

Analysis of Smile Characteristics among the Egyptian Population.

A
Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry
Ain Shams University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for
Master's Degree in Orthodontics.

By
**Riham Mahmoud Abd EL-Hai,
B.D.S. (2001).**

Faculty of Dentistry.
Ain-Shams University.

2010

SUPERVISORS.

Dr. Hamdy Hafez El Zahed

Professor of Orthodontics

Vice Dean of Social and

Environmental affairs

Faculty of Dentistry,

Ain Shams University

Dr. Islam Tarek Hassan

Lecturer of Orthodontics,

Faculty of Dentistry,

Ain Shams University

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم
ربنا آتنا من لدنك رحمة و
هيئ لنا من أمرنا رشدا
صدق الله العظيم

الآية (10) من

سورة الكهف.

Acknowledgment.

*I would like to express my deep gratitude and appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Hamdy Hafez El Zahed**, Professor of Orthodontics and Vice Dean of Social and Environmental Affairs, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, for his dedicated supervision and help without which this work would not had been accomplished. His valuable remarks and comments largely enriched this work.*

*My deepest thanks and sincere gratitude go also to **Dr. Islam Tarek Hassan**, Lecturer of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University, who has potentially guided my work on the subject matter of this thesis. His continuous guidance and remarks were of great help to me.*

*I'd like also to express my great appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Khaled M. Fawzy**, Professor of Orthodontics and Chairman of the Orthodontic and Pediatric Dentistry Department , Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University and **Prof. Dr. Noha E. Sabet**, Professor of Orthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for their continuous guidance and encouragement.*

*My profound gratitude to **Prof. Dr. Tarek Amira**, Professor of statistics, Faculty of Economics and Political Science, Cairo University for his valuable help and support in this work.*

Last but not least, my most sincere gratitude is expressed to my colleagues and all staff members of Orthodontic Department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for their support, encouragement and cooperation over years.

Dedication.

To my mother; for your endless love, support and giving.

***To my father; for your support, guidance, encouragement
and every thing you have taught me.***

***To my beloved husband; for your continuous support and
patience without which nothing would have been possible.***

***To my little angles; Malak & Omar for making my life
meaningful.***

And...To my dear brother.

LIST OF CONTENTS.

LIST OF CONTENTS.....	i
LIST OF FIGURES	ii
LIST OF TABLES.....	v
INTRODUCT.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
AIM OF THE STUDY	24
MATERIAL AND METHODS.....	25
RESULTS	50
DISCUSSION.....	73
SUMMARY	79
CONCLUSIONS	80
RECOMMENDATIONS	81
APPENDIX I	82
APPENDIX II	84
REFERENCES	86
ARABIC SUMMARY	

LIST OF FIGURES.

FIGURE NUMBER	FIGURE TITLE	PAG E NUM BER
Figure 1	Extra-oral photographs; A, frontal at rest, B; frontal with posed smile, and C; oblique (45°) with posed smile.	27
figure 2	Frontal intra-oral photograph with teeth in occlusion.	28
Figure 3	Kodak Easyshare Z1015 IS digital camera	29
Figure 4	Standardization of the photographic technique.	32
Figure 5	A Template that was created to standardize the size and location of each image.	34
Figure 6	Smile arc. A; parallel, B; flat, and C; reversed.	36
Figure 7	The Smile Height. A; low smile line, B; average smile line, C; high smile line.	38
Figure 8	Measuring the buccal corridor and smile fullness.	41

Figure 9	Buccal Corridor. A; narrow, B; medium narrow, C; medium, D; medium broad, and E; broad.	42
Figure 10	Smile Index..	43
Figure 11	Maxillary central incisor height / width measurement.	45
Figure 12	VAS, Smile evaluation chart.	47
Figure 13	A pie chart showing the distribution of the Smile Arc.	53
Figure 14	Distribution of the Smile Arc in males and females.	54
Figure 15	A pie chart showing the distribution of the smile Line.	55
Figure 16	A bar chart showing males and females frequency distribution of the Smile Line.	
Figure 17	A pie chart showing the distribution of the Symmetry of the Smile.	57
Figure 18	Males-females frequency distribution of the Symmetry of the Smile.	58

Figure 19	Males and Females frequency distribution of the Dental Midline.	60
Figure 20	Buccal corridor distribution of the total sample.	62

LIST OF TABLES.

