Evaluation of Spleen Stiffness Compared to Splenic Artery Resistive Index as Non Invasive Predictors for Esophageal Varices in Patients with Chronic Liver Disease

Thesis

Submitted For Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
On Cropical Medicine

By

Mohamed Kamal Ismael Dewidar

(M.B., B.Ch)

Supervisors

Prof. Amal Tohamy Abd el Moez

Professor of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

Dr. Mohamed Darwish El Talkawy

Assistant Professor of Tropical Medicine Theodor Bilharz Research Institute

Dr. Kareem Abdelaziz Abdelhafeez

Lecturer of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

> Faculty of Medicine Ain shams University 2018



سورة البقرة الآية: ٣٢

Acknowledgment

First and foremost, I feel always indebted to **ALLAH**, the Most Kind and Most Merciful.

I'd like to express my respectful thanks and profound gratitude to **Prof. Amal Tohamy**Abd el Moez, Professor of Tropical Medicine Faculty of Medicine- Ain Shams University for her keen guidance, kind supervision, valuable advice and continuous encouragement, which made possible the completion of this work.

I am also delighted to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to **Dr. Mohamed Darwish & Talkawy**, Assistant Professor of Tropical Medicine, Theodor Bilharz Research Institute, for his kind care, continuous supervision, valuable instructions, constant help and great assistance throughout this work.

I am deeply thankful to **Dr. Kareem Abdelaziz Abdelhafeez**, Lecturer of Tropical
Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams
University, for his great help, active participation
and guidance.

I would like to express my hearty thanks to all my family for their support till this work was completed.

Last but not least my sincere thanks and appreciation to all patients participated in this study.

Mohamed Kamal Ismael Dewidar

List of Contents

Title	Page No.
List of Tables	i
List of Figures	
List of Abbreviations	iv
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	6
Review of Literature	
Chapter (1): Liver Cirrhosis	7
• Chapter (2): Portal Hypertension	36
• Chapter (3): Non-Invasive Diagnosis of Portal	
Hypertension	105
Patients and Methods	155
Results	166
Discussion	183
Summary	195
Conclusion	
Recommendations	
References	
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

Table No.	Title Pag	je No.
Table (1):	Modified Child's-Pugh classification	21
Table (2):	Classification of portal hypertension	39
Table (3):	Prevention and management of	
	esophageal varices	
Table (4):	Pharmacological therapy of esophageal	
	varices	
Table (5):	Child-Pugh's Criteria	
Table (6):	Demographic data of the studied	
	patients as regard age and gender:	166
Table (7):	Comparison between control group and	
	patients group regarding gender:	
Table (8):	CHILD class among the studied	
	patients:	168
Table (9):	Comparison of the child class between	
	studied groups	168
Table (10):	Relevant clinical signs among the	
	studied patients:	
Table (11):	Comparison of the clinical signs	
	between studied groups.	
Table (12):	Comparison of the laboratory	
	investigations between studied groups	
Table (13):	US finding among the studied patients:	174
Table (14):	Comparison of the US finding between	
	studied groups.	
Table (15):	Comparison between the studied groups	
	regarding SARI and PV diameter	
Table (16):	Comparison between studied groups	
	regarding spleen stiffness by fibroscan	179
Table (17):	Upper G.I endoscopy finding among the	
	studied patients:	180
Table (18):	Sensitivity, specifity, PPV, NPV, and	
	accuracy for SARI and SS	182

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (1): Figure (2):	Portal circulation	ting
Figure (3):	according to the site of flow resistance Pathophysiological mechanisms portal hypertension	ee43 of
Figure (4):	Increased production/exaggers response of the hepatic vascular beautiful production of the hepatic vascular bea	ated d to
Figure (5):	vasoconstrictors	and a
	complication of cirrhosis	
Figure (6):	Clinical Staging of Liver Cirrhosis	
Figure (7):	Esophageal varices (Gastrohep.com).	
Figure (8):	Diagram illustrating natural history	
	esophageal varices	
Figure (9):	Diagram illustrating methods	
	diagnosis of Esophageal Varices	
Figure (10):	A) Grade 3 oesophageal varix with laulcer; B) Post-band ligation status, I	_
	ulcer with active oozing; C) W	
	nipple sign over an oesophageal var	
	stigmata of recent haemorrhage; D)	
	nipple sign over an oesophageal var	
	red	84
Figure (11):	Treatment options for esophage	geal
•	varices.	~
Figure (12):	Algorithm for management of vari-	ceal
	bleeding.	
Figure (13):	Method for measuring liver stiffness	116
Figure (14):	The area of stiffness that measured	
	FibroScan.	-
Figure (15):	Pillcam Eso Device and Parameters.	151

List of Figures (Cont...)

