

FEASIBILITY OF R INTERRUPTER TECHNIQUE IN ASTHMATIC PRESCHOOL CHILDREN

Thesis

*Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in
Pediatrics*

By

Ayat Saad Ahmed Hussein El-Maghraby

M.B.B.Ch-Faculty of Medicine-Cairo University

Under supervision of

Prof. Dr. Mona Mostafa El-falaky

Professor of pediatrics

Faculty of Medicine-Cairo University

Dr. Eman Hasan Draz

Lecturer of Pediatrics

Faulty of Medicine-Cairo University

Dr. Aliaa Adel Ali

Lecturer of Pediatrics

Faulty of Medicine-Cairo University

**Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University
2010**

ABSTRACT

The incidence of pediatric asthma is increasing in most countries. Pulmonary function tests (PFTs) are used to determine asthma severity in preschool children, and are seldom performed in preschool children due to little cooperation, coordination. Interrupter resistance technique (Rint) is one of the easiest ways to assess respiratory resistance during tidal breathing with minimal subject cooperation. It has, therefore, been largely used in young children unable to perform reliable spirometry. The technique is good enough to allow assessment of bronchial reactivity.

The aim of this observational cross- sectional study is to assess the feasibility of performing interrupter resistance technique (Rint) in asthmatic preschool children as a novel technique which needs minimal cooperation in this young age group. Our results showed that only 18.8 % of children aged 2-3 years succeeded in performing the test, while 100 % of children aged above 3 years succeeded in performing the test.

Key Words:

(Asthma, Interrupter resistance technique, Preschool children)

Acknowledgement

First of all thanks to ALLAH, the source of all knowledge, who gave me the power by his abundant grace to produce this piece of work.

I wish to express my appreciation and sincere gratitude to Prof. Dr. Mona Mostafa El-Falaky, Professor of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her kind help, advice, constant guidance, and encouragement.

I would like to thank Dr. Eman Hassan Draz, Lecturer of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her care and support.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to Prof. Dr. Aliaa Adel Ali, Lecturer of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Cairo University, for her supervision, kind care, support, and honest advice.

I always feel grateful to all the Pulmonology Unit Staff for their help and cooperation.

Also I wish to thank my mother, my family, especially my nephew Islam Abdel-monem for their continuous support in life and in work.

Last but not least I would like to express many thanks to the patients who were involved in the study, and their parents. This work would have never been accomplished without them.

List of Abbreviations

ABD:	Abdomen
ACQ:	Asthma control questionnaire
ACT:	Asthma control test
AHR:	Airway hyperresponsiveness
Ar:	Aragon
ASM:	Airway smooth muscle
ATAQ:	Asthma therapy assessment questionnaire
ATS:	American thoracic society
BDR:	Bronchodilator responsiveness
BHR:	Bronchial hyperresponsiveness
BMI:	Body mass index
C-ACT:	Child-asthma control test
CDC:	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CO₂:	Carbon dioxide
Crs:	Respiratory system compliance
CV:	Coefficient of variation
eNO:	Exhaled nitric oxide
eNOS, (NOS3):	Endothelial nitric oxide synthase
ERS:	European thoracic society
ETS:	Environmental tobacco smoke
EV:	Extrapolated volume
FEF:	Peak expiratory flow rate
FENO:	Functional exhaled nitric oxide
FEV₁:	Forced expiratory volume in one second
FOT:	Forced oscillation technique
FRC:	Functional residual capacity
FVC:	Forced vital capacity
GINA:	Global initiative for asthma
He:	Helium

H₂O₂:	Hydrogen peroxide
IL-1β:	Interleukin 1β
Irs:	Inertance
kPa/L/sec:	kilo paskal/ litre/second
MDC:	Macrophage-derived chemokines
MEFVC:	Maximal expiratory flow volume curve
N₂:	Nitrogen
nNOS:	Neuronal nitric oxide synthase
NO:	Nitric oxide
O₂:	Oxygen
OFCs:	Oral food challenges
Pamb:	The ambient pressure
Pdif:	Pressure difference
PFTs:	Pulmonary function tests
PH₂O:	The pressure of water vapor at body temperature
Pinit:	Initial pressure
Pm:	Mouth pressure
Ppb:	Part per billion
RC:	Rib cage
Rint:	Interrupter resistance technique
Rrs:	Respiratory system resistance
RSV:	Respiratory syncytial virus
SBOL:	Single breath on-line measurement
SF₆:	Sulfur hexa floride
SIDS:	Sudden infant death syndrome
sRaw:	Specific airway resistance
t:	Time
TAA:	Thoraco abdominal motion asynchrony
TARC:	Thymus and activation-regulated chemokines
tE:	Total expiratory time

