

***Comparative Study between Contrast
Enema Findings and Rectal Biopsy in the
Diagnosis of Hirschsprung's Disease***

Thesis

**Submitted for partial fulfillment of Master Degree in
General Surgery**

By

**Mahmoud Marei Abdelaziz
(M.B., B.Ch.)**

Supervisors

Dr. Montasser M. Elkottbi
Professor of General and Pediatric Surgery
Cairo University

Dr. Hesham Mohamed Elsaket
Professor of General and Pediatric Surgery
Cairo University

Dr. Ayman Hussien Abdelsattar
Lecturer of General and Pediatric Surgery
Cairo University

***Faculty of Medicine
Cairo University***

2010

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*My deepest gratitude is to Prof. Dr. **Montasser Elkottbi**, Professor of Pediatric and General Surgery and Head of the Pediatric Surgery Department, Cairo University. It was through his guidance and support that this work was achieved. He spared no effort for providing supervision, help, guidance and support throughout this work.*

*I am profoundly grateful to Prof. Dr. **Hesham Elsaket**, Professor of General and Pediatric Surgery, Cairo University for his great assistance and sincere help.*

*Also, I would like to express my special thanks to Dr. **Ayman Hussien Abdelsattar**, Lecturer of General and Pediatric Surgery, Cairo University for his sincere efforts to accomplish this work.*

I am profoundly indebted to all the members of the department of pediatric surgery, Cairo University; to all my professors, mentors and colleagues for their continuous support and encouragement.

DEDICATION

***This work is dedicated with affection to my
Family...***

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|-------|---|
| AChE | : Acetylcholine esterase enzyme |
| BE | : Barium enema |
| CAM | : Cellular Adhesion Molecular |
| CE | : Contrast enema |
| DPM | : Delayed passage of meconium |
| ECM | : Extracellular Matrix |
| EDN | : Endothelins |
| ENS | : Enteric nervous system |
| GDNF | : Glial-derived neurotropic factor |
| GFR | : Glycosyl-phosphatidyl-inositol-anchored binding component |
| HD | : Hirschsprung's disease |
| IASNA | : Internal Anal Sphincter Neurogenic Achalasia |
| IC | : Idiopathic constipation |
| ICCs | : Interstitial cells of Cajal |
| ID | : Intestinal dysganglionosis |
| IND | : Intestinal neuronal dysplasia |
| MEN | : Multiple endocrinal neoplasia syndrome |
| NANC | : Nonadrenergic noncholinergic autonomic nerves |
| NC | : Neural crest |
| NO | : Nitric oxide |
| NTN | : Neurturin |
| UHD | : Ultrashort segment Hirschsprung's disease |
| WSCE | : Water-soluble contrast enema |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Fig. | Title | Page |
|-------------|--|-------------|
| | | |
| 1 | GDNF-Ret receptor signaling system | 11 |
| 2 | Potential Mechanisms for the Pathogenesis of Hirschsprung's disease | 12 |
| 3 | Overview of the Parts of the Colon | 17 |
| 4 | The sigmoid colon | 21 |
| 5 | The Anal Canal | 22 |
| 6 | The Spaces and Fasciae around the Anus and Rectum | 24 |
| 7 | Lining of the Surgical Anal Canal | 26 |
| 8 | Diagram of the Pelvic Diaphragm from below | 27 |
| 9 | Neural Control of the Gut Wall | 29 |
| 10 | Innervation of the Colon and Rectum | 31 |
| 11 | Diagrammatic cross-sections of the wall of the small intestine and the large intestine | 34 |
| 12 | The Extrinsic Innervation of the Large Intestine | 36 |
| 13 | Microstructure of the large intestinal smooth muscle cell | 40 |
| 14 | Radiological findings of Hirschsprung's disease | 61 |
| 15 | Nonspecific findings in contrast enema | 62 |
| 16 | Positioning and Specimen Collection of Rectal Biopsy | 68 |
| 17 | Histopathology of Hirschsprung's disease | 68 |
| 18 | Acetylcholinesterase (AChE) reaction in a suction biopsy of rectal mucosa | 69 |
| 19 | Swenson's Procedure | 85 |
| 20 | Port placement in laparoscopic management of Hirschsprung's disease | 88 |
| 21 | Mean age at diagnosis (in days) of the studied groups | 105 |
| 22 | Age at diagnosis of the studied groups (in days) | 106 |
| 23 | Delivery mode in the studied groups | 107 |
| 24 | Maturity in the studied groups | 107 |
| 25 | Consanguinity in the studied groups | 109 |
| 26 | Clinical presentations in the studied groups | 110 |

