



# COMPUTER AIDED DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM FOR DIGITAL MAMMOGRAPHY

By

### Hani Mahmoud Mohammed Bu-Omer

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Biomedical Engineering and Systems

## COMPUTER AIDED DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM FOR DIGITAL MAMMOGRAPHY

## By Hani Mahmoud Mohammed Bu-Omer

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Biomedical Engineering and Systems

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Dr. Abou-Bakr M. Youssef

Prof. Dr. Yasser M. Kadah

Professor of Biomedical Engineering
Biomedical Engineering and Systems
Faculty of Engineering, Cairo University

Prof. Dr. Yasser M. Kadah

Professor of Biomedical Engineering
Biomedical Engineering and Systems
Faculty of Engineering, Some University

## COMPUTER AIDED DIAGNOSTIC SYSTEM FOR DIGITAL MAMMOGRAPHY

## By **Hani Mahmoud Mohammed Bu-Omer**

A Thesis Submitted to the
Faculty of Engineering at Cairo University
in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree of
MASTER OF SCIENCE
in
Biomedical Engineering and Systems

Approved by the Examining Committee

Main Advisor )
sis Advisor )
nal Examiner )
nal Examiner )  1 University

**Engineer's Name:** Hani Mahmoud Mohammed Bu-Omer

**Date of Birth:** 21 / 04 / 1986 **Nationality:** Yemeni

E-mail: hani1393313@eng1.cu.edu.eg,

hani2011ye@yahoo.com

**Phone:** +201154430998 / +9675305007

**Address:** 4 Haret Alorobah, Altokhy St., Faisal, Giza.

**Registration Date:** 01 / 03 / 2013 **Awarding Date:** ..../ 2017 **Degree:** Master of Science

**Department:** Biomedical Engineering and Systems

**Supervisors:** 

Prof. Dr. Abou-Bakr M. Youssef Prof. Dr. Yasser M. Kadah

**Examiners:** 

Prof. Dr. Abou-Bakr M. Youssef
Prof. Dr. Yasser M. Kadah
Prof. Dr. Ayman M. Eldeib
Prof. Dr. Mohamed I. Eladawi

(Thesis main advisor)
(Internal examiner)
(External examiner)

- Faculty of Engineering, Helwan University

#### **Title of Thesis:**

Computer Aided Diagnostic System for Digital Mammography

#### **Key Words:**

Digital Mammography; Breast Cancer; Computer-Aided Diagnosis; Machine Learning; Medical Image Processing.

#### **Summary:**

Digital mammography has emerged as the most popular screening technique for early detection of breast cancer and other abnormalities in human breast tissue and it continues to be the standard screening tool for breast cancer detection. In this study, we developed Computer-Aided Detection (CADe) and Computer-Aided Diagnosis (CADx) systems and we tested and experimentally verified these systems using the publicly available MIAS and DDSM mammographic databases, respectively. We first applied preprocessing technique to enhance the breast peripheral regions, then we extracted a set of 543 different textural features from the regions of interest, and after that the most relevant features were selected using four selection methods. The selected features are then used as input to different classifiers to differentiate between normal and abnormal breast masses in CADe system, and to distinguish between normal, benign and malignant breast tissues in CADx system. All cases were correctly detected in the proposed CADe system, while CADx system achieved 98.67% overall accuracy.



### Acknowledgments

After an intensive period of a year, today is the day: writing this note of thanks is the finishing touch on my thesis. It has been a period of intense learning for me, not only in the scientific arena, but also on a personal level. Writing this thesis has had a big impact on me. I would like to reflect on the people who have supported and helped me so much throughout this period.

Foremost, all praises and thanks be to **ALLAH**, the Lord of the worlds, for the good health, wellbeing and guidance that were necessary to complete this thesis.

I am using this opportunity to express my profound gratitude to my thesis advisors, **Prof. Abou-Bakr M. Youssef** and **Prof. Yasser M. Kadah**, for the continuous support in my M.Sc. study and research, for their patience, motivation, enthusiasm, immense knowledge and for challenging my potential to innovate throughout my academic career. Their guidance helped me in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

Besides my advisors, I would like to thank the rest of my thesis defense committee: **Prof. Ayman M. Eldeib** and **Prof. Mohamed I. Eladawy**, for their encouragement, insightful comments, and hard questions.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to the Head of Biomedical Engineering and Systems Department, **Prof. Ahmed M. Badawi** for providing me with all the facilities during the period of my M.Sc. study.