TGV:	Thoracic gas volume
Th2:	T helper 2 lymphocytes
TLC:	Total lung capacity
TMB:	Tetramethyl benzidine
TNF-α:	Tumour necrosis factor α
tPTEF:	Time to peak tidal expiratory flow
Trs:	Tidal time constant of the respiratory system
\dot{V}:	Flow
ΔV:	Indicates the volume (pressure) swing by child's thoracic excursion during tidal breathing in the box of plethysmography
VC:	Vital capacity
Ve:	Expired tidal volume
VEGF:	Vascular endothelial growth factor
Vpleth:	Plethysmographic volume
Vptef:	Volume at peak tidal expiratory flow
Zrs:	Impedance

List of Tables

Table No.	Item	Page
Table (1):	Factors Influencing the Development and Expression of Asthma	10
Table (2):	Classification of asthma severity by clinical feature	38
Table (3):	Levels of Asthma Control in Children 5 Years and Younger	40
Table (4):	Basic Demographic and Clinical Data of the Studied Patients	92
Table (5):	Age Distribution among the Studied Patients	93
Table (6):	Comparative Data between Failure & Success Rate of performing (Rint) as regard the Mean Age of Studied Patients	97
Table (7):	Age and Anthropometric Data according to Gender of the Studied Patients	98
Table (8):	Comparative Data between 1st & 2nd set of pre-bronchodilator resistance measurement among the studied patients	100
Table (9):	Mean values of Interrupter Resistance among Different Age Groups	102
Table (10):	Comparison between Rint pre (pre-bronchodilator) & Rint post (Post- bronchodilator) among the Forty-One Studied Patients	112
Table (11):	Comparative Data between Male & Female Patients regarding their Pre-bronchodilator Resistance, and	115

Post-bronchodilator Resistance	
Table (12): Comparative Data between Patients with different Degrees of Asthma Control Regarding their Resistance	119
Table (13): Comparison between Atopic and non Atopic Patients regarding their Resistance	120
Table (14): Comparison between Patients with High & Normal IgE regarding their Pre- bronchodilator Resistance	121
Table (15): Comparative Data between Family History of Asthma & Pre-bronchodilator Resistance of the Studied Patients	126
Table (16): Comparison between Rint pre Measurements in Relation to Family History of Atopic Manifestation	127

List of Figures

Figure No	Item	Page
Fig (1):	Asthma prevalence and mortality	6
Fig (2):	Airway inflammation and remodeling in asthma	32
Fig (3):	a 3-year-old child in the whole-body plethysmograph	48
Fig (4):	Schematic illustration of setup of a system for multiple breath washout technique	54
Fig (5):	A typical arrangement of the forced oscillatory impedance measurement	57
Fig (6):	Off-line tidal breathing using nitric oxide technique in preschool children	62
Fig (7):	Tidal expiratory flow indices	65
Fig (8):	Thoraco motion Asynchrony (phase angle analysis)	67
Fig (9):	Paradoxical breathing movements by TAA	68
Fig (10):	Unilateral diaphragmatic paralysis by TAA	69
Fig (11):	Schematic description of the pressure–time curve of interrupter resistance technique	72
Fig (12):	Schematic picture of the equipment used for the interrupter technique	73
Fig (13):	Measurements of baseline interrupter resistance and bronchodilator responsiveness in kPa/L/s	75
Fig (14):	Measurement conditions of Rint: position of the child and the operator's hands during the test	76
Fig (15):	Illustration showed the interrupter resistance technique	84
Fig (16):	Mouth pressure (Pmo)-time curve.a) Acceptable; and b) unacceptable	86
Fig (17):	Age Distribution among the studied patients	93
Fig (18):	Sex Distribution among the studied patients	94
Fig (19):	Sex Distribution according to Mean Age of the Studied Patients	95
Fig (20):	Distribution of patients according to success and	

failure of performing Rint	95
Fig (21): Patients Distribution in Groups according to Age (month) in Failure and Success of Performing the Rint	96
Fig (22): Success of performing of Rint among different age group	97
Fig (23): Distribution of success & failure among male and female patients	99
Fig (24): Comparison between Rint pre1 & Rint pre2 among the studied patients	101
Fig (25): Correlation between age and Rint among the 41 studied patients	103
Fig (26): Correlation between height and Rint among the 41 studied patients	104
Fig (27): Correlation of weight and Rint among the 41 studied patients	105
Fig (28): Correlation of Age with Rint Measurements among the Studied Twenty Patients Who Performed Two Sets of Pre-bronchodilator Measurements	106
Fig (29): Height Correlation among the Studied Patients with their Rint Who Performed Two Sets of Pre-bronchodilator Resistance Measurements	107
Fig (30): Correlation between Weight and Rint pre among the Twenty Patients Who had Performed Rint pre Twice	108
Fig (31): Comparison of 1 st pre-bronchodilator resistance (Rint pre1) among studied patients as regard their Gender	109
Fig (32): Comparative Data between 1 st Pre-bronchodilator (Rint pre1) & 2 nd Pre-bronchodilator Resistance (Rint pre2) among Female Patients	110
Fig (33): Comparative Data between 1 st Pre-bronchodilator Resistance (Rint pre 1) & 2 nd Pre-bronchodilator Resistance (Rint pre 2) among Male Patients	111
Fig (34): Comparison between pre-bronchodilator and post-bronchodilator resistance among the 41 studied patients	113

