

AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF ENGINEERING Irrigation and Hydraulics Department

Management of Eastern Nile Basin Water Infrastructure

A Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements of the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering

(Irrigation and Hydraulics)

by

Rasha Hosny Abd El Monem

Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Public Works) Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2011

Supervised By
Prof. Dr. Iman Mahmoud Elazizy
Professor of Hydraulics and Water Resources
Irrigation & Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Dr. Iman Sayed Ahmed General Director, Planning Sector Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation

Cairo - (2016)



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

Irrigation and Hydraulics

Management of Eastern Nile Basin Water Infrastructure

by
Rasha Hosny Abd El Monem
Master of Science in Civil Engineering
(Public Works)
Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, 2011

Examiners' Committee

Name and Affiliation	Signature
Prof. Dr. Abdallah Sadik Bazaraa	
Prof. of Irrigation and Hydraulics	
Irrigation and Hydraulics, Cairo University	
Prof. Dr. Abdel Kawi Khalifa	
Prof. of Water and Hydraulics Structures	
Irrigation and Hydraulics, Ain Shams University	
Prof. Dr. Iman Mahmoud Elazizy	
Prof. of Hydraulics and Water Resources	
Irrigation and Hydraulics, Ain Shams University	

.

Statement

This Thesis is Submitted as a Partial Fulfilment of Doctor of Philosophy in Civil Engineering Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Ain shams University.

The author carried out the work included in this thesis, and no part of it has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other scientific entity.

Rasha Hosny Abd El Monem

							S	5	i	g	Ţ	1	a	t	υ	11	(9
•						•												•

Date:25 May 2016

Researcher Data

Name : Rasha Hosny Abd El Monem

Date of birth : 2nd of April 1981

Place of birth : Cairo

Last academic degree : Master of Science,

Field of specialization : Public Work

University issued the degree : Ain Shams University

Date of issued degree : 14/7/2011

Current job : Water Resource Engineering

Thesis Summary

The River Nile is the longest river in the world, its length from its remote sources to its mouth on the Mediterranean Sea is about 6800 km, it considered as the father of African rivers and one of the major basins in the world. Its catchment covers approximately 10% of the African continent, with an area of 3.11 million km², it extends from 4° S to 31 N° latitude and from about 21° 30/ E to 40° 30/ E longitude, and spreads over eleven countries namely: Burundi, Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC), Egypt, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Sudan, South Sudan, Tanzania, and Uganda. The total length of the river and its tributaries amount to some 37,205 km, its main lakes areas total 81,550 km² and its swamps areas total of some 69,720 km².

The River Nile is distinguished from other great rivers of the world by the fact that half of its course flows through countries with no effective rainfall. Almost all the water of the Nile is generated on an area covering only 20 percent of the basin, while the rest is in arid or semi-arid regions where the rainfall is minimal and where evaporation and seepage losses are very large.

Also the Nile River is subject to political interactions. The modern history of hydro-politics in the Nile basin is very complex and has wide consequences both for regional and global developments. It is increasingly recognized that appropriate water resources planning and management at a river basin level is viable only by considering the complete water cycle in the basin.

This research aims to find the best approach for managing the Eastern Nile Region through investigating the impacts of the different development scenarios using Mathematical Models and selecting the optimum solution that maximize the benefits and minimize the negative impacts on a regional scale. This methodology would be applied at sub-basins Level, which will help the decision makers to select the most suitable development plans.

In order to obtain this aim, the WEAP (Water Evaluation and planning) model has been used. WEAP is a planning tool to evaluate the sustainability of existing water demand and supply patterns and exploring unconventional long-range scenarios. The schematization of Atbara Basin has been built from scratch using

WEAP model to simulate the behavior of the basin when the development projects in Ethiopia and Sudan take place. The schematization for Atbara Basin has been created and the basin has been divided into 10 subbasins according to the development projects in the basin, to make a Sub-Basin for each dam. The calibration tests provided a good result, as the RMSE was with an average value of 0.8. The verification take place for a period form 1975 till 2012 and the results show a good simulation of the Atbara Model.

In order to study and assess Atbara Basin, 18 scenarios, including zero scenario and a baseline scenario, have been set to address the potential projects which are proposed in the basin and determine its potential impacts on the basin. Four proposed dams in Ethiopia and Sudan have been selected to be studied and in addition to the agricultural projects of a total area of 461,684 feddans (170,542 feddans in Ethiopia and 291,142 feddans in Sudan). These 18 scenarios have been divided into 3 main categories according to the probable implementation period: short, medium, and long term scenarios. Each category is divided into three scenarios according to the priority of each project. To determine the optimum scenario for developing the basin, a comparison between these scenarios outputs has been made. A screening has been made before the comparison, some scenarios has been neglected due to its unlogical results.

The implementation of Sudan projects, irrigation and hydropower, (short term impact) will cause an average flow reduction of about 2 BCM annually. In the same time, it will not affect the monthly flow pattern so it will not increase the flow in dry months.

