

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to Allah the most beneficent and merciful. I can do nothing without Him

*I would like to express my deep gratitude and thanks to my dear supervisor Dr. **Nazifa Mohamed Hassanein**, Professor of mycology, Microbiology department, Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University, for her help, encouragement, continuous advice and her expert supervision to bring this thesis to more than satisfactory finish. She always was patient, perfect in work organization and the best advisor. I am proud to be one of her students and I hope that she is satisfied with me.*

*A great thanks to Dr. **Hend Mohamed Khater** for her support, encouragement, valuable advices and constant help.*

*A great thanks to Dr. **Ahmed Amer Ali** for his support, encouragement, valuable advices and constant help.*

*A deep thank to all my **colleagues in Microbiology laboratory**, Research and Conservation center of antiquities, Ministry of country for Antiquities Affairs for their assistance, support and for providing a suitable environment during my work.*

Studies on microflora of some old and damaged manuscripts and methods of their treatment

Thesis

**Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the
degree of Master of Science
(Microbiology)**

BY

**Mostafa Mohamed Mostafa Mohamed
(B.Sc. Microbiology, 2003)**

Supervisors

Dr. Naziha Mohamed Hassanein

**Professor of Mycology, Microbiology department, Faculty
of Science, Ain Shams University.**

Dr. Hend Mohamed Khater

**Lecturer of Microbiology, Microbiology department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.**

Dr. Ahmed Amer Ali

**Doctor of Microbiology, Microbiology laboratory, Research
and Conservation center of antiquities, Ministry of country
for Antiquities Affairs.**

**Department of Microbiology
Faculty of Science
Ain shams University
(2014)**

Approval sheet

Studies on microflora of some old and damaged manuscripts and methods of their treatment

By

Mostafa Mohamed Mostafa Mohamed

B.Sc. Microbiology, Faculty of Science,
Ain Shams University (2003)

Supervisors

Approved

Dr. Naziha Mohamed Hassanein

Professor of Mycology, Microbiology department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Hend Mohamed Khater

Lecturer of microbiology, Microbiology department,
Faculty of Science, Ain Shams University.

Dr. Ahmed Amer Ali

Doctor of Microbiology, Microbiology laboratory,
Research and Conservation center of antiquities,
Ministry of country for Antiquities Affairs.

Examination committee

Prof. Dr.

Prof. of

Prof. Dr.

Prof. of

Prof. Dr.

Prof. of

Date of examination / /

Approval date / /

University council approved / /

دراسات علي الكائنات الحية الدقيقة لبعض المخطوطات
القديمة والتالفة وطرق علاجها

كجزء من متطلبات الحصول على درجة الماجستير في العلوم
في الميكروبيولوجي

من
مصطفى محمد مصطفى
بكالوريوس علوم- جامعة عين شمس (2003)

تحت إشراف

أ.د. / نزيهة محمد حسنين
أستاذ الفطريات بقسم الميكروبيولوجي - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس.

د. / هند محمد خاطر
مدرس الميكروبيولوجي - كلية العلوم - قسم الميكروبيولوجي - جامعة عين
شمس.

د. / احمد عامر على
دكتور الميكروبيولوجي - مركز بحوث وصيانة الآثار- وزارة الدولة لشئون
الآثار

قسم الميكروبيولوجي
كلية العلوم
جامعة عين شمس
2014



كلية العلوم
جامعة عين شمس

رسالة الماجستير فى العلوم

اسم الطالب: مصطفى محمد مصطفى

عنوان الرسالة: دراسات علي الكائنات الحية الدقيقة لبعض المخطوطات القديمة
والتألفة وطرق علاجها

الدرجة العلمية: الماجستير فى علوم الميكروبيولوجى
لجنة الاشراف:

أ.د. / نزيهة محمد حسنين

أستاذ الفطريات بقسم الميكروبيولوجى - كلية العلوم - جامعة عين شمس.

د/ هند محمد خاطر

مدرس الميكروبيولوجى - كلية العلوم - قسم الميكروبيولوجى - جامعة عين شمس.

د/ احمد عامر على

دكتور الميكروبيولوجى - مركز بحوث وصيانة الآثار - وزارة الدولة لشئون الآثار .