Fig (35): Comparison between Rint pre and Rint post among the Thirty-Two Studied patients who had Decreased Resistance after Bronchodilator administration	114
Fig (36): Comparison between pre and post-bronchodilator resistance among female Patients	116
Fig (37): Comparison between pre-bronchodilator and post-bronchodilator resistance among male patients	117
Fig (38): Distribution of Patients regarding their Degree of Asthma Control	118
Fig (39): Comparison between Patients with Normal & High IgE regarding their Pre-bronchodilator Resistance (Rint pre)	121
Fig (40): Correlation between IgE and pre-bronchodilator resistance (Rint pre) results among the studied patients	122
Fig (41): Comparison between atopic and non atopic patients according to IgE level (lu/MI)	123
Fig (42): Comparison between patients with high and normal eosinophil count regarding their resistance	124
Fig (43): Correlation between eosinophil level and resistance among the studied patients	125
Fig (44): Comparison between Atopic and non Atopic Patients regarding to Eosinophil Count	126

Contents

	Page
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	4
Review of Literature	5
Bronchial Asthma in Children	5
Pulmonary Function Tests in Preschool Children	44
Patients and Methods	78
Results	92
Discussion	128
Summary	151
Conclusions	155
Recommendations	158
References	160
Arabic Summary	

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of pediatric asthma is increasing in most countries. Pulmonary function tests (PFTs) are used to diagnose and determine asthma severity, along with clinical symptoms and medication requirements (*Beydon et al, 2003*).

Long-term cohort studies have established that PFT results in children with asthma are correlated with asthma severity and with pulmonary function impairment in adulthood (*Rasmussen et al, 2002*).

Normal lung function is one of the goals of asthma management in international guidelines (*British Thoracic Society, 2003*).

Forced expiratory maneuvers are used in school children and in adults. However, preschool children may be too young to perform acceptable and reproducible forced expiratory maneuvers (*Vilozni et al, 2001*).

The realisation that insults to the developing lung may have life-long effects and that much of the burden of respiratory disease in childhood and later life has its origins in infancy and early childhood has emphasized the need to develop and standardise sensitive methods of assessing respiratory function in infants and young children (*Frey et al, 2000 (a) and Stocks et al, 2000*).

It is difficult to obtain reliable lung function testing in preschool children because little cooperation and coordination can be expected at this age. Spirometric reference values for children

have been obtained in children as young as 5 years of age but reliable measurements are often not feasible (*Peter et al, 2001*).

In recent years, PFTs that do not require active cooperation, such as the interrupter resistance technique or forced oscillation technique, have been evaluated for estimating airflow resistance in healthy (*Lombardi et al, 2001 and Malmberg et al, 2002*) and in preschool children who have asthma or who are wheezing (*Nielsen et al, 2001 and Delacourt et al, 2001a*).

The measurement of airway resistance by the interrupter method (Rint) has been developed by a number of groups for clinical use and as a research tool. The method is particularly suitable for subjects who cannot undertake standard lung function tests, such as preschool children and school children who are unable to undertake spirometry (*Bridge et al, 1999 & Bridge and McKenzie, 2001a*).

The ability to monitor the progress of lung disease and to measure the effect of therapeutic intervention in these groups is quite limited (*McKenzie et al, 2002*). Much is already known about the technical aspects of the measurement of Rint, and its repeatability in young children (*McKenzie et al, 2001a*).

The interrupter technique is a non invasive simple technique for estimating flow resistance, an important determinant of lung function, especially in children too young to accomplish forced respiratory maneuvers in a reproducible manner. The interrupter technique is easy to use in young children. Several recent studies used interrupter resistance (Rint) measurements in wheezy and / or

asthmatic young children, particularly for testing bronchoreactivity (*McKenzie et al, 2000 & Beydon et al, 2001*).

Modern applications of the technique have been evaluated (*Phagoo et al, 1996*), and it has been demonstrated that within-subject variability of Rint measurements is sufficiently small to study the response to bronchoconstricting (*Phagoo et al, 1996*) and bronchodilating agents in young children (*Bridge et al, 1999*).