And when Sudan projects are implemented together with hydropower projects in Ethiopia the results shows that these projects will cause an average annual reduction of about 2.4 BCM of Atbara Basin outflow. Also it will cause a noticed effect on the flow pattern of the Basin, as the outflow is continuous all over the year but with a reduction ranging from 1.9 to 2.7 BCM in July and August but it increases for the remaining months with a range from 0.2 to 0.6 BCM.

For long term impact scenarios, irrigation projects in Sudan and Ethiopia with the total area of 461,682 feddans will be implemented beside other projects. These projects will reduce the contribution of Atbara Basin to the Nile Basin System due

to its consumption. Water availability during drought periods will depend entirely on the release policy of the dams and the consumption of irrigation projects.

The cascading effect when all hydropower projects in Ethiopia and Sudan go into operation together with potential irrigated areas for both Ethiopia and Sudan will have a total consumption of about 2.1, 3 BCM respectively, this amount represents half the mean annual flow of the Atbara Basin. The situation is most severe if these development projects occur with dry period, since it may result in consuming almost the total yield of Atbara basin.

It was recommended that In order to sustain and maximize the outflow of Atbara Basin, the basin has to operate for hydropower projects only and if there will be irrigation it has to be with smaller areas, this is due to many reasons especially the topography and the climate of the basin. These two reasons make the parts of high rate of rainfall has the most difficult topology where there can't be cultivate, and vise verse.

Development in Ethiopia if divided between other river basins especially Baro - Akobo which has hydropower and agriculture potential as well as water conservation potential would be better from Egyptian water resources point of view. Also, Agriculture development in Sudan would be better for Egypt if it depends on rainfed agriculture areas.

Key words:

River Nile, Atbara Basin, Management, Development projects

Acknowledgment

The author is greatly indebted to her supervisor Prof. Dr. Iman Mahmoud Elazizy, Professor of Hydraulics, Irrigation & Hydraulics Department, Faculty of Engineering, Ain Shams University, for her invaluable support and encouragement.

My particular thanks is due to my supervisor Dr. Iman Sayed Ahmed, General Director, Planning Sector, Ministry of Water Resources and Irrigation, for the useful information I have gained from her experience. Moreover, I would like to express my sincere gratitude for her great help, her concern, and useful revision of the thesis. I would like to thank her for her valuable advice and encouragement during the development of the thesis.

I am also grateful to all my work colleagues for their guidance and advice throughout the development of this thesis.

Great thanks are due to my family -specially my husband Loay- who patiently supported me during the years of research, without his support it would have been impossible to complete this thesis.

May 2016

Table of Contents

Contents

Chapter (1)	1
Introduction	1
1.1 Background:	1
1.2 Objectives:	2
1.3 Methodology	2
1.5 Organization of the Thesis	3
Chapter (2)	5
Literature Review	5
2.1 Important and rules of water management	5
2.2 Planning and management models	5
2.2.1 NileSim Model	5
2.2.2 Reservoir and Power Station Operation Model (RAPSO)	6
2.2.3 Nile Basin Decision Support Tool Model (NILE-DST)	6
2.2.4 HEC-ResSim Model	7
2.2.5 Nile Economic Optimization Model (NEOM)	8
2.2.6 Nile Forecast System (NFS)	8
2.2.7 River Basin Simulation Model (RIBASIM)	9
2.3 Recent Studies	9
2.3.1 Water Infrastructure Management	9
2.3.2 Nile Basin and Atbara Basin Studies	12
Chapter (3)	16
Study Area Description	16
3.1 Nile Basin Description	16
3.1.1 Introduction	16
3.1.2 Basin of Equatorial Lake Plateau	18
3.1.3 Bahr-El-Jebel	22
3.1.4 Basin of Bahr-El-Ghazal	24
3.1.5 Basin of The Rivers emerges from The Ethiopian Mountains	25
3.1.6 The Main Nile	27
3.2 Study Area Characteristics	29
3.2.1 Topography	29
3.2.2 Climate:	31
3.2.3 Land use and Land cover	37

3.2.4 Soil Classification	38
Chapter (4)	40
Data Description	40
4.1 Hydrological Data	40
4.1.1 Ethiopian Station	40
4.1.2 Sudanese Station	47
4.2 Metrological data	49
4.2.1 Reference Evapotranspiration (ET _o)	49
4.2.2 Precipitation (P), and Effective precipitation (Pe)	51
4.3 Projects Data	52
4.3.1 Irrigation Projects	52
4.3.2 Water Infrastructure Projects	54
Chapter (5)	60
Models Description	60
5.1 WEAP Model	60
5.2 ERDAS IMAGINE	60
5.3 Arc GIS	61
Chapter (6)	63
Model Setup	63
6.1 Creating Study Area schematization	63
6.1.1 Building the Schema	63
6.1.2 Entering the data of the Study Area Schematization	64
6.2 Model Calibration	69
6.2.1 Calibration tests	69
6.3 Model Verification	77
6.3.1 Verification tests	77
Chapter (7)	79
Scenarios Results	79
7.1 Introduction	79
7.2 Zero Scenario	80
7.3 Baseline Scenario	81
7.4 Short Term Impact Assessment Scenarios	85
7.4.1 Under Construction Scenario	86
7.4.2 Under Development Scenario, Irrigation Priorities	90
7.4.3 Under Development Scenario, Hydropower Priorities	96
7.4.4 Under Development Scenario, Equal Priorities	101

