



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

SHEAR BEHAVIOR OF CONCRETE FILLED GRP TUBES

BY
MOHAMED FATHY MOHAMED BADAWEY

B.Sc. 2003
STRUCTURAL DIVISION
CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT FOR
THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE MASTER OF SCIENCE
DEGREE IN CIVIL ENGINEERING (STRUCTURAL)

SUPERVISED BY

Prof. Dr.
AMR A. ABD EL-RAHMAN
Professor of Reinforced Concrete Structures,
Ain Shams University

Dr. TAREK KAMAL HASSAN
Associate Professor
Structural engineering department
Ain Shams University

Dr. EHAB KHALIL
Associate Professor
Construction Research Institute
National Water Research Center

July 2010



AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING

APPROVAL SHEET

Thesis: Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural)

Student Name: Mohamed Fathy Mohamed Badawy

Thesis Title: Shear Behavior of Concrete Filled GFRP Tubes

Examiners Committee:

Signature

Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Galal Shaban

Professor of RC Structures
Faculty of engineering in Shobra
Banha University

Prof. Dr. Omar Ali Mosa El-Nawawy

Professor of RC Structures
Faculty of engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. AMR A. ABD EL-RAHMAN

Professor of RC Structures
Faculty of engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. TAREK KAMAL HASSAN

Associate Professor of Structures
Faculty of engineering
Ain Shams University

**AIN SHAMS UNIVERSITY
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
STRUCTURAL ENG. DEPARTMENT**

Abstract of MSc Thesis submitted by

Eng. Mohamed Fathy Mohamed Badawy

Title of thesis:

SHEAR BEHAVIOR OF CONCRETE FILLED GRP TUBES

Supervisors:

Prof. Dr. AMR A. ABD EL-RAHMAN

Professor of RC Structures
Ain Shams University

Dr. TAREK KAMAL HASSAN

Associate Professor of Structures
Ain Shams University

Dr. EHAB KHALIL

Associate Professor of Structures
Construction Research Institute
National Water Research Center

ABSTRACT

The main objective of this research is to describe the shear capacity of Concrete-Filled FRP Tubes (CFFT). A total of nine beams are tested by applying a concentrated load at the mid span with various shear span-to-depth ratios (a/D). Tested beams are divided into two main groups; the first group consists of four tubes filled with plain concrete, while the second group consists of five concrete filled tubes provided with extra longitudinal steel reinforcement.

An elaborated Strut-and-Tie (S&T) truss model was adopted to model the shear behaviour of tested CFFT beams. Geometry of the tension ties and compressive struts is established to present the tension fields in the external FRP shell and compression fields in the concrete core, respectively. The adopted model can

closely model the internal force flow and predict the most probable failure mode.

A parametric study was carried out based on the adopted strut-and-tie model for further understanding of the influence of beam size, a/D , concrete compressive strength, FRP reinforcement ratio and the laminate structure of the FRP jacket on the shear capacity of CFFT beams.

Current research verified the potentiality of concrete-filled GFRP tubes as a structural member to provide significant shear strength. Based on the experimental program and the analytical study mentioned in this thesis, it can be concluded that Bernouli's beam theory is not valid for deep and short CFFT beams. Shear capacity of such beams can be predicted based on a strut-and-tie model.

KEYWORDS: CFFT, Concrete filled tubes, Glass fibers, Shear behavior, Confinement, Shear stresses

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my professor Dr. Amr Ali Abd-Elrahman for his valuable guidance, endless patience, and encouragement through the research period. I owe to him with a profound gratitude for his donation of GFRP tubes that used in the experimental program.

I wish also to express my sincere gratitude to my research supervisors, Dr. Tarek Kamal Hassan and Dr. Ehab Khalil, for their valuable advices, comments and their efforts in reviewing the manuscript. A special thanks for Dr. Ehab Khalil for his funding and participation during the experimental program.

The support provided by Future Company for Pipe Fabrications, is also gratefully acknowledged for providing some GFRP tubes used in the test program.

Finally, this undertaking would never have been completed without the love, support, and efforts of my family that I cannot praise enough. To my mother and younger brother Ahmed, I dedicate this thesis.

STATEMENT

This thesis is submitted to Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt, on July 2010 for the Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering (Structural).

The analytical work included in this thesis was carried out by the author. The experimental work included in this thesis was carried out by the author in the Reinforced Concrete Laboratory of Ain Shams University and the Reinforced Concrete Laboratory of Construction Research Institute (CRI) – National Water Research Center, from February 2006 to August 2006.

No part of this thesis has been submitted for a degree or qualification at any other University or Institute.

