

**Comparative study between the Diagnostic Accuracy of 3D
– power Doppler Ultrasound and Office Hysteroscopy in
predicting Endometrial Carcinoma in Patients with
postmenopausal Bleeding**

By

***Maged Ramadan Abo Seeda, Mohamed Abd El-Hamid Nasr
Eldeen, Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim Sherif Hanafi Hussain
and Hesham Aly Elyan Khalaf Allah***

Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

ABSTRACT

Background: Using two – dimensional (2D)/three dimensional (3D) transvaginal ultrasound, the sonographic appearance of endometrial cancer is significantly associated with tumor stage, grade and size. More advanced tumors often have a mixed/hypoechoic echogenicity, a higher color score and multiple globally entering vessels, whereas less advance tumors are more often hyperechoic and have no or a low color score.

Objectives: The aim of this work was to compare the predictive value of 3D power Doppler ultrasound in endometrial carcinoma (by measuring endometrial thickness, and vascular indices namely velocity index, flow index and velocity flow index) with hysteroscopy with endometrial biopsy in patients with postmenopausal bleeding.

Study design: One hundred forty patients with postmenopausal bleeding and endometrial thickness ≥ 4.5 mm

were included after full explanation of the procedure and verbal consent from them. 3D power Doppler ultrasound in the ultrasound unit using Voluson E6 General Electric where the endometrial thickness was measured. In those patients who show endometrial thickness ≥ 4.5 mm, endometrial volume was measured along with the vascularization indices Vascularization index (VI), flow index (FI), and vascularization flow index (VFI) Office hysteroscopy was performed one week later in the early cancer detection unit.

Results: Forty seven patients (33.6%) were diagnosed to have endometrial carcinoma and ninety three (66.4%) have benign endometrium . Both endometrial thickness and volume were significant predictors. The median values of 3D power Doppler indices of endometrial blood flow (VI, FI and VFI) were all significantly higher in women who had malignant lesions when compared to those who had benign lesions. ROC curves were constructed for estimating the validity of 3D Power Doppler indices of endometrial blood flow in prediction of high-grade malignant endometrial lesion. Hysteroscopic findings of intrauterine mass, hypervascularization, hypervascular thick endometrium or hypervascular intrauterine mass were significantly associated with histopathological diagnosis of malignant lesions.

Conclusion: Based on our results we can conclude that 3D-PD ultrasound has a high predictive value in discrimination between benign and malignant endometrial lesions in patients with postmenopausal bleeding. Despite the predictive value of 3D-PD ultrasound still hysteroscopy, histopathology examination of endometrial biopsy, is the golden role for

prediction of endometrial malignant lesions and it is highly advisable in patient with postmenopausal bleeding and endometrial thickness > 4.5 mm.

Key words: 3D-power Doppler ultrasound, Postmenopausal bleeding, Endometrial Thickness, Endometrial vascular indices, hysteroscopy, Endometrial carcinoma.

**Comparative Study between the Diagnostic
Accuracy of 3D – Power Doppler Ultrasound
and Office Hysteroscopy in Predicting
Endometrial Carcinoma in Patients with
Postmenopausal Bleeding**

A Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of M.D
In Obstetrics and Gynecology

Presented by

Hesham Aly Elyan Aly Khalaf Allah

M.B.,B.Ch.2005

M.Ch Obstetrics and Gynecology 2010

Ain Shams University

Under Supervision of

Prof.Dr. Maged Ramadan Abo Seada

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd El-Hamid M. Nasr El Deen

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Mohamed Ibrahim

Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Ain Shams University

Dr. Sherif Hanafi Hussain

Assistant Professor in Obstetrics and Gynecology

Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

2016



Acknowledgments

*First and foremost, I feel always indebted to Allah
the Most Beneficent and Merciful.*

*I wish to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to
Prof. Maged Ramadan Abo Seada, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology,
Ain Shams University, for his unlimited help and giving me the privilege to work
under his supervision.*

*My most sincere gratitude is also extended to **Prof. Dr. Mohamed Abd EL-
Hamid M. Nasr EL Deen**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams
University, for his encouragement and help during the whole work.*

*Words fail to express my appreciation to **Assist. Prof. Ahmed Mohamed
Ibrahim**, Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his
directions and valuable advice.*

*I can't forget to thank with all appreciating **Dr. Sherif Hanafi Hussain**,
Assistant Professor of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Ain Shams University, for his
enthusiastic help, continuous supervision, throughout this work.*

*I would like to thank **the staff of ultrasound unit and the staff of
early cancer detection unit**, for allowing me to complete this work under
their supervision.*

*Last but not least, I would like to thank **the patients** who allowed me to
complete this work.*

