EFFECT OF ORGANIC FERTILIZATION ON GROWTH AND QUALITY OF SUPERIOR GRAPEVINE

By

ABD EL-GAWAD SOBHY SHAABAN AHMED

B.Sc. (Agric. Sci.), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt, 1994 M.Sc. (Pomology), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt, 2007

THESIS

Submitted In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

In

Agricultural Sciences (Pomology)

Department of Pomology Faculty of Agriculture Cairo University Egypt

2014

APPROVAL SHEET

EFFECT OF ORGANIC FERTILIZATION ON GROWTH AND QUALITY OF SUPERIOR GRAPEVINE

Ph.D. Thesis In Agric. Sci. (Pomology)

By

ABD EL-GAWAD SOBHY SHAABAN AHMED

B.Sc. (Agric. Sci.), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt, 1994 M.Sc. (Pomology), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt, 2007

APPROVAL COMMITTEE

Dr. FAISSAL FADEL AHMED Professor of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Minia University
Dr. MOHAMED AHMED FAYEK
Professor of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University
Dr. SAHAR MOHAMED ABD EL-WAHAB Assistant Professor of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University
Dr. MOHAMED ABD EL-GAWAD SHAHEEN Professor of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Date: / / 2014

SUPERVISION SHEET

EFFECT OF ORGANIC FERTILIZATION ON GROWTH AND QUALITY OF SUPERIOR GRAPEVINE

Ph.D. Thesis
In
Agric. Sci. (Pomology)

By

ABD EL-GAWAD SOBHY SHAABAN AHMED

B.Sc. (Agric. Sci.), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt, 1994 M.Sc. (Pomology), Fac. Agric., Al-Azhar Univ., Egypt, 2007

SUPERVISION COMMITTEE

Dr. MOHAMED ABD EL-GAWAD SHAHEEN Professor of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

Dr. SAHAR MOHAMED ABD EL-WAHAB Assistant Professor of Pomology, Fac. Agric., Cairo University

> Dr. FARAG MOHAMED EL-MORSY Professor of Viticulture, Hort. Res. Institute, Agricultural Research Center

Name of Candidate: Abd El-Gawad Sobhy Shaaban Ahmed Degree: Ph.D. Title of Thesis: Effect of organic fertilization on growth and quality of Superior

grapevine

Supervisors: Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Gawad Shaheen

Dr. Sahar Mohamed Abd El-Wahab Dr. Farag Mohamed El-Morsy

Department: Pomology. **Branch:** Pomology.

Approval: / /2014

ABSTRACT

This study was carried out during the three consecutive seasons of 2009, 2010 and 2011 in sandy loam soil at 64 kilometer from Cairo on the desert road to Alexandria on ten years-old 'Superior Seedless' grapevines, to study the possibility of using the organic and bio fertilization partially instead of complete mineral fertilizers as applied at 25 to 100 % out of the recommended NPK rate .Organic fertilizers (compost plant residues) with two natural rocks, viz., rock phosphate and feldspar were applied with or without biofertilizers (biogen, phosphorin and potassiumag) at 30 g/vine. The results indicated that application of 50% (compost, rock phosphate and feldspar) + 50% of the NPK mineral recommended fertilizers N (157 g/vine), P₂O₅ (87 g/vine) and K₂O (112 g/ vine) + bio-fertilizer was the best management system for ensuring the best vegetative growth parameters as shoots length, number of leaves/shoot and leaf area and leaf mineral content. It also achieved the best yield with its components as number of clusters per vine and weight each individual cluster, improved physical characteristics of berries as total soluble solids, total acidity, TSS/acid ratio and total sugars, and reduced nitrate and nitrite contents of berries of 'Superior Seedless' grapevines. Therefore, these organic and natural rocks fertilizers in combination with NPK bio-fertilizers can reduce the need for about 50% of NPK mineral fertilizers. In addition, minimized the production cost and the environmental pollution which could be occurred by excess of chemical fertilizers.

Key words: Grapevines, Superior Seedless, mineral fertilizer, organic, compost, biofertilizer, natural rocks, yield and leaf mineral content.

DEDICATION

I dedicate this work to whom my heart felt thanks; to my mother and my sisters for all the support they lovely offered along the period of my post graduation. Also I dedicate this work to my father soul.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to express my sincere thanks, deepest gratitude and appreciation to **Dr. Mohamed Abd Al-Gawad Shaheen** Professor of Pomology Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University for suggesting the problem, his active supervision, and scientific guidance, Great thanks to **Dr. Sahar Mohamed Abd El-Wahab** associate Professor of Pomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University For her supervision, effective encouragement, continuous help and sincere advices. Also, truthful appreciate and gratitude to **Dr. Farag Mohamed El-Morsy** Professor of Viticulture, Hort. Res. Institute, Agricultural Research Center. for his supervision, encouragement, valuable advice, generosity, and offering every possible help during the preparation of this work.

