

" قالواسبحانك لا علم لنا الا ما علمتنا الك أنك أنك العليم المكيم

الأبية ٣٢ سورة البقرة

Comparative Evaluation Of Sealing Ability and Adaptability Of Two Resin Based Root Canal Sealers Using Laser Versus Recent Irrigating Solutions As A Preconditioning Systems

(An in vitro study)

Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University, In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of
the Master Degree in Endodontics

BY

Mohamed Fawzy El Husseiny

(B.D.S 2001)

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University
2009

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Medhat Abd-Al Rahman kataia

Professor and Head of Endodontic Department
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine
Cairo University

Dr. Latifa Mohamed Abd El Gawad

Lecturer of Dentistry

National Institute of Laser Enhanced Science (NILES)

Cairo University

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks to "ALLAH" who granted me the ability to perform the work of this thesis.

All my thankfulness and grateful appreciation to Dr. Medhat kataia Professor, Head of Endodontic Department, Faculty of oral and dental medicine Cairo University, for his supervision, support and guidance during this study.

I'm greatly giving my deep gratitude to Dr. Latifa Mohamed Abd El Gawad, Lecturer of dentistry, national institute of laser enhanced science (NILES), Cairo University, for her full support and unlimited help.

And also thanks all the staff members of the Endodontic Dept., Faculty of dentistry, Cairo University, for their help and support.

Dedicated to

My parents, brother and sisters for their unlimited love and care.

Content

Page

Content	I
List of Abbreviation	III
List of tables	IV
List of figures	V
1-Introduction	1
2-Review of literature	3
<u>2.1</u> - Different Methods for Dentin preconditioning	3
2.1 a - Smear layer removal	3
2.1 b - Preconditioning of dentin using laser	7
2.1bi-Laser physics	8 11
2.1 c -Preconditioning of dentin using MTAD	16
2.2-Adaptability and Sealability of resin based root canal	sealers25
2.3-Methods of evaluation of microleakage	41
3-Aim of the study	45
4-Material and Methods	46
4.1-MATERIAls.	
4 <u>.2</u> -METHODS	
4.2a- Selection of samples	50
4.2b- Teeth preparation	50
<u>4.3</u> -Classification of samples	
4.4-Dentin Preconditioning:	
4.4a-Dentin preconditioning using NaOC1	
4.4b-Dentin preconditioning using MTAD	
4.4c- Dentin preconditioning using Laser	
4.5-Root canal obturation	55

4.5a- Division B1. (Using AH plus sealer)	55
4.5b-Division B2. (Using EndoRez sealer)	
<u>4.6</u> - Storage Time	56
4.7-Evaluation of different lines of treatment	
4.7a- Evaluation of Dentin preconditioning using Scanning electron microscope (SEM)	57
4.7b-Evaluation of sealing ability by Dye Penetration method 4.7c- Evaluation of root filling adaptation using Scanning	
electron microscope (SEM)	59
5-Result	61
<u>5.1</u> -Evaluation of Dentin Preconditioning	61
<u>5.2</u> -Results of linear dye penetration	66
<u>5.3</u> -Results of scanning electron microscope	86
6-Discussion.	88
7-Summary	96
8-Conclusion,	99
9-Recommendations	.100
10-References	.101
Arabic summary	

List of Abbreviation

CO2......Carbon dioxide CHX......Chlorhexidine Cr: YSGGChromium Yttrium Scandium Gallium Garnet CRCS......Calciobiotic root canal sealer EDTA..... Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic acid EDTAC..... Ethylene Diamine Tetra Acetic acid Cetavlon Er: YAG Erbium Yttrium Aluminum Garnet GP...... Gutta-Percha GT.....Greater Taper H2O.....Water H2O2.....Hydrogen peroxide He-NeHelium/Neon Ho: YAG......Holmium Yttrium Aluminum Garnet KTPPotassium Titanyl phosphate MAF......Master apical file NaOCl.....Sodium hypochlorite Nd: YAG......Neodyrnium Yttrium Aluminum Garnet Nd: YAP......Neodymium Yttrium Aluminum Perovskite PCS.....Pulp canal sealer SEM.....Scanning electron microscope UDMA......Urethane dimethacrylate ZOE.....Zinc-oxide-eugenol