| Fig. | Title | Page |
|-------------|--|-------------|
| | | |
| 27 | Intestinal obstruction, incidence in the control group | 111 |
| 28 | Intestinal obstruction, incidence in the patient group | 112 |
| 29 | Preoperative Enterocolitis in the studied groups | 113 |
| 30 | General examination in the control group | 114 |
| 31 | General examination in patient group | 114 |
| 32 | Abdominal distension in control group | 115 |
| 33 | Abdominal distension in patient group | 115 |
| 34 | Rectal examination in the control group | 116 |
| 35 | Rectal examination in the patient group | 116 |
| 36 | Radiological examinations in the studied groups | 117 |
| 37 | Radiological examinations in the studied groups | 118 |
| 38 | Level of aganglionosis in patient group | 119 |
| 39 | Accuracy of diagnosis in control group | 120 |
| 40 | Accuracy of diagnosis in patient group | 120 |
| 41 | Type of surgery in patient group | 121 |
| 42 | Postoperative complications in the patient group | 123 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Title | Page |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| | | |
| 1 | Changes in lining of the surgical anal canal at the pectinate line | 26 |
| 2 | Comparison of the level of aganglionosis in the largest six published series | 52 |
| 3 | Sensitivity and Specificity of the various noninvasive diagnostic clinical parameters | 58 |
| 4 | Sensitivity and Specificity of each of the studied radiological signs in contrast enema | 63 |
| 5 | Diagnostic Scoring System | 63 |
| 6 | Mean age at diagnosis of the studied groups (in days) | 104 |
| 7 | Age at diagnosis of the studied groups (in days) | 105 |
| 8 | Sex distribution in the studied groups | 106 |
| 9 | Mean age at operation (months) of the studied groups | 106 |
| 10 | Perinatal history in the studied groups | 107 |
| 11 | Perinatal history in the studied groups | 108 |
| 12 | Consanguinity in the studied groups | 108 |
| 13 | Clinical presentations in the studied groups | 109 |
| 14 | Mean age (in days) for intestinal obstruction in the patient group | 110 |
| 15 | Intestinal obstruction. incidence in the studied groups | 111 |
| 16 | Preoperative Enterocolitis in the studied groups | 112 |
| 17 | Postoperative Enterocolitis in the patient group | 112 |
| 18 | General examination in the studied groups | 113 |
| 19 | Abdominal examination in the studied groups | 114 |
| 20 | Rectal examination in the control group | 115 |
| 21 | Rectal examination in the patient group | 116 |
| 22 | Radiological examinations in the studied groups | 117 |
| 23 | Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of the radiological examination in patient group | 118 |
| 24 | Level of aganglionosis in patient group | 118 |
| 25 | Accuracy of diagnosis in the studied groups | 119 |
| | | |

| Table | Title | Page |
|--------------|--|-------------|
| | | |
| 26 | Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive and Negative predictive values of the accuracy of radiological examination in patient group | 119 |
| 27 | Type of surgery in patient group | 120 |
| 28 | Histopathology in the studied groups | 121 |
| 29 | Histopathology in the patient group | 121 |
| 30 | Sensitivity and Specificity of histopathology in studied groups | 122 |
| 31 | Postoperative complications in the patient group (n= 26) | 122 |
| 32 | Anorectal manometry in the studied groups | 123 |

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-----------|
| Acknowledgement | |
| List of Abbreviations | |
| List of Figures | |
| List of Tables | |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Aim of the Work | 4 |
| Review of Literature: | |
| • Incidence | 5 |
| • Normal Embryology of Enteric Nervous System (ENS) | 6 |
| • Molecular Genetics | 9 |
| • Genetic Counseling | 15 |
| • Anatomy of the Large Intestine and Anorectum: | 17 |
| ▪ Parts of the large intestine | 17 |
| ▪ The Anorectum | 21 |
| ▪ Pelvic diaphragm and continence | 27 |
| ▪ Nerve supply of the large intestine | 28 |
| • Histology of the Large Intestine and Anorectum | 37 |
| • Physiology of the Large Intestine: | 41 |
| ▪ Colonic motility | 41 |
| ▪ Electrical activity of the large intestine | 42 |
| ▪ Factors affecting motility | 44 |
| ▪ Motility of the anorectum | 46 |
| • Pathology of Hirschsprung's Disease: | 49 |
| ▪ Pathophysiology of Hirschsprung's disease | 49 |
| ▪ Pathogenesis of enteric neurocristopathies | 50 |
| ▪ Classic pathology of Hirschsprung's disease | 51 |

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| | |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Enterocolitis complicating Hirschsprung's disease | 53 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnosis of Hirschsprung's Disease: | 56 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Clinical presentations | 56 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Diagnostic radiology | 60 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Rectal biopsy | 65 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Anorectal manometry | 72 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Variants of Hirschsprung's disease and other dysmotility disorders | 73 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Idiopathic constipation | 81 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Congenital anomalies associated with Hirschsprung's disease | 84 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Treatment: | 85 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Operative treatment of Hirschsprung's disease | 85 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Postoperative issues, long-term effects on quality of life | 90 |
| Patients and Methods | 92 |
| Results | 104 |
| Discussion | 124 |
| Summary and Conclusion | 132 |
| References | 133 |
| Arabic Summary | |

Abstract

The diagnosis of Hirschsprung's disease presents a clinical challenge to the pediatric surgeon. Hirschsprung's disease should be considered in any child who has a history of constipation dating back to the newborn period.