Date : 21 / 07 / 2010

Signature :

Name : Mohamed Fathy Badawy

Table of contents

APPROVAL SHEET.....	i
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
STATEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF SYMBOLS.....	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
CHAPTER (1): INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Problem Statement	1
1.2. Research Objectives	2
1.3. Research Approach	3
1.4. Thesis Outlines	4
CHAPTER (2): LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Development of Composite Systems	5
2.2. Concrete-Filled Steel Tubes	6
2.3. Concrete-Filled FRP Tubes	9
2.3.1. Characteristics of FRP Tubes Used in Hybrid Tube System	9
2.3.2. Behavior of CFFT Under Axial Compression	12
2.3.3. Flexural Behavior of Concrete-Filled FRP Tubes	13

2.3.4. Shear Behavior of Concrete-Filled FRP Tubes	15
2.4.General Aspects of Integrated Concrete-Filled Tubes System	17
2.5.Practical Applications of CFFT Integrated Structures	18
CHAPTER (3): EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM	25
3.1.Introduction	25
3.2.Materials	25
3.3.Instrumentation and Test Setup	27
3.4.Discussion of Test Results	28
3.4.1. Failure Mechanism	28
3.4.2. Specimens Behavior	29
3.4.3. Internal Stresses in GFRP Shell (Tube)	30
3.4.4. Summary of Experimental Observations	32
CHAPTER (4): ANALYTICAL MODELING	43
4.1.Introduction	43
4.2.Methodology	43
4.3.Section Analysis for Tested Specimens	44
4.3.1. Procedure	44
4.3.2. Comments on the Results of Section Analysis	46
4.4.Strut and Tie and Modeling Assumptions	47
4.4.1. Members Dimensioning	49
4.4.2. Prediction of Failure Load	52

4.5.Verification of Strut and Tie Model to Experimental Results	53
4.6.Discussion of Analytical Model Results	56
CHAPTER (5): PARAMETRIC STUDY	71
5.1.Introduction	71
5.2.Effect of Size of beam	71
5.3.Effect of Shear Span-to-Depth Ratio	72
5.4.Concrete Strength	74
5.5.FRP Reinforcement Ratio	75
5.6.Hoop-to-Longitudinal Strength Ratio for the FRP Shell	75
5.7.Summary of Parametric Study	77
CHAPTER (6): SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND	
RECOMMENDATIONS	87
6.1.Summary	87
6.2.Conclusions	88
6.3.Recommendations for Future Research	90
REFERENCES	91
APPENDICES	
Appendix I : Detailed Example for Section Analysis	
Appendix II : Detailed Example for Strut and Tie Model	

List of Symbols

A	= Cross sectional area of the member.
A_c	= Area of circle segment located above the neutral axis (i.e. compression zone)
A_{ds}	= Effective area for inclined strut as calculated using equation 4.10a.
A_{dt}	= Effective area of inclined tie
A_{dt}	= Effective area for inclined tie as calculated using equation 4.10.
A_f	= Area of the FRP shell located in the tension zone $\cong 0.5$ cross-sectional area of the pipe.
A_{fc}	= Area of the FRP shell located in the compression zone $\cong 0.5$ cross-sectional area of the pipe (refer to assumption No. 2).
A_g	= the gross area of concrete section.
A_i	= Area of a layer (i).
A_s	= Area of reinforcement steel bars or steel tube.
a/D	= Shear-span-to-depth ratio
b	= In plan width of the inclined tie (Figure 4.5).
b_s	= Effective out of plan width
c	= Statistical parameter presents the intercept of the straight line predicted from regression analysis.
C	= Compressed depth of concrete (i.e. the location of the neutral axis measured from the top of the section)
D	= Width or diameter of a tube section.

dA	= Differential cross-sectional area of the member
d_s	= In plan width of the inclined strut (Figure 4.5).
E_t	= Modulus of elasticity in the longitudinal direction of the GFRP shell
\bar{F}	= Normal stress at the center of Mohr's circle.
$F_{avarage}$	= Average induced principal tensile stress in the FRP shell.
f_c	= the unconfined concrete strength
F_c	= Concrete strength or equivalent constant stress as mentioned in section 4.4.
f_{cc}	= the confined concrete strength
F_{fc}	= Compressive strength of the FRP shell in the longitudinal direction.
F_i	= Resultant axial force at the center of the layer (i).
F_{max}	= Maximum induced principal tensile stress in the FRP shell.
FOS_{Least}	= Least factor of safety for the members.
F_p	= Tensile strength of FRP shell in a particular inclined direction.
f_r	= the ultimate confining stress
F_r	= Tensile strength of the FRP shell in the hoop direction.
f_s	= the tensile strength of the tube in the hoop direction
F_s	= Steel yield stress
F_t	= Tensile strength of the FRP shell in the longitudinal direction.
$f_{45\pm\theta_i}$	= the ultimate tensile strength at the angle θ_i .
h	= Truss height