List of Tables

Pag	,e
Table (1): root canal instruments and filling materials	5
Table (2): Minimum, maximum and mean of the dye leakage penetration in NaOCl, MTAD and Nd: YAG laser in AH plus group at a different Observation periods	'0
Table (3): Means, standard deviations and results of ANOVA and Tukey's tests for comparing dye leakage penetration with different Groups in <i>AH plus</i> at a different observation periods	1
Table (4): Minimum, maximum and mean of the dye leakage penetration in NaOCl, MTAD and Nd: YAG laser in <i>EndoRez</i> group at a different observation periods.	76
Table (5): Means, standard deviations and results of ANOVA and Tukey's Tests for comparing dye leakage penetration with different groups in <i>EndoRez</i> at a different observation periods	77
Table (6): Means, standard deviations and results of ANOVA and Tukey's Tests for comparing dye leakage penetration in NaOCl in AH plus and EndoRez groups at a different observation periods	2
Table (7): Means, standard deviations and results of ANOVA and Tukey's Tests for comparing dye leakage penetration in MTAD in AH plus and EndoRez groups at a different observation periods.	33
Table (8): Means, standard deviations and results of ANOVA and Tukey's Tests for comparing dye leakage penetration in <i>Nd: YAG laser</i> in AH plus And EndoRez groups at a different observation periods	34

List of Figures

Figure	Page
Fig.(1): Schematic diagram of the essential components of a laser	9
Fig.(2): comparison of a laser and ordinary light source	10
Fig.(3): Laser tissue interactions	12
Fig.(4):A photograph of EndoRez sealer kit	47
Fig.(5): A photograph of AH plus sealer	48
Fig.(6): A photograph of BioPure MTAD root canal irrigant	48
Fig(7):photograph of Nd:YAG laser device	49
Fig.(8): Grouping of teeth	53
Fig.(9): A photograph of the laser tequique.irradiation of the root canal in	
continuous circular motion from apical to coronal end	55
Fig.(10) : A photograph of the stereomicroscope (Olympus- SZ-PT Japan) attached by CCD digital camera (DP10, Olympus-Japan)	58
Fig.(11): A photograph of the Scanning electron microscope	59
Fig.(12): SEM(X2500) photograph of longitudinal section of root irrigated with 2.6%NaOCl.	62

Fig.(13): SEM(X2500) photograph of longitudinal section of root
Irrigated with 2.6% NaOCl a final rinse of MTAD
Fig. (14) . SEM(X2500) photograph of longitudinal section of root irrigated with 2.6%NaOCl a final rinse of MTAD
Fig. (15). SEM(X2500) photograph of longitudinal section of root irradiated Nd:YAG laser
Fig.(16): A photograph showing dye leakage in subgroup (I B1b)69
Fig.(17): A photograph showing dye leakage in subgroup (II B1b)69
Fig.(18): A photograph showing dye leakage in subgroup (III B1b)70
Fig.(19): Means of linear dye penetration in NaOCl, MTAD and Nd: YAG laser in <i>AH plus</i> group at a different observation periods
Fig.(20): A photograph showing dye leakage in subgroup (I B2b)
Fig.(21): A photograph showing dye leakage in subgroup (II B2b)
Fig.(22): A photograph showing dye leakage in subgroup (III B2b) Using Nd: YAG laser for preconditioning, and EndoRez sealer for obturation
Fig.(23) . Means of linear dye penetration in NaOCl, MTAD and Nd:YAG laser in <i>EndoRez</i> group at a different observation periods
Fig.(24): Means of linear dye penetration in <i>NaOCl</i> in AH plus and EndoRez groups at a different observation periods
Fig. (25): Means of linear dye penetration in <i>MTAD</i> in AH plus and EndoRez groups at a different observation periods
Fig.(26) : Means of linear dye penetration in <i>Nd:YAG laser</i> in AH plus and EndoRez groups at a different observation periods

Fig. (27). scanning-electron-photograph of subgroup(B1a) showing excellent
adaptation of gutta-percha to dentin86
Fig.(28). scanning-electron-photograph of subdivision (B1a) showing excellent
adaptation of gutta-percha to dentin. AH plus sealer in between the gutta-percha and the
dentin86
Fig.(29).scanning-electron-photograph of subgroup (B2a) showing good
adaptation of gutta-percha to dentin87
Fig.(30). Scanning-electron-photograph of subgroup (B1a) showing gap
between the dentin and the EndoRez sealer87