My sincere thanks also goes to **Eng. Sheikh/ Abdullah Ahmed Bugshan**, the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Hadhramout Establishment for Human Development, for all his care and support of outstanding students. I appreciate his partial support during my M.Sc. study and putting me through the best education possible. He gave me the chance to attend the 11th IEEE EMBS International Summer on Biomedical Imagine (France, 2014).

I would also like to sincerely thank **Mugahed A. Al-antari** and **Asaad M. Anaam** who provided technical support and encouragement that greatly assisted my study.

I don't forget to thank all my close friends Riyadh Baashn, Atef Alhuraibi, Faez Alamodi, Meena Makary, Ahmed Abdullah, Faez Alhamed, Muhammad Bakhrebah, Abdulrahman Baalawi, Jamal Alakbari, Ahmed Albity, Murad Baamodi, Abdullah Alkalaly, Amer Binsadoon, Fahed Baemeran, Abdullah Bawazir and all my friends from Hadhramout, Yemen and Egypt for their love, support and encouragement.

Finally, I must express my very profound gratitude to my **Parents** and to my **Sister**, **Brothers** and all my **Family** for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study and through the process of researching and writing this thesis. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them.

### **Dedication**

To the loving memories of my Father, Uncle and Grandmothers.

To my **Mother**; your sacrifices, support, encouragement, and continuous love have sustained me throughout my life and made everything I have done possible.

To my **Sister**, **Brothers** and all my **Family** members; nothing can describe your love and care.

To my advisor, **Prof. Yasser M. Kadah**; your support and encouragement have made this achievement possible.

### **Table of Contents**

ACKNOV	WLEDGMENTS	I
<b>DEDICA</b>	TION	II
TABLE (	OF CONTENTS	III
LIST OF	TABLES	<b>V</b>
	FIGURES	
	CLATURE	
	CT	
CHAPTE	R 1 : INTRODUCTION	1
1.1.	OVERVIEW AND PROBLEM DEFINITION	1
1.2.	THESIS OBJECTIVE	2
1.3.	THESIS OUTLINE	3
СНАРТЕ	R 2 : BACKGROUND	5
2.1. 2.1.1.	PRINCIPLES OF MAMMOGRAPHY	
2.1.1.		
2.1.2.	DIFFERENT VIEWS OF MAMMOGRAM	
2.2.1.		
2.2.2.		
2.3.	Computer-Aided Diagnosis of Breast Cancer	
2.4.	COMPUTER-AIDED DETECTION AND COMPUTER-AIDED DIAGNOSIS	
2. 1.	COM OTER THEED DETECTION THAN COM OTER THEED DITIONOSIS	10
2.5.	DATABASES USED IN THE THESIS	_
2.5.1.		
2.5.2.	The Digital Database for Screening Mammography (DDSM)	
2.5.2		
2.5.2		
2.5.2	<b>-</b>	
2.5.2 2.5.2	r	
2.6.	LITERATURE REVIEW	
CIIAD		
	TER 3 : THE PROPOSED COMPUTER-AIDED DETECTION	
3.1.	Introduction	
3.2.	METHODOLOGY FOR BUILDING THE PROPOSED CADE SYSTEM	
3.2.1.	Preprocessing to enhance the peripheral area of the breast	
3.2.2.	Extraction of region of interest (ROI)	
3.2.3.	Features Extraction	
277	/ L. Lungt ( Indon Statestraal Liantunas	20