Fig. No.	Title	Page No.
Figure (16):	Upper endoscopy showing la	rge
	esophageal varices	158
Figure (17):	Fibroscan	163
Figure (18):	Show sex distribution in group I, gro	oup
	II VS control group	167
Figure (19):	Show mean values of splenic diame	eter
	in group I, group II VS control group.	176
Figure (20):	Show mean values of SARI in group	
	group II VS control group	178
Figure (21):	Show mean values of P.V. diameter	in
J	group I, group II VS control group	178
Figure (22):	Comparison between mean values	\mathbf{of}
_	splenic stiffness in group I, group II	VS
	control group.	
Figure (23):	Receiver operator characteristic cu	rve
	(ROC) denoting the best cut off value	
	SS which fulfill the highest sensitive	
	and specifity in prediction of	
	presence of O.V and it was 70.8 Kpa.	
Figure (24):	Receiver operator characteristic cu	
J	(ROC) denoting the best cut off value	
	SARI (splenic artery resistive ind	
	which fulfill the highest sensitivity a	
	specifity in prediction of the presence	
	O.V and it was 0.66.	

List of Abbreviations

Abb.	Full term
Ab	Antibody
	Alpha Fetoprotein
	Acute liver disease
	Alkaline Phosphatase
	Alanine Aminotransferase
AMA	Antimitochondrial antibodies
ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
	Arterial Portography
	AST to Platelet Ratio Index
ASGE	American Society of Gastroenterology
ASH	Alcoholic staeato Hepatitis
AST	Aspartate Aminotranserase
AVP	Arginine vasopressin
BL	Bleeding
BSG	British Society of Gastroenterology
	Blood Urea Nitrogen
	Color Doppler Ultrasonography
	Congestion Index
	Serum Creatinine
	Cherry red spots
	Clinically Significant Portal Hypertension
	Computed Tomography
	Computed Tomography Angiography
	Direct Bilirubin
	Direct-acting antivirals
	Duplex Doppler ultrasound
	Dilutional Hyponatremia
DPAS	Periodic acid-Schiff stain after diastase
	digestion
	Diffuse Redness
	Extracellular Matrix
	Electro-encephalogram
EGD	Esophagogastroduodenoscopy

List of Abbreviations (cont...)

Abb.	Full term
EV	. Esophageal Varices
EVBL	Esophageal Varices Band Ligation
EVL	. Esophageal Varices Ligation
FDA	. Food and Drug Administration
FT	. Fibrotest
GABA	. Gamma Amino Butyric Acid
GFR	. Glomerular Filtration Rate
GI	. Gastrointestinal
GOT	. Glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase
HAART	. Highly active antiretroviral therapy
HAPI	. hepatic artery pulsatility index
HARI	. hepatic artery resistive index
HBF	. Hepatic blood flow
HBV	. Hepatitis B Virus
HCC	. hepatocellular carcinoma
HCV	. Hepatitis C Virus
HE	. Hepatic Encephalopathy
HGB	. Hemoglobin
HIV	. Human Immune deficiency Virus
HRS	. Hepatorenal Syndrome
HSC	. Hematocystic spots
HSCs	. Hepatic Stellate Cells
	. Hepatic Venous Pressure Gradient
	. International Normalization Ratio
	. Inferior Venacava
	. Serum Potassium
KPa	
LS	
	Liver stiffness measurement
	. Spleen diameter to platelet ratio score
	Liver transplantation
	. Model of End Stage Liver Disease
MRI	. Magnetic Resonance Imaging

List of Abbreviations (cont...)

Abb.	Full term
Na	. Serum Sodium
NAFLD	Non alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease
NASH	Non Alcoholic Steatohepatitis
NH3	. Ammonia
NH4+	. Ammonium
NO	. Nitrous Oxide
NPV	. Negative Predictive Value,
O.V	. Oesophageal varices
PAS	. periodic acid-Schiff stain
PBC	. Primary biliary cirrhosis
PDGF	. Platelet Derived Growth Factor
PGE 1	. Prostaglandin E1
PH	. Portal Hypertension
PHG	. Portal Hypertensive Gastropathy
PHT	. Portal hypertension
PPV	. Positive Predictive Value
PT	. Prothrombin Time
PTT	. Partial Thromboplastin Time
PV	. Portal vein
PVT	. Portal Venous Thrombosis
PVV	• portal vein velocity
QoL	· quality of life
RBS	. Random Blood Sugar
ROC	Reproducer Operating Characteristic Curve
RPF	. Renal plasma flow
RWM	. Red wall markings
S.Albumin	. Serum Albumin
SAPI	. Splenic arterial pulsatility index
SARI	. SplenicArtery Resistive Index
SBP	. Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis
SBPD	. Splenic Bipolar Diameter
SI	. Splenic index

List of Abbreviations (cont...)