l	= Circumference of the inclined ellipse $\cong \pi \frac{(D+b)}{2}$.
l_B	= length of the bearing plate at support point.
l_k	= effective length of a CFT column
M	= Statistical parameter presents the slop of the relation
M_i	= Induced moment due to F_i for a certain layer (i).
${}_cN_c$	= allowable strength of a concrete column
N_{c1}	= allowable strengths of a CFT column
${}_sN_c$	= allowable strength of a steel tube column
N_{dR}	= Ultimate resistance of the inclined strut (Force)
N_r	= Ultimate resistance (Fore) of the horizontal strut
n_w	= the total number of winding angles
P_d	= Dummy concentrated load applied at the mid-span of the beam
$P_{ultimate}$	= Ultimate concentrated load could be resisted by the beam at the mid-span (Force)
R	= Radius of Mohr's circle
t	= Pipe thickness
T	= Total induced force in a member.
T_{dR}	= Ultimate resistance of the inclined tie (Force)
t_j	= the lamina thickness for each winding angle
T_{LR}	= Ultimate resistance of the horizontal tie (Force)

- t_s = the thickness of the tube
- V_c = the contribution of concrete in shear capacity of the section.
- V_j = Contribution of FRP shell in shear capacity of the section.
- $Y_{c,t}$ = Moment lever arm (i.e. distance between compression and tension forces)
- $Y_{N,A}$ = The distance between the center of the layer to the neutral axis
- α = Inclination angle of the strut to the horizontal direction
- γ = Correction factor accounts for the reduced shear resisting mechanism of concrete with increased ductility
- ϵ_{Bottom} = Tensile strain in the longitudinal direction at the bottom of the section
- ϵ_i = Longitudinal strain at the center of the layer (i).
- ϵ_t = Ultimate tensile strain in the longitudinal direction of the GFRP shell
- ζ = Correction factor to account for nonlinear stress distribution
- η = Correction factor = 0.5
- θ = inclination angle of the inclined tie to the longitudinal axis of the beam
- σ = Induced stress.
- σ_i = Induced axial stress at the center of the layer (i) due to strain (ϵ_i).
- $90 - \theta$ = inclination angle of the inclined plane to the longitudinal axis of the beam =
Inclination angle of the plan of failure to the beam axis

List of Tables

- Table 3.1 : Test Matrix
- Table 3.2 : Mechanical properties of used GFRP tubes
- Table 3.3 : Failure loads and maximum deflection of tested specimens
- Table 4.1 : Values of the predicted capacity using section analysis approach compared to failure loads of tested specimens
- Table 4.2 : Truss members' designation and corresponding failure mode
- Table 4.3 : Values of the predicted capacity using proposed strut-and-tie model with constant angle compared to failure loads of tested specimens
- Table 4.4 : Values of the predicted capacity using proposed strut-and-tie model with constant horizontal projection for the inclined tie compared to failure loads of tested specimens:
- Table 4.5 : Values of the predicted capacity using proposed strut-and-tie model with variable angle of inclination based on linear regression compared to failure loads of tested specimens:
- Table 5.1 : Typical Parameters for the Basic Model
- Table 5.2 : Values of Variable Parameters

List of Figures

- Figure 2-1 : Different Forms of Concrete Filled Steel Tube
- Figure 2-2 : Equivalent Uni-Axial Stress Strain Curve for Confined and Unconfined Concrete (Hsuan-Teh Hu et al, 2003)
- Figure 2-3 : Thin Walled Pre-Manufactured FRP Shell as a Concrete Filled Tube
- Figure 2-4 : Different Forms of Pultruded FRP Sections Used for Structural Purposes
- Figure 2-5 : Typical Modular Hybrid Tube Girders Reported by Zhao Lei in His Report No. TR-2000/04 to University of California, San Diego
- Figure2- 6 : Connection between Hybrid Tube Girders Polypropylene Fiber Reinforced Concrete Deck
- Figure2-7 : The Geometry of Kings Stormwater Channel Bridge
- Figure 2-8 : The Cross Section of the Tube Used to Assemble the FRP Panels of South Fayette Bridge (Winkelman 2002)
- Figure 2-9 : Typical Cross Section of Assembled FRP Panel of South Fayette Bridge (Winkelman 2002)
- Figure 3.1 : Casting and curing of specimens
- Figure 3.2 : Typical schematic diagram for test setup
- Figure 3.3 : Real view for test setup
- Figure 3.4 : Schematic diagram for test setup and instrumentation of (B4)
- Figure 3.5 : Schematic diagram for test setup and instrumentation of (B5)
- Figure 3.6 : Instrumentation
- Figure 3.7 : Failure mode of tested specimens