3.2.	3.2. Second Order Statistical Features	
	3.3. Features Extracted from Wavelet Transform	
	3.4. Zernike Moments Features	
3.2.4.		
3.2.5.	Features Selection	38
3.2.	5.1. Features Selection Methods from Pattern Recognition Toolbox	
Ĵ	3.2.5.1.1. Sequential Forward Selection Method	
	3.2.5.1.2. Sequential Backward Selection (SBS) Method	
	3.2.5.1.3. Sequential Floating Forward Selection Method	
_	3.2.5.1.4. Branch and Bound Selection Method	
	5.2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	
3.2.6.		
	6.1. K-Nearest Neighbors Classifier	
	6.2. Support Vector Machines (SVM) Classifier	
	Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) Classifier	
	6.5. Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)	
3.2.7.		
3.4.7.	1 CHOITHAICE Evaluation 1 arameters	43
CHAF	TER 4 : THE PROPOSED COMPUTER-AIDED DETECTION	ON (CADX)
	I	, ,
0 1 0 1 11.		
4.1.	INTRODUCTION	47
4.2.	METHODOLOGY FOR BUILDING THE PROPOSED CADX SYSTEM	48
4.2.1.		
4.2.2.		
4.2.3.		
4.2.4.		
4.2.5.		
4.2.6.	Classification Stage	51
4.2.7.	Performance Evaluation Parameters	51
	ER 5 : RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	50
CHAPII	ER 5: RESUL 15 AND DISCUSSION	53
5.1.	RESULTS OF THE PROPOSED COMPUTER-AIDED DETECTION (CA	DE) SYSTEM
		53
<i>5</i> 0	D	
5.2.	RESULTS OF THE PROPOSED COMPUTER-AIDED DIAGNOSIS (CA	*
		66
CII A DTI	ER 6 : CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	01
СПАГП	ER 0; CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK	O1
6.1.	Conclusions	81
6.2.	FUTURE WORK	
0.2.	I UTURE WURK	01
API	PENDIX A: ENHANCEMENT OF MAMMOGRAPHY IMAG	GES USING
PERIPH	ERAL REGION EQUALIZATION	
	<u>-</u>	
REFERE	NCES	91

### **List of Tables**

<b>Table 3.1:</b> First order statistical features extracted from the histogram of each ROI30
<b>Table 3.2:</b> First order statistical features extracted directly from the grey level pixels of
each ROI31
Table 3.3: Second order statistical features    32
<b>Table 3.4:</b> Summary of the extracted features    37
<b>Table 3.5:</b> Best values of the of RBF-SVM classifier parameters for CADx system42
<b>Table 3.6:</b> Tow-by-Two Contingency Table    44
<b>Table 3.7:</b> Agreement classification according to κ value [118]46
Table 4.1: Best values of the of RBF-SVM classifier parameters
Table 4.2: Contingency table for noraml, benign and malignant classes    51
<b>Table 5.1:</b> Selected Features using SBS and SFFS methods for CADe system54
<b>Table 5.2:</b> Selected Features using SFS and BBS methods for CADe system55
<b>Table 5.3:</b> Confusion matrices of all classifiers in CADe system using all feature
selection methods; (N: normal, A: abnormal)56
<b>Table 5.4:</b> Performance Parameters of CADe system for all classifiers using SBS
method
<b>Table 5.5:</b> Performance Parameters of CADe for all classifiers using SFS method58
<b>Table 5.6:</b> Performance Parameters of CADe system for all classifiers using SFFS
method
<b>Table 5.7:</b> Performance Parameters of CADe system for all classifiers using BBS
method
<b>Table 5.8:</b> Performance Parameters of CADe system for all classifiers using PCA61
<b>Table 5.9:</b> Selected Features using SBS, SFS, SFFS and BBS methods for CADx67
<b>Table 5.10:</b> Confusion matrices of all classifiers in CADx system using all feature
selection methods; (N: normal, B: benign, M: malignant)68
<b>Table 5.11:</b> Performance Parameters of CADx system for all classifiers using SBS
method
<b>Table 5.12:</b> Performance Parameters of CADx system for all classifiers using SFS and
SFFS method
<b>Table 5.13:</b> Performance Parameters of CADx system for all classifiers using BBS
method
<b>Table 5.14:</b> Performance Parameters of CADx system for all classifiers using PCA75