Abb.	Full term
SIADH	. Syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic
	hormone
SP	Splenoportography
SPI	. Splenoportal index
SRCR	. Scavenger receptor cysteine- rich super
SS	Spleen Stiffness
SSM	Spleen Stiffness Measurement
SVR	Sustained virologic response
T.BIL	Total Bilirubin
T.P	Total protein
	Transient Elastography
TIPS	Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic
	shunt
US	Ultrasound
V	. Varices
VOD	. Veno-occlusive Disease
	. White Blood Cells Count
WHVP	. Wedge Hepatic Venous Pressure



INTRODUCTION

iver cirrhosis (LC) is the final evolutive stage of any chronic liver disease and its outcomes are modulated by the degree and the consequences of portal hypertension (PH). Unfortunately, clinical investigation of PH is mainly invasive and implies either hepatic vein catheterization and hepatic vein pressure gradient (HVPG) measurement, or endoscopy for esophageal varices (EV) screening and grading. It was previously demonstrated that a HVPG value higher than 10 mmHg predicts the presence of EV, while a value higher than 12 mmHg is predictive for variceal bleeding. Many efforts have been made to find a noninvasive surrogate marker for PH or for the presence or grade of EV, but until now, only a few biochemical markers (aspartate aminotransferase [AST] to platelets ratio index) or mixed indexes (platelets count tospleen diameter ratio) have been demonstrated to be partially correlated with the presence of EV (Stefanescu et al. 2011).

Portal hypertension (PHT) and development esophageal varices (EV) are one of the major complications of liver cirrhosis. Bleeding from EV is a life-threatening event with 10 - 20 % mortality with each episode. Current guidelines recommend that all cirrhotic patients should undergo screening endoscopy at diagnosis to identify patients with varices (De Franchis, 2010). This approach increases burden upon endoscopy units, and the repeated testing over time may



decrease patient compliance. Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy (UGIE) is deemed to be the gold standard against which all other tests are compared, but is not without its limitations (Rve et al., 2012).

Splenomegaly is a common finding in patients with cirrhosis and noncirrhotic PHT, and is commonly described because of blood congestion, increased portal pressure, augmented resistance to splenic vein outflow, and increased angiogenesis and fibrogenesis. These changes of spleen stiffness (SS) can be quantified by transient elasto graphy (TE) (Sharma et al., 2013).

Portal hypertension is one of the complications of chronic liver diseases (CLD). Esophageal varices (EV) are the most relevant portosystemic collaterals resulting from clinically significant portal hypertension, and the presence of EV correlates with the severity of liver disease. As variceal hemorrhage is the most lethal complication of liver cirrhosis, patients with newly diagnosed cirrhosis in CLD are advised to undergo endoscopic screening for EV. However, endoscopy is an invasive and unpleasant procedure that sometimes requires sedation and carries rare, but serious, complications. Accordingly, several simple, non-invasive and accurate tests have been developed to identify EV. Transient elastography (TE) is a noninvasive tool that measures liver stiffness (LS) correlating to liver fibrosis stage. While TE also shows potential in the prediction of EV, its role is still under debate.



Moreover, the LS-spleen size-to-platelet ratio score (LSPS), which is a combination of three simple examination methods (LS, spleen size and platelet count) has been established to accurately predict EV in patients with compensated cirrhosis. To date, TE and LSPS have not been performed for the estimation of EV in chronic hepatitis C in Japan. The objective of this study was to determine the ability of LSPS in predicting the presence of EV (Shibata et al., 2016).

Several serum and radiological parameters have been put forward for predicting EV, such as serum fibrosis markers, liver stiffness (LS), spleen stiffness (SS), LS-spleen diameter to platelet ratio score. Among them, it has been shown that both liver and spleen stiffness were more accurate in identifying EV and the degree of portal hypertension than other non-invasive parameters. LS has been largely accepted to reflect the degree of fibrosis and the presence of EV in CLD. Several studies have revealed that LS measured by elastography may represent a useful non-invasive tool for predicting EV, notably in combination with other non-invasive parameters. Current European Guidelines recommend to avoid screening EGD in patients with LS< 20kPa and platelet count >150, 000. While the role of LS alone in predicting varices is controversial due to unsatisfactory diagnostic accuracy and lack of consistent results. In the last few years, research emphasis has been placed on SS measurement in predicting EV and clinical significant portal hypertension. Portal hypertension leads to spleen

congestion and fibrosis, which is sufficient to increase organ stiffness (Xiaowen et al., 2016).

Fibroscan (Transient Elastography- TE) is a type of ultrasound machine that designed for painless, immediate and non invasive liver stiffness measurements (Linda, 2008).

In the evolution of chronic viral and non viral hepatitis, liver fibrosis is an important factor associated with prognosis. A precise evaluation of the severity of fibrosis is necessary in these patients for correct staging and, eventually, to take a decision regarding treatment (Christoph, 2012).

Due to the limitations of liver biopsy, non invasive alternatives including FibroScan (Transient Elastography) have been developed. Transient elastography is an easy and quick clinical non invasive method to perform and it could be useful to evaluate liver fibrosis as to monitor liver disease progression (Yasser, 2009)

Recently, more and more studies have attempted to clarify the utility of SS and LS for EV diagnosis in patients with CLD, but the results have been controversial. Research has shown that SS assessed by elastography was a more effective parameter with high diagnostic accuracy for identifying and grading EV than LS (Fraquelli et al., 2014).

Splenomegaly is a possible consequence of portal hypertension. Contrary to other ultrasound (US) signs,