1-Introduction:

The long lasting seal of a root canal filling, which is of clinical importance, depend largely on the sealing and adhesive ability of the sealer used^(1,2,3). A wide variety of root canal sealers are available commercially, and they are divided into groups according to their chemical composition. There is no consensus on which materials seal most effectively⁽⁴⁾

Studies have shown that current methods of cleaning and shaping root canals produce a smear layer containing inorganic and organic substances, which include fragments of odontoblastic processes, microorganisms, and necrotic materials ^(5, 6). The removal of smear layer and the presence of patent dentinal tubules has importance in decreasing the time necessary to achieve the disinfecting effect of intracanal medications ⁽⁷⁾. The presence of a smear layer can inhibit or significantly delay penetration of antimicrobial agents, such as intracanal irrigants and medications into the dentinal tubules ^(8,9).

Various acids, ultrasonic instruments and lasers have been tried to remove the smear layer. Recently a product called MTAD has been suggested as a final rinse to remove the smear layer from the surface of instrumented root canals.

The possible use of laser in root canal therapy relies on the physical properties of laser radiation that enables the removal of tissue debris, microorganisms, and smear layer of the root canal. Laser characteristics include wavelength, dissipated energy, and the use of a fiberoptic technology that gives access to the root canal system⁽¹⁰⁾.

1

Researchers seem to suggest that laser radiation may have the potential to improve endodontic treatment. Different types of lasers have been used in root canals for example, carbon dioxid laser ⁽¹¹⁾, argon laser ⁽¹²⁾, Xecl excimer laser ⁽¹³⁾, Er:YAG laser ⁽¹⁰⁾, Diode and Nd:YAG laser ⁽¹⁴⁾.

Excellent apical sealing has been found with epoxy resin-based sealers, even when used as a sole filling in a root canal ⁽¹⁵⁾. The long lasting setting time and material fluidity ⁽¹⁶⁾, it also has the ability to solidify in a wet medium ⁽¹⁷⁾ showing higher bond strength than calcium hydroxide, zinc-oxide and glass ionomer based sealers ^(18, 19).

AH plus root canal sealer (based on epoxy amine resin) is described as having a faster setting time, excellent sealing properties, and no longer releases toxic substance like formaldehyde ^(3,20).

EndoRez, is a Urethane-dimethacrylate based resin sealer, which is a hydrophilic methacrylate resin monomers that may be incorporated into the root canal sealers to facilitate better resin penetration into dentinal tubules after removal of the smear layer (21).

Therfore we have to shed a light on the new materials with different preconditioning solutions as regard to their adaptability and sealing ability to root canal dentine wall.

2

2-Review of Literature

A complete sealing of root canal system after cleaning and shaping is critical to successful endodontic therapy (22, 23). The long lasting seal of a root canal filling, which is of clinical importance, depends largely on the sealing and adhesive ability of the sealer used (24, 25). A wide variety of root canal sealers are available commercially, and they are divided into groups according to their chemical composition. There is no consensus on which materials seal most effectively (4).

The review is divided into three components:

- 2.1-Different Methods for Dentin preconditioning.
- 2.2-Adaptability and Sealability of resin based root canal sealers.
- 2.3-Methods of evaluation of microleakage.

2.1- Different methods for dentin preconditioning.

One of the fundamental aims of root canal treatment is to clean the root canals as thoroughly as possible to eliminate tissue debris and microorganism, removing the smear layer, opening the dentinal tubules and allowing the antibacterial agents to penetrate the entire root canal system in order that obturation succeeds successfully (26)

2.1a- Smear layer removal:

The advantages and disadvantages of the presence of the smear layer, and if it should be removed from instrumented root canals are still controversial issues. The smear layer acts as a physical barrier interfering with adhesion and penetration of sealer into dentinal tubules ⁽²⁷⁾. Previous studies ^(28, 29) reported that the presence or absence of smear layer had no significant effect on apical seal, whereas other investigations indicated that removal of the smear layer might improve the obturation seal ^(30, 31).