In our study the results of the contrast enema are well correlated and comparable to the rectal biopsy in the diagnosis of Hirschsprung's disease.

As barium enema is a noninvasive diagnostic tool, the presence of strongly positive enema findings associated with classic clinical presentation is considered in the author's point of view sufficient evidence to operate.

In cases of obstipant constipation and inconclusive contrast enema findings, thorough and careful medical management should be initially attempted, if failed; rectal biopsy by an experienced pediatric surgeon is indicated.

Key Word

Comparative Study between Contrast Enema Findings and Rectal Biopsy in the Diagnosis of Hirschsprung's Disease

INTRODUCTION AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Herald Hirschsprung, senior pediatrician at the Queen Louise Children's Hospital in Copenhagen, presented the classic description of the disease that now carries his name to the Pediatric Congress in Berlin in 1886 [1]. He described two children with the classic clinical and anatomic characteristics of the disease. The children died at 7 and 11 months of age from what appeared to be repeated bouts of enterocolitis. Subsequently, several case reports were presented, including 10 additional children described by Hirschsprung in 1904; he called the disease congenital dilatation of the colon [2].

An understanding of the pathogenesis of Hirschsprung's disease took several more decades. Three basic theories were advanced. The first was the "malfunction theory" which stated that the hypertrophied colon was the primary congenital defect. The second was the "obstructive theory" which attributed the dilated colon to a mechanical blockage caused by redundancies of the colon or rectal valves. The "spastic theory," which posited that the distal colon was in spastic contraction, causing a functional obstruction, was initially put forward by Fenwick in 1900 [3].

An appreciation that the distal colon was the actual abnormality was initially advanced by Tittel in 1901; he identified an absence of ganglion cells in the distal colon of a child with Hirschsprung's disease [4].

Ehrenpreis in 1946 was the first to appreciate that the colon became secondarily dilated due to the more distal obstruction. In 1948 both Whitehouse and Kernohan and Zuelzer and Wilson definitively documented the absence of ganglion cells of the myenteric plexus in patients with Hirschsprung's disease. The first corrective surgery for

Hirschsprung's disease was performed by Swenson and Bill in 1948; a historical perspective of the cause and treatment of this disease was then published by Swenson [5].

In the presence of the world's most recognized investigators of this issue, controversies concerning diagnostic guidelines for anomalies of the enteric nervous system were discussed in the Fourth International Symposium on Hirschsprung's disease and related neurocristopathies, held in Sestri Levante in Italy in April, 2004. It was agreed that Intestinal Dysganglionoses (IDs) represent a heterogeneous group of Enteric Nervous System anomalies including Hirschsprung's disease (HD), Intestinal Neuronal Dysplasia (IND), Internal Anal Sphincter Neurogenic Achalasia (IASNA) and Hypoganglionosis. At present HD is the only recognized clinico-pathological entity, whereas the others are not yet worldwide accepted or diagnosed [6].

The most important diagnostic features of HD are the combination of hypertrophic nerve trunks and aganglionosis in adequate specimens. Acetylcholinesterase staining is the best diagnostic technique to demonstrate hypertrophic nerve trunks in lamina propria mucosae, but many pathologists from different centers still use H&E. staining effectively. Although it is not clear whether IND is a separate entity or some sort of secondary acquired condition, it is concluded that both IND and IASNA do exist. "Are they true congenital malformations or acquired phenomena?" This was the major debate that was raised regarding the origins of these anomalies [6].

Although more than 70 diagnostic, enzymatic-Histochemical and immunohistochemical staining techniques, have been proposed for enteric nervous system evaluation. Most of the hospital facilities cannot afford the adoption of expensive and sophisticated staining techniques on a daily

routine basis. Therefore these hospitals use nonspecific histomorphological staining techniques such as H&E. European and Asian investigators routinely use AChE to diagnose HD, whereas the American counterparts think that H&E is more user friendly, cheaper, and more reliable for the diagnosis of HD [7].

There is an agreement that anorectal manometry is unnecessary to diagnose Hirschsprung's disease, yet some still use manometry as an adjunct to help the diagnosis or as a study complement. Anorectal manometry is more useful in the diagnostic workup of Internal Anal Sphincter Achalasia rather than Hirschsprung's Disease. Barium enema is the most important radiographic study, either to diagnose Hirschsprung's disease or in selecting the operative approach [6].

The diagnosis of Hirschsprung's disease (HD) should take place early in the neonatal period, because without an effective diagnosis and appropriate treatment, a considerable proportion of infants will develop serious complications, such as acute enterocolitis or toxic megacolon [8].

Because no more than 10% of HD cases have a late presentation with classical chronic constipation and megacolon, the clinician has to make difficult, early diagnosis, which is the crux of the clinical problem [8].

The aim of this review is to present all tools currently available to a clear diagnosis and to discuss the problems facing the clinician and the pediatric surgeon in the correct identification of Hirschsprung's disease.

AIM OF THE WORK

The aim of this work is to study the accuracy of contrast enema in the diagnosis of Hirschsprung's disease comparing it with the results of rectal biopsy which represents the gold standard.