## **List of Figures**

Figure 2.1: Example for digital mammography system: Siemens Mammomat	
Inspiration Mammography System [18]	6
<b>Figure 2.2:</b> Two oblique views and two cranio-caudal views of a mammogram [24]	
Figure 2.3: Generic block diagram for CADe and CADx systems [37]	
<b>Figure 2.4:</b> An Example of mammograms from MIAS database [38]. (a): Left view o	
normal mammogram. (b): Right view of malignant mammogram with mass boundary	
defined by white circle.	
Figure 2.5: Files available in case 3024 directory	
Figure 2.6: B-3024-1.ics file contents.	
Figure 2.7: The B_3024_1.RIGHT_CC.OVERLAY file contents.	
Figure 3.1: Block diagram of a generic CADe system	
Figure 3.2: An example of some masses in MIAS mammograms. (a): Spiculated mas	
(b): Circumscribed mass, (c): ill-defined mass, (d): Architectural distortion mass, and	
(e): Asymmetry mass.	30
Figure 3.3: Principal component analysis of the features of CADe system	
<b>Figure 3.4:</b> Examples of ROC curves [37]. The performance of the system is: (a) poo	
(b) good, (c) very good and (d) excellent.	45
Figure 4.1: Generic block diagram for a CADx system.	47
Figure 4.2: An example of different masses in DDSM mammograms [39], [40]. From	1
left to right: normal, benign, and malignant masses	49
Figure 4.3: Principal component analysis of the features of CADx system	50
Figure 5.1: Accuracy versus number of PCA coefficients for CADe system	54
Figure 5.2: Performance of CADe system for all classifiers using SBS method	57
Figure 5.3: Performance of CADe system for all classifiers using SFS method	58
Figure 5.4: Performance of CADe system for all classifiers using SFFS method	59
Figure 5.5: Performance of CADe system for all classifiers using BBS method	
Figure 5.6: Performance of CADe system for all classifiers using PCA.	
Figure 5.7: ROC curves of all classifiers in CADe system using SBS method	
Figure 5.8: ROC curves of all classifiers in CADe system using SFS method	
Figure 5.9: ROC curves of all classifiers in CADe system using SFFS method	
<b>Figure 5.10:</b> ROC curves of all classifiers in CADe system using BBS method	
Figure 5.11: ROC curves of all classifiers in CADe system using PCA	
<b>Figure 5.12:</b> Accuracy of all classifiers in CADe system using all feature selection	٠.
methods	64
<b>Figure 5.13:</b> Cohen-κ factor of all classifiers in CADe system using all feature	٠.
selection methods.	65
Figure 5.14: Accuracy versus number of PCA coefficients for CADx system	
Figure 5.15: Performance of CADx system for all classifiers using SBS method	
Figure 5.16: Normal vs. benign ROC curves for all classifiers of CADx system using	
SBS method.	
Figure 5.17: Normal vs. malignant ROC curves for all classifiers of CADx system	70
using SBS method.	70
Figure 5.18: Performance of CADx system for all classifiers using SFS and SFFS	70
methods	71
<b>Figure 5.19:</b> Normal vs. benign ROC curves for all classifiers of CADx system using	
· ·	
SFS and SFFS methods.	12

Figure 5.20: Normal vs. malignant ROC curves for all classifiers of CADx system
using SFS and SFFS methods72
Figure 5.21: Performance of CADx system for all classifiers using BBS method73
Figure 5.22: Normal vs. benign ROC curves for all classifiers of CADx system using
BBS method74
Figure 5.23: Normal vs. malignant ROC curves for all classifiers of CADx system
using BBS method74
<b>Figure 5.24:</b> Performance of CADx system for all classifiers using PCA75
Figure 5.25: Normal vs. benign ROC curves for all classifiers of CADx system using
PCA
Figure 5.26: Normal vs. malignant ROC curves for all classifiers of CADx system
using PCA76
Figure 5.27: Accuracy of all classifiers in CADx system using all feature selection
methods
<b>Figure 5.28:</b> Cohen-κ factor of all classifiers in CADx system using all feature
selection methods
<b>Figure 5.29:</b> Sensitivity of benign for all classifiers in CADx system using all feature
selection methods
Figure 5.30: Sensitivity of malignant for all classifiers in CADx system using all
feature selection methods
<b>Figure A.1:</b> Example of a corrected mammogram [121]. (a): an MLO image. (b): The
thickness corrected image83
<b>Figure A.2:</b> Segmentation step of a mammogram (mdb014). (a): The original
mammogram. (b): The mammogram image segmented by Otsu thresholding84
Figure A.3: Gaussian low-pass filter GLPF. (a): Perspective plot of a GLPF transfer
function (3D). (b): Filter displayed as an image (2D)
<b>Figure A.4:</b> (a): Result of filtering with a GLPF (BI). (b): Result after multiply BI by
SI
Figure A.5: (a): The average of the rescaled image (NTP). (b): The peripheral
equalized (PE) image
Figure A.6: Example of Peripheral density correction using image from MIAS
database. (a): Original mammogram, (b): SI, (c): BI, (d): BI after multiplying by SI, (e):
NTP of mammogram, and (f): The PE of mammogram
Figure A.7: Example of peripheral density correction using image from DDSM
database. (a): Original mammogram, (b): SI, (c): BI, (d): BI after multiplying by SI, (e):
NTP of mammogram and (f) The PE of mammogram
Figure A.8: Peripheral density correction using Tao Wu et al. algorithm for MIAS
mammograms. (a): Original mammogram mdb046 (Normal), (b): Enhanced
mammogram, (c): Original mammogram mdb198 (Benign), (d): Enhanced
mammogram, (e): Original mammogram mdb168 (Malignant), (f): Enhanced
mammogram, (g): Original mammogram mdb184 (Malignant) and (h): Enhanced
mammogram. 89
Figure A.9: Peripheral density correction using Tao Wu et al. algorithm for DDSM
mammograms. (a): Original mammogram B_3601_1.RIGHT_MLO (Normal), (b):
Enhanced mammogram, (c): Original mammogram B_3424_1.LEFT_CC (Benign), (d):
Enhanced mammogram, (e): Original mammogram C_0121_1.RIGHT_CC
(Malignant), (f): Enhanced mammogram, (g): Original mammogram
C_0088_1.LEFT_CC.LJPEG (Malignant), and (h): Enhanced mammogram90

