



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ





شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكرو فيلم

# جامعة عين شمس

التوثيق الالكتروني والميكروفيلم



نقسم بـلله العظيم أن المادة التي تم توثيقها وتسجيلها  
علي هذه الأفلام قد اعدت دون أية تغيرات



## يجب أن

تحفظ هذه الأفلام بعيداً عن الغبار

في درجة حرارة من 15 – 20 مئوية ورطوبة نسبية من 20-40 %

To be kept away from dust in dry cool place of  
15 – 25c and relative humidity 20-40 %



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



# بعض الوثائق الأصلية تالفة



شبكة المعلومات الجامعية



بالرسالة صفحات

لم ترد بالأصل

B11C19

**DETERMINATION OF SOME CONSTITUENTS  
OF APPARENTLY NORMAL MILK**

*Thesis Presented*

*By*

***Mahmoud Hassan Mahmoud Azab***

(B.V.Sc., Fac. Vet. Med., Alex. Univ., 1993)

**For**

**The Degree of M.V.Sc.**

**(MILK HYGIENE)**

**To**

**Department of Food Hygiene**

**Faculty of Veterinary Medicine**

**Alexandria University**

**1998**

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

### قرار لجنة الحكم والمناقشة

قامت لجنة الحكم والمناقشة بفحص الرسالة وترى انها اشتملت على بحث هادف و مواضيع لها أهميتها في مجال الرقابة الصحية على الأغذية. كما قامت اللجنة بمناقشة المتقدم مناقشة مستفيضة ووجدت أنه ملم الماماً تاماً بكل ما جاء بها.

لذلك

قررت اللجنة ترشيح السيد ط. ب / محمود حسن محمود عزب للحصول على درجة الماجستير في العلوم الطبية البيطرية - تخصص الرقابة الصحية على الألبان و منتجاتها.

اللجنة

الأستاذ الدكتور عباس أمين أحمد

أستاذ الرقابة الصحية على الألبان و منتجاتها  
كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة الأسكندرية

الأستاذ الدكتور محمد أحمد حسن منصور

أستاذ الرقابة الصحية على الألبان و منتجاتها  
كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة الزقازيق

الدكتور (أحلام أمين) اللبوي

أستاذ مساعد الرقابة الصحية على الألبان و منتجاتها  
كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة الأسكندرية (مشرفاً)

أحلام أمين

الدكتور أشرف محمد ناظم

أستاذ مساعد الرقابة الصحية على الألبان و منتجاتها  
كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة الأسكندرية (مشرفاً)

الأستاذ الدكتور محمد عبد الباري مندرور

أستاذ ورئيس قسم رعاية الحيوان  
كلية الطب البيطري - جامعة الأسكندرية (مشرفاً)

## ***UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF***

***Dr. Ahlam A. El-Leboudy***

Assistant Professor of Milk Hygiene  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Alexandria University

***Dr. Ashraf M. Nazem***

Assistant Professor of Milk Hygiene  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Alexandria University

***Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Mandour***

Head of Department of Animal Husbandry  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Alexandria University



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*First of all prayerful thanks are to our Merciful GOD who gives us every thing we have.*

*I wish to express my deepest appreciation and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Abbas A. Ahmed, Professor of Milk Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University for his suggestions, guidance, criticism and continuous encouragement.*

*I would like to express my appreciation and gratitude to Dr. Ahlam A. El-Leboudy, Assistant Professor of Milk Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University, for her supervision, extreme valuable advises, great efforts, help, comments and guidance throughout this study.*

*Many thanks are due to Dr. Ashraf M. Nazem, Assistant Professor of Milk Hygiene, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University, for his instructions, discussions and encouragement throughout my investigation; under his supervision this work has been completed.*

*My thanks are also extended to Prof. Dr. Mohamed A. Mandour, Professor and Head of Department of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University for his valuable help and interest during this work.*

*Grateful thanks and sincere gratitude are also to Prof. Dr. Mohamed M. Mousa, Professor of Meat Hygiene and Head of Food Hygiene Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Alexandria University for continuous aid and encouragement as well as providing me with the facilities to accomplish this research work.*