Grateful appreciation is also extended to all staff members of the pomology department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University.

Special deep appreciation is given to my mother; my late father, my wife as well as my brothers and sisters.

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
1. Effect of mineral fertilizers, organic and biofertilizers treatments on vegetative growth
2. Effect of mineral fertilizers, organic and biofertilizers on total chlorophyll and leaf chemical composition
b. Leaf content of mineral elements (N, P and K)
3. Effect of mineral fertilizers, organic and biofertilizers on Physical characteristics of clusters
a. Yield
b.Cluster weight
c. Cluster dimensions and the number of clusters per vine
4. Effect of mineral fertilizers, organic and biofertilizers on Physical characteristics of berries
a. Berry weight and volume
b. Berry dimensions, firmness and adherence strength
5. Effect of mineral fertilizers, organic and biofertilizers
treatments on chemical characteristics of berries
a. TSS and acidity
b. Nitrate (NO ₃) and nitrite (No ₂)
MATERIALS AND METHODS
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
1. Vegetative characteristics
a. Shoot length
b. Average number of leaves/shoot

c. Average leaf area	52
2. Yield and its components	57
a. Yield	57
b. Average number of clusters/vine	60
c. Average cluster weight	61
d. Average cluster dimensions	64
3. Physical characters of berries	67
a. Average berry weight and volume of 100 berries	67
b. Average berry dimensions	71
c. Berry shape	74
d. Berry firmness and adherence strength	76
4. Chemical characters of berries	79
a. T.S.S	79
b. Total acidity	81
c. T.S.S/acid	81
d. Total sugars percentage	84
e. Nitrite content	87
f. Nitrate content	87
5. Chemical characters of leaves	91
a. Total chlorophylls	91
b. Leaf content of mineral elements (NPK)	93
6. Trunk diameter	99
7. Wood ripening	99
8. Weight of annual prunings	102
9. Total carbohydrates of cane	103

10. Microbiological studies	106
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	109
REFERENCES.	115
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF TABLES

No.	Title	Page
1	Physical, chemical and microbiological analysis of the	O
	soil at experimental site	41
2	Physical and chemical analysis of the compost	42
3	Some components of the tested natural rocks	42
4	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on shoot	
	length (cm) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during	
	2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	53
5	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on number	
	of leaves/shoot in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during	
	2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	54
6	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on leaf area	
	in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and	
	2011 seasons	55
7	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on	
	yield/vine (kg) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during	
	2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	59
8	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average	
	number of clusters/vine in 'Superior Seedless'	
	grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	62
9	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on cluster	
	weight (g) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during	
	2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	63
10	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average	
	cluster length (cm) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine	
	during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	65
11	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average	
	cluster width (cm) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine	
	during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	66
12	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average	
	weight of 100 berries (g) in 'Superior Seedless'	
	grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	69
13	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average	
	volume of 100 berries (cm³) in 'Superior Seedless'	
	grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	70

14	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average berry length (cm) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	72
15	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average width of berry (cm) of 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	73
16	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on berry shape index (L/D ratio) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons.	75
17	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average berry firmness (g/cm²) of 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	77
18	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on average berry adherence strength (g) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	78
19	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on TSS % in 'Superior Seedless' grapes during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	80
20	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on acidity % in 'Superior Seedless' grapes during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons.	82
21	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on TSS/acid ratio in 'Superior Seedless' grapes during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	83
22	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on total sugars % in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	86
23	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on nitrate content of the juice (mg kg-1 berries fresh weight) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	89
24	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on nitrite content of the juice (mg kg-1 berries fresh weight) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and	
25	2011 seasons Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on total chlorophylls (SPAD) in the leaves of 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011	90

	seasons	92
26	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on nitrogen % in leaves in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during	
	2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	96
27	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on phosphorus % in leaves in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011	
	seasons	97
28	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on potassium % in leaves in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine	
	during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	98
29	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on trunk diameter (cm) in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine during	
	2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	100
30	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on wood ripening coefficient (%) in 'Superior Seedless'	
	grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	101
31	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on weight of pruning (Kg)/ vine in 'Superior Seedless' grapevine	
	during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	104
32	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on total carbohydrates content of cane in 'Superior Seedless'	
	grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	105
33	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on total microbial count (- x106 cfu/g soil) in 'Superior	
	Seedless' grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011	
	seasons	107
34	Effect of mineral, organic and biofertilizers on total spore forming (- x106 cfu/g soil) in 'Superior Seedless'	
	grapevine during 2009, 2010 and 2011 seasons	108

