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for his help, cooperation and valuable suggestions. His valuable advices and encouragement, without his encourage and help I would not have been able to finish this work.

I would like also to thank with all appreciation **Dr. Reem**Mohamed Ezz El Din El Mallah, Assistant professorof
Physical Medicine, Rheumatology and Rehabilitation,
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, for the efforts
and time she has devoted to accomplish this work.

Candidate

Amal Sayed Mohamed Abdelazeem

## **Contents**

Subject	Page No.
List of Abbreviation	ii
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	vii
Introduction	1
Aim of the work	4
<b>Review of Literature</b>	
Anatomy of Elbow Joint	5
Pathology of Lateral Epicondylitis	18
Management of Lateral Epicondylitis	•••••
Platelet-Rich Plasma (PRP)	55
Patients and Methods	66
Results	77
Discussion	104
Summary and Recommendations	111
References	114
Arabic Summary	1

#### **List of Abbreviations**

#### Abbrev. Full term

**ABI** : Autologus Blood Injection

**ACL**: Anterior Cruciate Ligament

**ANA**: Antinuclear Antibody

**CBC**: Complete Blood Count

**CEO:** Common Extensor Origin

**CGRP** : Calcitonine Gene Related Peptide

**CS** : Corticosteroids

**DC**: Direct Current

**ECRB** : Extensor Carpi Radialis Brevis

**EGF** : Epidermal Growth Factors

**ESR** : Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate

**ESWT** : Extracorporeal Shock Wave Therapy

**FGF**: Fibroblast Growth Factor

**HA** : Hyaluronic Acid

**HGF**: Hepatocyte Growth Factor

**IGF** : Insulin-like Growth Factor

IL 8 : Interleukin 8

**KGF**: Keratinocyte Growth Factor

**LLLT** : Low Level LASER Therapy

**LE** :Lateral Epicondylitis

MRI : Magnetic Resonance Image

#### **List of Abbreviations (Cont.)**

#### Abbrev. Full term

**MWM** : Mulligan's mobilization With Movement

**NSAIDS**: Non-Steroidal Anti- Inflammatory Drugs

**PDGF**: Platelet Derived Growth Factor

**PGE2:** Prostaglandin E2

**PLRP**: Platelet Leukocyte Rich Plasma

**PRGF**: Plasma Rich in Growth Factors

**PRP** : Platelet Rich Plasma

**PRTEE**: Patient Rated Tennis Elbow Evaluation

**RF** : Rheumatoid Factor

**SP** : Substance P

**TE**: Tennis elbow

**TGFB** :Transforming Growth Factor Beta

**TH** : Tyrosine Hydroxylase

**US** : Ultrasound

**VAS** : Visual Analogue Scale

**VEGF**: Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor

# **List of Tables**

Table No	o. Title Page No	
<b>Table</b> (1):	Growth factors contained in platelet rich plasma and their function	6
<b>Table (2):</b>	Comparison between group I and group II regarding age, sex and duration of illness	7
<b>Table (3):</b>	Comparison between group I and group III regarding age, sex and duration of illness	7
<b>Table (4):</b>	Comparison between group II and group III regarding age, sex and duration of illness	7
<b>Table (5):</b>	VAS and PRTEE score in group I7	8
<b>Table (6):</b>	Tendreness grading in group I before and after injection	8
<b>Table (7):</b>	US grades of group I before and after injection of Saline	9
<b>Table (8):</b>	VAS and PRTEE scores of group II7	9
<b>Table (9):</b>	Tenderness grading of group II before and after injection of PRP	1
<b>Table (10):</b>	US grades of group II before and after injection of PRP	1
<b>Table (11):</b>	VAS and PRTEE score in group III 8	1
<b>Table (12):</b>	tenderness grade of group III before and after injection of CS	2
<b>Table (13):</b>	US grading of group III before and after injection of CS	3
<b>Table (14):</b>	VAS and PRTEE scores before and after injection of PRP in group II	4

# **List of Tables (cont.)**

<b>Table</b> (15):	Tenderness grading before and after injection of PRP
<b>Table</b> (16):	US grading before and after injection of PRP in group II
<b>Table</b> (17)	:VAS and PRTEE scores before and after injection of CS in group III
<b>Table (18):</b>	Tenderness grading before and after injection of CS in group III
<b>Table (19):</b>	US grading before and injection of CS in group II
<b>Table (20):</b>	Comparison between group I (Saline) and group II (PRP) regarding VAS and PRTEE scores 91
<b>Table (21):</b>	Comparison between group I (Saline) and group II (PRP) regarding tenderness grading
<b>Table (22):</b>	Comparison between group I (saline) and group II (PRP) regarding US grading
<b>Table (23):</b>	Comparison between group I (saline) and group III (CS) regaring VAS and PRTEE scores94
<b>Table (24):</b>	Comparison between group I (saline) and group III (CS) regarding tenderness grading
<b>Table (25):</b>	Comparison between group I (saline) and group III (CS) regarding US grading96
<b>Table (26):</b>	Comparison between group II (PRP) and group III (CS) regarding VAS and PRTEE scores
	DOULOU

# **List of Tables (cont.)**

Table N	o. Title Page	No.
<b>Table (27):</b>	Comparison between group II (PRP) and group III (CS) regarding tenderness grade	99
<b>Table (28):</b>	Comparison between group II (PRP) and group III	100