Candidate

 **Hisham Aly Elyan**

List of Contents

<i>Subject</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
List of Abbreviations.....	i
List of Tables.....	iii
List of Figures	4
Introduction.....	خطأ! الإشارة المرجعية غير معروفة.
Aim of the Work	8
Review of Literature	
▪ Postmenopausal bleeding.....	9
▪ Endometrial Carcinoma.....	18
▪ Three Dimentional Power Doppler Ultrasound & Endometrial Carcinoma.....	39
▪ Hystroscopy and Endometrial Carcinoma	53
Patients and Methods	72
Results	78
Discussion.....	115
Summary	130
Conclusion	133
References	134
Arabic Summary	

List of Abbreviations

Abbr.	Full-term
2D/US	Two-dimensional Ultrasound
3D US	Three-dimensional Ultrasound
3D USG	Three-dimensional Ultrasound Gynecology
3D-PPA	Three-dimensional Power Doppler Angiography
ACOG	American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists
AJCC	American Joint Committee on Cancer
ASTEC	Association of Trial Endometrial Carcinoma
AUB	Abnormal Uterine Bleeding
AUC	Area Under the Curve
BMI	Body Mass Index
CT	Computed Tomography
D&C	Dilatation and Curettage
ER	Estrogen Receptors
ES	Endometrial Sampling
ET	Endometrial Thickness
EV	Endometrial Volume
FDA	Food and Drug Association
FI	Flow Index
FIGO	International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics
GIS	Gel Infusion Sonography
HSG	Hysterosonography
HYCA score	Hysteroscopic Cancer Score
IQR	Interquartile range
IV	Intravenous
LESS	Laparoendoscopic single-site surgery
LVI	Lymphatic or blood vessel invasion
MRI	Magnetic Resonance Index

List of Abbreviations (Cont..)

Abbr.	Full-term
NBI	Narrow Band imaging
NSABP	National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project
OD	Outer Diameter
PALM-COEIN	Polyyps, Adenomyosis, Leiomyoma, Malignancy Coagulopathy, Ovulatory Disorders, Endometrial Causes, Iatrogenic and Not Classified.
PMB	Postmenopausal Bleeding
PTEN	Phosphatase and Tensin homologue
REC	Risk of Endometrial Cancer
ROC	Receiver-Operating Characteristic
ROI	Region of Interest
SD	Standard Deviation
SIS	Saline Infusion Sonography
TNM	Tumour Node Metastasis
TVS	Transvaginal Sonography
TVUSG	Transvaginal Ultrasonography
VFI	Vascularization Flow Index
VI	Vascularization Index
VOCAL	Virtual Organ Computer Aided Analysis
WHO	World Health Organization

List of Tables

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table (1):	FIGO and TNM staging of endometrial carcinoma.....	32
Table (2):	Uterine carcinoma: Figo surgical stage and overall survival.....	38
Table (3):	Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test of Normality of Distribution for Included Metric Variables	79
Table (4):	Demographic Data of Included Women.....	80
Table (5):	Comorbidities in Included Women	83
Table (6):	Endometrial Thickness and Volume in Included Women	85
Table (7):	3D Power Doppler Indices of Endometrial Blood Flow in Included Women	86
Table (8):	Hysteroscopic Findings in Included Women	88
Table (9):	Histopathological Results of Endometrial Biopsy in Included Women.....	90
Table (10):	Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Endometrial Thickness and Volume	92
Table (11):	Validity of Endometrial Thickness and Volume in Prediction of Malignant Lesion.....	95
Table (12):	Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding 3D Power Doppler Indices of Endometrial Blood Flow.....	97
Table (13):	Validity of 3D Power Doppler Indices of Endometrial Blood Flow in Prediction of Malignant Lesion.....	101
Table (14):	Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Hysteroscopic Findings	104
Table (15):	Validity of Various Hysteroscopic Findings in Prediction of Malignant Lesion.....	108
Table (16):	Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Demographic Data.....	109
Table (17):	Validity of Demographic Data in Prediction of Malignant Lesion.....	113
Table (18):	Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Comorbidities.....	113

List of Figures

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1):	A suggested algorithm for the management of abnormal vaginal bleeding	15
Figure (2):	Endometrioid carcinoma at low (A) and high (B) power is predominantly gland forming and is comprised of cells with low nuclear to cytoplasmic size ratios. High grade carcinoma would show a greater proportion of solid growth.....	23
Figure (3):	Serous carcinoma at high power	25
Figure (4):	Clear cell carcinoma at high power showing a 'hobnail' pattern	26
Figure (5):	Three-dimensional ultrasound depicting multiplanar display of the uterus. All three orthogonal planes can be displayed using this technique.....	42
Figure (6):	Endometrial volume calculation by using the VOCAL software after three-dimensional ultrasound	43
Figure (7):	3D-Power Doppler indices for assessing endometrial vascularization by means of the three-dimensional ultrasound.....	44
Figure (8):	Determination of the subendometrial area volume by using the "shell" facility. In this case 5 mm has been chosen	44
Figure (9):	Vascularization of the subendometrial area by 3D-Power Doppler. VI, FI and VFI refers to the shell area, not the endometrium	45

List of Figures (Cont..)