Table number	Table title.	Page.
Table 1	Frequency distribution of the smile arc of the total sample.	52
Table 2	Frequency distribution of the smile line.	55
Table 3	Frequency distribution of the symmetry of the smile.	57
Table 4	Frequency distribution of the dental mid-line.	59
Table 5	The means, ranges, and standard deviations of the quantitative variables.	61
Table 6	Bi-gender comparison of means, ranges, and standard deviations of the smile index.	63
Table 7	Bi-gender comparison of means, ranges, and standard deviations of buccal corridor.	64
Table 8	Bi-gender comparison of means, ranges, and standard deviations of tooth proportions.	65
Table 9	Correlations between the buccal corridor, smile index, and tooth proportion.	66
Table 10	Correlations between variables of female sub-samples.	67
Table 11	Correlations between variables of male sub-samples.	69
Table 12	Descriptive statistics of the total sample	70
Table 13	Descriptive statistics of male and female sub-samples	71
Table 14	Comparison of the mean values for laypersons and professionals.	72
Table 15	Comparison of the mean values for the dentists and orthodontists.	72

INTRODUCTION.

Optimal facial esthetics is one of the principal objectives in orthodontic treatment; an individual dentofacial appearance influences facial attraction and relationships¹⁻³. In this context orthodontic treatment enables individuals with dental abnormalities to improve their dentofacial esthetic pattern.

Although orthodontic treatment is based primarily on occlusal relationships; greater attention is now paid to enhancing dentofacial characteristics to produce optimal facial esthetics. Recently, orthodontics has experienced a “paradigm shift” to focus more on esthetics, with specific emphasis on the soft tissue.

Smile, defined as a facial expression characterized by upward curving of the corners of the mouth, is often used to indicate pleasure, amusement, or derision. The smile also influences a person’s perceived attractiveness and is the cornerstone of social interaction. The reemergence of the soft-tissue paradigm in clinical orthodontics⁴ has made smile analysis and designing key elements in diagnosis and treatment planning. Much attention is given in clinical examination to the display zone of smile, which is determined by lip thickness, inter-commissural width, inter-labial gap, and smile index⁵.

The perception of esthetics varies from person to person and is influenced by personal experiences and social environments⁶. There can be differences of opinion regarding beauty between

laypersons and professionals⁷. Recent studies also confirmed that there is a difference in esthetic perceptions between orthodontists, general dentists, and laypersons⁸⁻¹⁰.

It was suggested that to meet demands of treating all dimensions in orthodontics, our records must provide the information and documentation required in the new soft tissue-dominated treatment planning regimen. Facial and smile photographs are important diagnostic tools for smile assessment. Many clinicians tried to standardize the technique of photography to optimize the reproducibility and comparability of the facial and smile photographs, to be used in the analyses of smile characteristics.

Despite the large number of studies that analyzed smile from different aspects; and defined various norms in that issue; none was applied to the Egyptian population. From here came the idea of this study to explore the smile features among the Egyptian population, in an attempt to help considering smile design while planning treatment as well as other dental and soft tissue objectives.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE.

The subject of smile as it relates to communication and expression of emotion should greatly interest orthodontists. Incorporating morphometric data for smile characteristics helps quantifying the treatment plan and reducing the subjectivity in orthodontists' assessment of smile.

For sake of clarification light will be shed upon the following topics in this review of literature.

- A. Smile classification
- B. Smile characteristics.
- C. Photography.

A. Smile classification.

The smile can be classified into unposed, and posed smile. The unposed smile is involuntary (i.e. not obligatory) and is induced by joy or mirth. It is dynamic in the sense that it bursts forth but is not sustained. All the muscles of facial expressions are recruited in the process, causing a pronounced deepening of the naso-labial folds, and squinting of the eyes.

A posed smile is voluntary and need not be elicited or accompanied by emotion. It is static in the sense that it can be sustained, natural, and it is agreed that the reproducibility of the posed smile is good¹¹. The posed smile can be either strained "forced" where there is maximal upper lip elevation or unstrained which is voluntary, static, yet natural smile¹².

B. Smile characteristics.

In 1992, Sheldon Peck, Leena Peck, and Matti Kataja¹² performed a study to elucidate quantitatively upper lip-tooth-jaw relativity in the vertical dimension. Values for five linear dentolabial measurements were generated from male ($n = 42$) and female ($n = 46$) reference samples. In addition, three vertical skeletofacial dimensions and two vertical dental dimensions were recorded. A significant sexual dimorphism was found in the vertical lip-tooth-jaw relationship: the upper lip of the female subjects was positioned on average 1.5 mm more superiorly at maximum smile than the upper lip of the male subjects ($p < 0.01$). High smile lines appeared to be a female lineament, and low smile lines appeared to be a male lineament.

There was a significant sex difference in upper lip length: the male subjects exhibited a longer upper lip than the female subjects ($p < 0.001$). The mean difference was 2.2 mm. A similarly significant male-female difference was seen in the skeletal maxillary height measurement: the male sample showed a 2.2 mm mean vertical maxillary increase over the female sample ($p < 0.001$). Furthermore, a significant difference was found between the clinical crown height of the maxillary central incisors in the male and female subjects of comparable ages: the male group had longer central incisor crowns ($p < 0.01$).

G. William Arnett and Robert T. Bergman, in 1993¹³, stated that when examining the smile posture, different lip elevations are observed in normal and abnormal skeletal patterns. Ideal exposure