# **List of Figures**

Figure No	. Title	Page	No.
Figure (1):	Elbow joint (anterior and posterior vie	w)	5
Figure (2):	Fibrous membrane of joint capsuligaments of elbow joint (anterior posterior view)	or and	8
Figure (3):	Synovial membrane of elbow joint (and posterior view)		
Figure (4):	Flexors of elbow		12
Figure(5):	Extensors of forearm	•••••	13
Figure(6):	Common extensor tendon	•••••	14
Figure(7):	Photomicrograph of specimen of tendon.		
Figure(8):	Photomicrograph of specimen of pattendon with angiofibroblastic hyperpla	_	23
Figure(9):	Electron microscope image of tendon.		25
<b>Figure (10):</b>	Electron microscope image of myofil from an area with tendinosis		25
Figure (11):	Chair and Thomson tests	•••••	29
<b>Figure(12):</b> I	Longtudinal scan of normal tendon struc	cture	31
<b>Figure</b> (13):	Orthotic device stabilizing the war patients with lateral epicondylitis		35
Figure(14):	Epicondylitic counterface band for with lateral epicondylitis		35
Figure(15):	Streching exercises for lateral epicond	ylitis	38

# List of Figures (cont.)

Figure No.

Figure(16):	Concentric contraction of wrist extensor muscles	. 40
Figure(17):	Eccentric contractions of wrist extensor muscles	. 41
Figure(18):	Mill's Manipulation Technique	.42
Figure(19):	Lateral glide mobilization with movement	. 44
Figure(20):	PRP processing unit (centrifuge)	. 58
Figure (21):	High quality ultrasound scanner	. 69
<b>Figure</b> (22):	Examination of the common extensor origin by US	. 70
<b>Figure</b> (23):	Longtudinal scan of pathologic common extensortendon(grade 2)	. 71
<b>Figure</b> (24):	Longtudinal scan of pathologic common extensortendon (grade 4)	. 72
<b>Figure (25):</b>	Position of the patient during injection	. 73
<b>Figure (26):</b>	Comparison between before and after injection of PRP regarding tenderness grading	
<b>Figure (27</b> ):	Comparison between before and after injection of PRP regarding US grade	. 86
Figure(28a):	Longtudinal scan of pathologic common extensor tendon (grade 3) before PRP injection	. 86
Figure(28b):	3 months post PRP injection of the same patient	. 87

Title

Page No.

# **List of Figures (cont.)**

Figure No.

Figure (29):	Comparison between before and after injection of corticosteroids regarding tenderness grade
<b>Figure (30):</b>	Comparison between before and after injection of corticosteroid regarding US grade 89
Figure(31a):	Longtudinal scan of pathologic common extensor tendon (grade 2) before corticosteroid injection
Figure (31b):	3 months post corticosteroid injection in the same patient
<b>Figure (32)</b> :	Comparison between the three groups before and after injection regarding VAS
Figure (33):	Comparison between the three groups before and after injection regarding PRTEE
<b>Figure (34):</b>	Comparison between the three groups regarding tenderness grade reduction
<b>Figure (35):</b>	Comparison between PRP and corticosteroid post injection in the same patient 101
Figure(36):	Comparison between the three groups regarding US grade reduction

Title

Page No.

#### Introduction

ateral epicondylitis of the elbow is a disease that mainly affects individuals who make repetitive movements with their wrists and/or fingers (*Mishra and Pavelko, 2006*). Also the term "lateral epicondylitis of the elbow" may be inappropriate, given that this pathological condition does not involve a truly inflammatory process, but rather, a degenerative process (*Fredberg and Pedersen, 2008*).

The name "tennis elbow" does not correspond to the reality. Although around 40–50% of tennis players present this disease, especially those who have been practicing this sport for long times, this group accounts for only 5% of the total number of individuals affected (*Palacio et al.*, 2016).

This injury predominantly involves the origin of the short radial extensor muscle of the carpus (Extensor carpi radialis brevis), in which microtears develop as a result of excessive and abnormal use, with formation of immature repair tissue (*Palacio et al.*,2016).

The symptoms of lateral epicondylitis of the elbow are generally self-limited and may vary in duration from a few weeks to months. However, in some cases, there is no spontaneous resolution of the symptoms, and this invariably leads to a chronic condition (*Thanasas et al.*, 2011).

Lateral epicondylitis of the elbow is associated with long periods off work, which gives rise to high social security costs and substantial loss of professional productivity (*Buchbinder and Richards*, 2010).

Ultrasound (US) examination has been shown to be an easy accessible, cost-effective, and risk-free method to examine tendons. The most common finding in a patient with lateral epicondylitis is hypoechoic regions and diffuse heterogeneity, calcifications and bone spurs can be seen. (*Paavola et al.*, 1998).

The treatment options range from relative rest in association with immobilization, physiotherapy, application of botulinum toxin, acupuncture, shockwave therapy, use of oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, steroid injections and, most recently, use of platelet-rich plasma. Surgical procedures are only recommended when the symptoms last for more than six months and/or if other non-surgical treatment options have failed (*Strujis et al.*, 2006).

Local steroid injection has been proven to provide consistent and predictable short term pain relief (*Tonks et al.*, 2007).

Platelet rich plasma (PRP) is a concentrate of platelets derived from the patient's own blood. Platelets in PRP contain growth factors and build up reparative processes. The action of PRP therapy in chronic tendinopathies is varied and

hypothesized to include angiogenesis, increase in growth factor expression and cell proliferation, increase the recruitment of repair cells and tensile strength (*Raeissadat et al.*, 2014).

Lateral epicondylitis may be characterized by complex changes in the tendon in addition to an inflammatory process. Therefore, PRP owing to its high content of various growth factors may be more efficacious as a healing agent. However, studies on lateral epicondylitis with PRP treatment have yielded inconclusive results (*Raeissadat et al.*, 2014).