### **Nomenclature**

ADEWNN / Adaptive Differential Evolution Wavelet Neural Network

Ada-DEWNN Adaptive Differential Evolution wavelet Neural Netwo

ACR American College of Radiology
ANN Artificial Neural Networks

ARCH Architectural distortion

ASYM Asymmetry

AUC Area Under Curve

BI-RADS Breast Imaging-Reporting and Data System

BI Blurred Image

BBS Branch and Bound Selection
CAD Computer Aided Diagnosis
CADe Computer Aided Detection
CADx Computer Aided Diagnosis
CBIR Content-Based Image Retrieval

CC Cranio-Caudal

CIRC Well-defined/circumscribed masses

DBN Deep Belief Network

DCT Discreet Cosine Transform

DDSM The Digital Database For Screening Mammography

DF-BrCanD diverse features based breast cancer detection

DWT Discrete Wavelet Transform ELM Extreme Learning Machine

FCM Fuzzy C-Mean

FFDM Full Field Digital Mammography
FDA Food and Drug Administration
F-GA Frequency-Genetic Algorithm

FN False Negative

FNR False Negative Rate

FP False Positive

FPR False Positive Rate

FSLR Forward stepwise linear regression
GLCM Gray Level Co-Occurrence Matrix

GLPF Gaussian Low Pass Filter

IRMA Image Retrieval in Medical Applications

K-NN K-voting Nearest Neighbor
LCP Local Configuration Pattern
LDA Linear Discriminant Analysis

MIAS The Mammographic Image Analysis Society

MLO Mediolateral-Oblique
MLP Multi-Layer Perceptron

MISC Ill-defined Masses
NLM Non-Local Means

NPV Negative Predictive Value
NTP Normalized Thickness Profile

OWBPE Opposite Weight Back Propagation per Epoch

OWBPI Opposite Weight Back Propagation per Pattern in Initialization

OWBPP Opposite Weight Back Propagation per Pattern

pAUC Partial Area Under the Curve PCA Principal Component Analysis

PCET Polar Complex Exponential Transform

PNN Probabilistic Neural Network

PPV Positive Predictive Value

QDA Quadratic Discriminant Analysis

ROC Receiver Operating Characteristic

RBF Radial Basis Function

ROI Region of Interest

S3VM Semi Supervised Support Vector Machine

SBS Sequential Backward Selection

SFFS Sequential Floating Forward Selection

SFS Sequential Forward Selection

SI Segmented Image SPIC Spiculated masses

SSL Semi-Supervised Learning
SVM Support Vector Machines

TN True Negative
TP True Positive

WBCD Wisconsin Breast Cancer Dataset
WPD Wavelet Packet Decomposition

#### **Abstract**

Breast cancer is the most common cause of death in women and the second leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide. Digital mammography has emerged as the most popular screening technique for early detection of breast cancer and other abnormalities in human breast tissue and it continues to be the gold standard screening tool for breast cancer detection.