لجنة التحكيم:

أ.د. /

أ.د. /

الدراسات العليا

تاريخ المناقشة: / /

ختم الإجازة:

أجيزت الرسالة بتاريخ / /

موافقة مجلس الكلية

/ /

موافقة مجلس الجامعة

/ /



كلية العلوم
جامعة عين شمس

دراسات علي الكائنات الحية الدقيقة لبعض المخطوطات
القديمة والتالفة وطرق علاجها

اسم الطالب: مصطفى محمد مصطفى

الدرجة العلمية: الماجستير في العلوم في الميكروبيولوجي

القسم التابع له: الميكروبيولوجي

اسم الكلية: العلوم

اسم الجامعة: عين شمس

سنة التخرج: 2003

سنة المنح:

List of contents

List of contents	Page
Acknowledgement	
List of Tables	
List of Figures	
List of Photos	
List of Abbreviations	
Chapter I: Introduction	1
Chapter II: Review of Literature	4
1. Industry of paper	4
2. History of papers	5
2.1. Papermaking spreads throughout Asia	5
2.2. Papermaking spreads throughout Islamic world	6
3. Kinds of papers	6
3.1. Applications	7
4. Importance of antiquities	8
5. Microbial occurrence on antiquities	9
5.1. Fungal occurrence on antiquities	9
5.1.1. Detection of fungal growth	14
5.2. Bacterial occurrence on antiquities	15
6. Degradation of antiquities by microorganisms	16
6.1. Cellulolytic microorganisms (degradation of cellulose).....	16
6.2. Proteolytic microorganisms (degradation of protein)	20
6.3. Amylolytic microorganisms (degradation of starch).....	21
7. Factors affecting enzymes production	22
7.1. The temperature	22
7.2. The PH value	26
7.3. The light	29
7.4. The relative humidity (RH)	32
7.5. The air Pollutants	37
7.6. The reformatting materials	43

7.7. Abuse and disasters	46
8. Control of the microbial deterioration	47
8.1. Effect of fungicides	47
 Chapter III: Materials and Methods	57
1. Sample collection and isolation and identification of fungi and bacteria from deteriorated manuscripts	57
1.1 Samples collection sites.....	57
1.2. Isolation and identification of fungi and bacteria from deteriorated manuscripts	60
1.2.1. Identification of fungal and bacterial isolates	62
1.2.1.1. Identification of fungal isolates	62
1.2.1.2. Identification of bacterial isolates	62
2. Physiological studies	62
2.1. Screening for the production of cellulase, protease and α -amylase by fungal and bacterial isolates and determination of the most potent producer	62
2.1.1. Preparation of inocula	62
2.1.2. Procedure for viable spore count	63
2.1.3. Production media for enzymes activities	64
2.1.4. Detection of the enzymes activities of fungal and bacterial isolates	64
2.1.4.1. Filtration	64
2.1.4.2. Enzymes assay for detection of cellulase, protease and α -amylase protease	64
2.2. Effect of some environmental factors on the production of cellulase, protease and α -amylase by the most potent isolate...	65
2.2.1. Incubation period	65
2.2.2. pH value	65
2.2.3. Incubation temperature	66
2.2.4. Inocula volume	66

2.2.5. Substrate concentration	66
2.2.6. Flask capacity	66
3. Control of the isolated microorganisms	67
3.1. Growth media and preparation of fungal and bacterial inocula	67
3.2. Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and efficiency of the selected antimicrobial compounds on the growth of fungal and bacterial isolates	67
3.3. Application of the tested antimicrobial compounds against the isolated fungi and bacteria in the laboratory.....	68
3.3.1. Treatment of artificially fungal inoculated paper	68
3.3.2. Conservation of a new paper from biodeterioration	68
4. Media used	69
4.1. Isolation media	69
4.1.1. Media used for isolation of fungi from biodeteriorated manuscripts	69
4.1.2. Media used for isolation of bacteria from biodeteriorated manuscripts	69
4.2. Media used in enzymes assay	69
4.2.1. Production of protease, cellulase or α -amylase	69
4.2.2. Media used for assaying protease, cellulase or α -amylase activity)	70
5. Buffers	70
5.1. Citrate-phosphate buffer	70
5.2. Phosphate buffer	70
6. Reagents	71
6.1. Acid mercuric chloride (Cowan and Steel, 1974)	71
6.2. Lugol's iodine solution (Cowan and Steel, 1974)	71
7. Statistical analysis	71
 Chapter IV: Results	 72