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (10):	Bar-Chart showing Age Distribution in Included Women	81
Figure (11):	Bar-Chart showing Distribution of Postmenopausal Duration in Included Women.....	81
Figure (12):	Bar-Chart showing BMI Distribution in Included Women	82
Figure (13):	Pie-Chart showing Parity Distribution in Included Women	82
Figure (14):	Pie-Chart showing Distribution of Diabetes Mellitus in Included Women	83
Figure (15):	Pie-Chart showing Distribution of Chronic Hypertension in Included Women	84
Figure (16):	Box-Plot Chart showing Endometrial Thickness and Volume in Included Women.....	85
Figure (17):	Box-Plot Chart showing Endometrial VI measured by 3D Power Doppler in Included Women.....	86
Figure (18):	Box-Plot Chart showing Endometrial FI measured by 3D Power Doppler in Included Women.....	87
Figure (19):	Box-Plot Chart showing Endometrial VFI measured by 3D Power Doppler in Included Women.....	87
Figure (20):	Bar-Chart showing Individualized Hysteroscopic Findings in Included Women.....	89
Figure (21):	Bar-Chart showing Categorized Hysteroscopic Findings in Included Women.....	89
Figure (22):	Pie-Chart showing Histopathological Results of Endometrial Biopsy in Included Women.....	90

List of Figures (Cont..)

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (23):	Bar-Chart showing Malignant Lesions in Included Women	91
Figure (24):	Bar-Chart showing Benign Lesions in Included Women	91
Figure (25):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Endometrial Thickness.....	93
Figure (26):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Endometrial Volume.....	93
Figure (27):	ROC Curves for Endometrial Volumes and Endometrial Thickness in Prediction of Malignant Lesion	94
Figure (28):	ROC Curves for Endometrial Volumes and Endometrial Thickness in Prediction of High-Grade Malignant Lesion	96
Figure (29):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Endometrial VI	98
Figure (30):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Endometrial FI.....	98
Figure (31):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Endometrial VFI.....	99
Figure (32):	ROC Curves for 3D Power Doppler Indices of Endometrial Blood Flow in Prediction of Malignant Lesion	100
Figure (33):	ROC Curves for 3D Power Doppler Indices of Endometrial Blood Flow in Prediction of High-Grade Malignant Lesion	102

List of Figures (Cont..)

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (34):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Hysteroscopic Finding of Thick Endometrium	104
Figure (35):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Hysteroscopic Finding of Endometrial Polyp	105
Figure (36):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Hysteroscopic Finding of Intrauterine Mass	105
Figure (37):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Hysteroscopic Finding of Hypervascularization	106
Figure (38):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Hysteroscopic Finding of Hypervascular Thick Endometrium	106
Figure (39):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Hysteroscopic Finding of Hypervascular Endometrial Polyp	107
Figure (40):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Hysteroscopic Finding of Hypervascular Intrauterine Mass	107
Figure (41):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Age	110
Figure (42):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Duration of Postmenopausal Status	110

List of Figures (Cont..)

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (43):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding BMI.....	111
Figure (44):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Parity.....	111
Figure (45):	Box-Plot Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Parity.....	112
Figure (46):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Rates of Diabetes Mellitus.....	114
Figure (47):	Bar-Chart showing Difference between Benign and Malignant Cases regarding Rates of Chronic Hypertension	114

Introduction

Abnormal uterin bleeding (AUB) is the most common gynecological complaint during the perimenopause and menopausal years. Therefore, elaborating correct and safe diagnosis and management are essential. Only prospective randomized studies of uterine curettage versus hysteroscopy could give a definite answer concerning the safety of hysteroscopy (*Ben-Arie et al., 2008*).

Transvaginal sonographic measurement of the endometrial thickness is a noninvasive method that has been demonstrated to be a reliable method to rule out endometrial cancer in women with postmenopausal bleeding. Two meta-analyses have demonstrated that the risk of endometrial cancer when double-layer endometrial thickness is <5mm is actually low (*Smith-Bindman, 1998 and Gupta et al., 2002*). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that transvaginal ultrasonography is cost-effective as the first test in the diagnostic work-up of postmenopausal bleeding (*Dijkhuizen et al., 2003 and Clark et al., 2006*).

Three-dimensional power Doppler angiography (3D-PDA) has become a sonographic diagnostic tool. This technique allows the estimation of endometrial volume and a more objective assessment of endometrial vascularization (*Alkazar, 2005*). Several studies have confirmed that this technique is reproducible among different observers (*Raine-Fenning et al., 2003; Alkazar et al., 2005 and Merce et al., 2006*).