In this work, Computer-Aided Detection (CADe) and Computer-Aided Diagnosis (CADx) systems are developed and tested using the public and freely available mammographic databases named MIAS and DDSM databases, respectively. CADe system is used to differentiate between normal and abnormal tissues and it assists radiologists avoid missing a breast abnormality, while CADx is developed to distinguish between normal, benign and malignant breast tissues and it helps radiologists to decide whether a biopsy is needed when reading a diagnostic mammogram or not.

Any CAD system is constituted of common stages including: preprocessing and segmentation of mammogram images, extraction of regions of interest (ROI), features extraction, features selection and classification. In both proposed CAD systems, ROIs are selected using window size of 32×32 pixels then a total of 543 features from four different feature categories are extracted from each ROI and then normalized. After that the selection of the most relevant features is performed using four different selection methods from MATLAB Pattern Recognition Toolbox v.5 (PRtool5) named Sequential Backward Selection (SBS), Sequential Forward Selection (SFS), Sequential Floating Forward Selection (SFFS) and Branch and Bound Selection (BBS) methods. We also utilized Principal Component Analysis (PCA) as the fifth method to reduce the dimensions of the features set. After that we used different classifiers such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), K-voting Nearest Neighbor (K-NN), Quadratic Discriminant Analysis (QDA) and Artificial Neural Networks (ANN) for the classification. Both CAD systems have the same implementation stages but different output. CADe systems is designed to detect breast abnormalities while CADx system indicates the likelihood of malignancy of lesions. Finally, we independently compared between performance of all classifiers with each selection method in both systems.

The evaluation of the proposed CAD systems is done using performance indices such as sensitivity, specificity, the area under curve (AUC) of the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves, the overall accuracy and Cohen-k factor.

Both CAD systems provided encouraging results. These results were different corresponding to selection method and classifier.

### **Chapter 1: Introduction**

#### 1.1. Overview and Problem Definition

Before the twentieth century breast cancer was considered as shameful disease and women felt shy to complain from health problems related to their breast regions and tended to silently suffer rather than talking to their doctors. After surgery has been advanced, and long-term rates of survival are improved, the awareness of this disease started to raise among women around the world and the successful treatment possibility has been improved [1].

Breast cancer is the abnormal growth of breast cells, which usually starts in the lobules of the inner lining of the milk ducts. Different types of breast cancer exist with different stages, truculence and genetic makeup. 10-years disease-free survival rates vary from 98% to 10% with best treatment plan. Breast cancer treatment includes various strategies such as surgery, chemotherapy, hormone therapy and radiation. Breast cancer is still being a significant public healthcare problem among women and it is the most common cancer around the world. The cause of this disease still remain undetermined and this make primary prevention to be impossible. It is believed that early detection of breast cancer is the most promising way to lower the number of women suffering from it and this improves the chances to provide proper treatment options so that treatment will work with better results [2].

Among women in U.S., breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer besides skin cancer and its death rates are the highest among other cancers besides lung cancer. In 2016, it is expected to diagnose an approximately 246,660 new cases of invasive breast cancer in women, and the expected diagnosed cases of non-invasive breast cancer are 61,000 women in the U.S. Also About 2,600 new patients of invasive breast cancer in men are expected to be discovered [3].

Mammography is a special type of radiography, using low radiation levels to acquire images for breast to diagnose a consequent exist of abnormal structures that implies a disease like cancer. The early detection of strange mammary pathologies like non-palpable breast masses and calcifications is extremely important for successful cure of breast cancer patients. Mammography is the standard screening tool that is used to perform the task of breast cancer detection and it results to reduce at least 30% in breast cancer deaths in the world [4], [5].

The benefit of mammography screening has undergone some recent contention since definite evidence relating mammography with mortality may not be proven. In contrast, an Institute of Medicine Report on Mammography suggests that using mammography screening for earlier detection of breast cancer may be an important factor in decreasing mortality from breast cancer in recent years [6].

The computer-based systems may provide a second supportive alternative in detecting breast abnormalities by completing the expert knowledge of radiologists, and