1. Samples collection sites and isolation and identification of fungi and bacteria from deteriorated manuscripts	72
1.1. Samples collection sites	72
1.2. Isolation and identification of fungal and bacterial isolates form deteriorated manuscripts	79
1.2.1. Identification of fungal and bacterial isolates.....	79
1.2.1.1. Identification of the fungal isolates	79
1.2.1.2. Identification of bacterial isolates	86
2. Physiological studies	91
2.1.Screening for the production of cellulase, α-amylase, and protease by fungal and bacterial isolates and determination of the most potent producer	91
2.2. Effects of some environmental factors on the production of cellulase, protease and α-amylase by the most potent isolate .	94
2.2.1. Incubation period	94
2.2.2. pH value	99
2.2.3. Incubation temperature	104
2.2.4. Inoculum volume	109
2.2.5. Substrate concentration	114
2.2.6. Flask capacity	119
Statistical analysis	125
3. Control of the isolated microorganisms	126
3.3. Application of the tested antimicrobial compounds against the isolated fungi and bacteria in the laboratory	140
3.3.1. Treatment of artificially fungal and bacterial inoculated paper	140
3.3.2. Conservation of a new paper from infection	142
Chapter V: Discussion	143
Chapter VI: Summary	158
Chapter VII: References	162
Arabic summary	

List of Figures

Fig. No.		page
Fig. 1:	Frequency and distribution percentage of fungal and bacterial genera isolated from the deteriorated manuscript from all sites.....	76
Fig. 2:	Frequency and percentage distribution of fungal genera isolated from the deteriorated manuscripts from all sites.....	82
Fig. 3:	Frequency and percentage distribution of bacterial genera isolated from the deteriorated manuscripts from all sites.....	90
Fig. 4:	Effect of different incubation periods on the production of cellulolase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	95
Fig. 5:	Effect of different incubation periods on the production of proteases by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	96
Fig. 6:	Effect of different incubation periods on the production of α -amylase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	97
Fig. 7:	Effect of different incubation periods on the production of cellulase, protease and α -amylase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i>	98
Fig. 8:	Effect of different pH values on the production of cellulase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C for 6 days of incubation	100

Fig. 9:	Effect of different pH values on the production of protease by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C for 6 days of incubation	101
Fig. 10:	Effect of different pH values on the production of α-amylase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C for 7 days of incubation	102
Fig. 11:	Effect of different pH values on the production of cellulase, protease and α-amylase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C for 6 days of incubation	103
Fig. 12:	Effect of different incubation temperatures on the production of cellulase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at pH 3 for 6 days of incubation	105
Fig. 13:	Effect of different incubation temperatures on the production of protease by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at pH 4 and 6 days of incubation	106
Fig. 14:	Effect of different incubation temperatures on the production of α-amylases by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at pH 3.5 for 7 days of incubation	107
Fig. 15:	Effect of different incubation temperatures on the production of cellulase, protease and α-amylases by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at pH 4 and 6 days of incubation	108
Fig. 16:	Effect of different inoculum volumes on the production of cellulase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C and pH 3 for 6 days of incubation	110

Fig. 17:	Effect of different inoculum volume on the production of protease by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 25°C and pH 4 for 6 days of incubation	111
Fig. 18:	Effect of different inoculum volume on the production of α -amylase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C and pH 3.5 for 7 days of incubation	112
Fig. 19:	Effect of different inoculum volumes on the production of cellulase, protease and α -amylase by <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C and pH 3.5 for 7 days of incubation	113
Fig. 20:	Effect of different substrate concentrations on the production of cellulase by 2 ml spore suspension of <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C and pH 3 for 6 days of incubation	115
Fig. 21:	Effect of different Substrate concentrations on the production of protease by 4 ml spore suspension of <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 25°C and pH 4 for 6 days of incubation	116
Fig. 22:	Effect of different substrate concentrations on the production of α -amylases by 3 ml spore suspension of <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium at 30°C and pH 3.5 for 7 days of incubation	117
Fig. 23:	Effect of different substrate concentrations on the production of cellulase, protease and α -amylases by 4 ml spore suspension of <i>Aspergillus flavus</i> on Hankin's medium	118

at 25°C and pH 4 for 6 days of incubation

- Fig. 24:** Effect of different flask capacities on the production of cellulase by 2 ml spore suspension of *Aspergillus flavus* on Hankin's medium containing 4% cellulose at 30°C and pH 3 for 6 days of incubation 120
- Fig. 25:** Effect of different flask capacities on the production of protease by 4 ml spore suspension of *Aspergillus flavus* on Hankin's medium containing 6% gelatin at 25°C and pH 4 for 6 days of incubation 121
- Fig. 26:** Effect of different flask capacities on the production of α -amylase by 3 ml of *Aspergillus flavus* on Hankin's medium containing 6% starch at 30°C and pH 3.5 for 7 days of incubation 122
- Fig. 27:** Effect of different flask capacities on the production of cellulase, protease and α -amylase by 2 ml spore suspension of *Aspergillus flavus* on Hankin's medium containing 4% cellulose at 30°C and pH 3 for 6 days of incubation 123