7.4.5 Summary of Short Term Impact Assessment Scenario Results	107
7.5 Medium Term Impact Assessment Scenarios	108
7.5.1 Future Development Projects, Irrigation Scenario	109
7.5.2 Future Development Scenario, Hydropower Priorities	115
7.5.3 Future Development Scenario, Equal Priorities	122
7.5.4 Summary of Medium Term Impact Assessment Scenario Results	128
7.6 Long Term Impact Assessment Scenarios	130
7.6.1 Humera Scenarios	131
7.6.2 Angereb and Humera Scenarios	149
7.6.3 Metema, Angereb and Humera Scenarios	167
7.7 Result Analysis	186
7.7.1 Outflow of Atbara Basin	189
7.7.2 Generated Hydropower:	194
Chapter (8): Conclusion and Recommendations	203
8.1 Summery	203
8.2 Conclusion	203
8.3 Recommendation	204
References	206
Annex A: The Water Evaluation and Planning System (WEAP)	II
ملخص الرسالة	1

List of Figures

Figure 1. 1: Methodology of Thesis	3
Figure 3. 1: Nile Basin boundaries and feeding sources, FAO(1997)	. 17
Figure 3. 2: The tributaries of Lake Victoria (Hydromet, 1970)	. 19
Figure 3. 3: Schematic of the Nile yield from different tributaries (Hydromet, 1970)	. 28
Figure 3. 4: Elevation Model of the Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	. 30
Figure 3. 5: Relief Model of the Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	. 31
Figure 3. 6: Sample of Annual Rainfall Stations in Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	5)32
Figure 3. 7: Annual Rainfall for Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	. 33
Figure 3. 8: Temperature Map of Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	. 34
Figure 3. 9: Evaporation Map of Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	. 35
Figure 3. 10: Relative Humidity Map of Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	. 36
Figure 3. 11: Land Cover Map of Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	. 38
Figure 3. 12: Soil Classification of Tekeze-Atbara-Setit Sub-Basin (ENTRO, 2006)	. 39
Figure 4. 1: Location of Flow stations for Ethiopian Part of Atbara Basin	
Figure 4. 2: Mean Monthly Discharge for Shedi Station for the period (1900-1990)	. 42
Figure 4. 3: Mean Monthly Discharge for Metema Station for the period (1900-1990)	. 42
Figure 4. 4: Mean Monthly Discharge for Angreb-TK21 Station for the period (1900-1990)	
Figure 4. 5: Mean Monthly Discharge for Angereb Station for the period (1900-1990)	
Figure 4. 6: Mean Monthly Discharge for Sekota Station for the period (1900-1990)	
Figure 4. 7: Mean Monthly Discharge for Yechilia-TK5 Station for the period (1900-1990)	
Figure 4. 8: Mean Monthly Discharge for Yechilia-TK6 Station for the period (1900-1990)	
Figure 4. 9: Mean Monthly Discharge for Ambamadre Station for the period (1900-1990)	
Figure 4. 10: Mean Monthly Discharge for Embamadre Station for the period (1900-1990)	
Figure 4. 11: Mean Monthly Discharge for Humera Station for the period (1900-1990)	
Figure 4. 12: Location of Flow stations for Sudanese Part of Atbara Basin	
Figure 4. 13: DS Monthly Discharge for Khashem El Girba Dam for the period (1975-1912)	
Figure 4. 14: Monthly Discharge for Atbara K3 Station for the period (1912-1990)	
Figure 4. 15: Procedure of extracting Metrological data from CLIMWAT software	
Figure 4. 16: Procedure of extracting ETo from ETo-Calculator software	
Figure 4. 17: distribution of ETo stations along Atbara Basin	
Figure 4. 18: Procedure of extracting P and Pe from LocClim software	
Figure 4. 19: distribution of P and Pe stations along Atbara Basin	
Figure 4. 20: Location of the Existing and Proposed Irrigation projects in Atbara Basin (ENTRO	
2008)	. 52
Figure 4. 21: Location of the Existing and Proposed Infrastructure projects in Atbara Basin	
Figure 6. 1: Vectors layers added to the model	
Figure 6. 2: Setting of the study Area	
Figure 6. 3: River schematic and its key station of the model	
Figure 6. 4: River key discharge stations	
Figure 6. 5: 10 divided Atbara Subbasins	
Figure 6. 6: Average annual precipitation on Atbara Basin using RFE satellite images for years	
(2001-2009)	
Figure 6. 7: Generated data for TK5 basin from RFE satellite images from 2001 to 2006	
Figure 6. 8: Key Assumption for Kc using Expression Builder	
Figure 6. 9: Monthly time step wizard for the data entry for Effective Precipitation	
Figure 6. 10: Results for the Schematic Gauges	
Figure 6. 11: Pattern for Observed and Simulated monthly discharge for Ambadra Station	. 70