INTRODUCTION

Grapevine is one of the major horticultural crops throughout the world, especially in the tropics areas. Many cultivars of *Vitis vinifera* L. have been commercialized over the past several centuries such as seedless varieties group. Grapevine culture is of great importance in Europe where it occupies more than 60% of the cultivated area (Chapman, 1990).

Botanically, grape belongs to the genus Vitis, under the family Vitaceae, which includes more than 60 genera, most of them are used in ornamental purposes and the little produces edible fruits. Berries from these grape cvs have higher nutritional status and could be consumed fresh as table grapes or dried to be used as raisins, while the juice may be used in fresh pasteurized form or be fermented to make wine types (Stove, 1971).

Fruits of the better grape cvs are eaten in dessert, canned or used for making juice, raisins, wines and other preserves. Grapes have high nutritional value because of their higher content of sugars, vitamins and minerals. Also they are used for curing of gastrointestinal diseases as well as urinary and respiratory diseases. Recently, grapes are found to be effective in treating of cancer (Porro and Lacono, 1999 and Txinak *et al.*, 2003).

Grapes are considered from the most important fruit crops all over the world and the second fruit crop after citrus in Egypt. Grape vine occupies the second position regarding the cultivated area and total of fruit production in Egypt. The total cultivated areas attained 188543 feddans, total fruiting areas 157766 feddans with annual

production 1391749 metric ton according to the statistics of the ministry of agriculture in 2012. About 50% of the total vineyards lie in the new reclaimed areas along the desert roads in North and Middle Egypt, which are cultivated with newly introduced vine cultivars. Most of those cultivars have a great potentiality for exportation such as seedlessness, early ripening and high fruit productivity.

'Superior' grapevine cultivar is considered a prime and a popular grapevine cv, successfully grown under Egyptian conditions. Such cultivar ripens early sometimes in the last week of May under sandy soil conditions. In addition, it has a greater potentiality of export to foreign markets due to its early ripening character which reduced competition. In spite of introducing numerous grapevine cvs recently to Egypt, such grapevine cv is still one of the prime, main, popular and the most profitable grapevine cv.

Fertilization is considered as an important practice during the growing season of fruit trees, especially nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilization.

Nitrogen plays a key role in the nutrition of grapevines. It is responsible for the biosynthesis of proteins, organic nutrients, enhancing cell division and chlorophylls, building cellulose and lignin which play an important role in forming plant structure. It is also beneficial in the synthesis of enzymes. As a matter of fact, fruits trees did not produce fruits without supplying with such essential macroelement (Litvinov, and Zakharchenko, 1978; Tisdale *et al.*, 1985; Mengel and Kirkby, 1987 and Miller *et al.*, 1990).

Nitrogen fertilization of grapevines especially the newly introduced cvs were varied among site of the area, applied amount, sources and methods of applications (Yagodin, 1990). Therefore, adjusting N nutrition is still questionlized and need more studies about the best and new techniques of N management for producing the highest and economical yield and for improving physical and chemical properties of the fruits (James *et al.*, 1989).

Nitrogen fertilization is an important and limiting factor for growth and productivity of grapevines, since considerable amounts of nitrogen applied to the soil are usually lost through leaching, accordingly a part of N must be added via organic source. However, grape growers realized the ability to correct N deficiency through soil application alone. It is suggested that any treatment that positively affect growth of grapevines would be reflected on improving fruit characteristics (Nijjar, 1985 and Cook, 1986).

Recently, trials were conducted to adjust the amount of mineral N that added to fruit orchards to protect our environment from dangerous pollution (Mengel and Kirkby, 1987 and Mengel, 1984).

Phosphorus content of soil can be assimilated only as soluble phosphate. Hence, the use of Rock phosphate as a fertilizer for phosphorus deficient soils has received a significant interest in the recent years since they are natural, inexpensive and available fertilizer. However their solubilization rarely occurs in alkaline soils (Caravaca *et al.*, 2005). Physical and chemical weathering of Rock phosphate is mainly realized along plant roots in the rhizosphere. This part of soil supports large microbial communities that facilitate weathering of