# CONTENTS

## Page

<b>1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> .....	<b>4</b>
2.1. Screening tests .....	4
2.2. Somatic cell count (SCC) .....	11
2.3. Microbiological examination .....	16
<b>3. MATERIAL AND METHODS</b> .....	<b>26</b>
3.1. Collection of the samples .....	26
3.2. Field tests .....	27
3.2.1. Reaction of the milk sample (pH) .....	27
3.2.2. Measurement of electrical conductivity (E.C.) .....	27
3.2.3. Qualitative chloride test .....	28
3.2.4. Gel test .....	28
3.2.4.1. Modified white side test (MWT) .....	28
3.2.4.2. California mastitis test .....	29
3.3. Direct microscopic somatic cell count (D.M.S.C.C.) .....	30
3.3.1. Pretreatment of milk samples and preparation of the milk films .....	30
3.3.2. Counting .....	30
3.3.3. Calculation of the working factor .....	30
3.4. Microbiological examination .....	31
3.4.1. Examination of milk sediment .....	31
3.4.2. Identification of the suspected isolated organisms .....	32
3.4.2.1. Identification of Streptococci .....	32
3.4.2.1.1. Morphological characters .....	32
3.4.2.1.2. Biochemical examination .....	32
3.4.3.2. Identification of Staphylococci .....	33
3.4.2.2.1. Morphological characters .....	33

3.4.2.2.2. Biochemical characters . . . . .	33
3.4.2.3. Identification of isolated Coliform organisms . . . . .	34
3.4.2.3.1. Morphological characters . . . . .	34
3.4.2.3.2. Biochemical characters . . . . .	35
3.5. Statistical analysis . . . . .	37
<b>4. RESULTS . . . . .</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>5. DISCUSSION . . . . .</b>	<b>53</b>
5.1. Microbiological examination . . . . .	53
5.2. Reaction of milk samples (pH) . . . . .	56
5.3. Electrical conductivity of milk samples . . . . .	57
5.4. Qualitative chloride test . . . . .	58
5.5. Gel tests . . . . .	59
5.5.1. Modified white side test . . . . .	59
5.5.2. California mastitis test . . . . .	60
5.6. Direct microscopic somatic cell count . . . . .	61
<b>6. SUMMARY . . . . .</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>7. CONCLUSION . . . . .</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>8. APPENDIX . . . . .</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>9. REFERENCES . . . . .</b>	<b>76</b>

## ARABIC SUMMARY

# *Introduction*

# 1. INTRODUCTION

During the last few years, the economic importance of dairy farms is increased in many parts of the world particularly in well-developed countries and at the same time udder diseases of lactating animals were became more important intensively.

Bovine mastitis is one of the most important problems in our dairy farms especially in small private farms where hygienic measures and milking sanitation are often insufficient (*Zatoun and Manaa, 1992*).

Subclinical mastitis is universally present in the dairy farms in one farm or other, and around 40% and above of cows were reported to be suffered from subclinical mastitis (*Ramachandrainh et al., 1990*).

The economic impact of both clinical and subclinical forms of mastitis is large in the current dairy industry. Losses occur from decreased milk production, treatment and labour costs, non deliverable milk, veterinary fees, reduced milk quality, reduced milk price, increased risk of subsequent mastitis and increased risk of culling or death of the cow (*Nielen et al., 1992*).

The widespread occurrence of mastitis in dairy herds creates an estimated loss to producer of approximately 2 billion \$ in the USA alone. This number excludes the additional untold losses from altered milk quality and

composition and the effect on dairy products that occur once milk has left the farm (*Harmon, 1994*).

Mastitis is considered of quite vital importance due to its association with many zoonotic diseases in which milk acts as a vehicle of infection. *Staphylococcus aureus*; Tubercle bacilli, Paratyphoid enteritidis group, *Streptococcus epidimicus*, *Corynebacterium pyogenes* and Foot and Mouth Disease virus and others are amongst factors of zoonotic importance which induce mastitis in cattle and buffaloes (*Mackey, 1941*).

Early detection of mastitis especially in case of subclinical form where there is no obvious symptoms and secreted milk apparently normal is very important for most dairy farmers to reduce production losses and to enhance prospects recovery. Much efforts have been expended to provide veterinarians and farmers with efficient tool for mastitis detection (*Emanuelson et al., 1987*). Several methods for diagnosis of mastitis (specially subclinical form) have been reported. Bacteriological method is expensive and time consuming but it is still the most accurate method. The disadvantage of this method hence the need for simple sensitive and reliable method sufficient to be applied on large scale herd testing . Many tests based on the detection of pathological changes, often associated with inflammation, have been proposed while others are microscopic for detection of abnormal cellular material in milk (*Moursy and ZaHarya, 1972*).

The aim of the present work is to evaluate the different tests currently used for diagnosis of mastitis as compared with microbiological methods to spot out an efficient simple and reliable test for detection of subclinical mastitis as:

- Determination of pH.
- Gel tests (Modified Whiteside Test, MWT; and California Mastitis Test, CMT).
- Direct microscopic somatic cell count.
- Qualitative chloride test.
- Determination of electrical conductivity.