



EFFECT OF DIFFERENT DESENSITIZING AGENTS ON LEAKAGE OF CROWNS LUTED WITH TWO ADHESIVE CEMENTS

Thesis Submitted to
The Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University
In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of the
Master Degree in
Fixed Prosthodontic

By

Dina El Sayed El Sayed

Abd Al Kareem

Cairo University

B.D.S

2002

Cairo University

2009

SUPERVISORS

Prof. Dr. Jylan F. El Gindy

Ass. Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

Dr. Rana Mahmoud Sherif

Ass. Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine

Cairo University

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

*First and foremost, I fell indebted to **Allah**, the most kind and merciful who allowed me to accomplish this work.*

*My greatest sincere gratitude and deep appreciation to **Prof. Dr. Jylan F. El Gindy**, professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University, for giving me the honor of working under her supervision, great help, valuable advices and kind encouragement during this study. Her intellectual and constructive opinions were essential to dress this work in its final form.*

*I cannot find sufficient words to express my gratitude to **Dr. Rana Mahmoud Sherif**, Ass. Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for her valuable and faithful guidance and encouragement and for her kind help and useful remarks; she willingly gave me during my work.*

*I am greatly in debt to **Prof. Dr. Nadia Fahmy**, Professor and chairman of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, October 6 University for her generous support and guidance.*

Last but not least, I would like to thank the head of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine and all the staff members of the department for their support throughout the stages of my master degree.

Dedication

To my great Father, who was the reason for where I am today, and without his support and encouragement, this work would have not been possible.

To my dear Mother, who was always supporting me since first year of school.

To my lovely brother & sisters, Tamer, Dalia ,Maha and all my friends for their love and support.

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	(I)
List of Figures	(II)
Introduction	(1)
Review of Literature	(3)
Aim of the Study	(54)
Materials and Methods	(55)
Results	(97)
Discussion	(110)
Summary	(130)
Conclusions.....	(132)
Recommendations.....	(133)
References	(134)
Arabic Summary	(١)

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page No.
Table 1	Different types of desensitizing agents used in this study	55
Table 2	Different types of adhesive luting cements used in this study	56
Table 3	Groups and subgroups of the tested samples	61
Table 4	The means, standard deviation (SD) values and results of Student's t-test for the comparison between leakage scores of the two cements	97
Table 5	The means, standard deviation (SD) values, results of ANOVA and Tukey's test for comparison between leakage scores of different Desensitizing agents.	99
Table 6	The means, standard deviation (SD) values, results of ANOVA and Tukey's test for the comparison between the different cement/ Desensitizing agent interactions	101
Table 7	Mean surface roughness (Ra) values in (μm) of Vita VMK 95 samples as affected by different polishing techniques	51
Table 8	Multiple comparisons between surface roughness (Ra) values of Vita VMK 95 samples as affected by different polishing techniques	51
Table 9	Mean surface roughness (Ra) values in (μm) of Ceramco III samples as affected by different polishing techniques	53
Table 10	Multiple comparisons between surface roughness (Ra) values of Ceramco III samples as affected by different polishing techniques	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page No.
Figure 1	Potassium Oxalate Desensitizer "BisBlock"	57
Figure 2	Gluma Comfort Bond +Desensitizer	57
Figure 3	Topical fluoride "Flor Opal Varnish"	58
Figure 4	Ketac-Cem Glassionomer cement	58
Figure 5	Duo-Link Resin Cement	59
Figure 6	The materials used in the study	59
Figure 7	silicon Block former for tooth fixation	63
Figure 8	Acrylic Resin for construction of the root blocks	63
Figure 9	Tooth after standardized preparation(side view)	65
Figure 10	tooth after preparation (top view)	65
Figure 11	Impergum poly ether impression material	66
Figure 12	Perforated copper tray	66
Figure 13	Equal lengths of impression base and catalyst were dispensed onto the mixing pad	67
Figure 14	The tray was seated on the prepared tooth till complete polymerization of the impression material. Then the tray was removed and the impression was checked for any defect	68
Figure 15	Poly ether impression for the prepared tooth surface in perforated Copper tray	69

Figure 16	Split copper counter die ,with an outer teflon ring for metal copings standardization	71
Figure 17	Disassembled counter die	71
Figure 18	Counter die seated on the prepared sample.(side view).	72
Figure 19	Counter die seated on the prepared sample.(top view)	72
Figure 20	The counter die containing the wax pattern	73
Figure 21	Spruing of the wax pattern, (a) side view ; (b) top view , (c) inside view [fitting surface].	75
Figure 22	Side view for the sprued cast metal crowns,(to minimize possible casting variances, every ten wax patterns were invested and cast together	75
Figure 23	Top view for sprued cast metal copings	76
Figure 24	Each metal coping was trial fitted on its corresponding working die to detect any interfering irregularities after porcelain firing cycles	76
Figure 25	Divesting and finishing were performed for the metal copings	77
Figure 26	Cemented metal coping sample	80
Figure 27	White &clear syringes were interconnected together, to mix the contents of both syringes	83
Figure 28	The two syringes were grasped with both hands between index and middle finger, then pushing of the material was done from white to clear syringe, back & forth 5 times.	83
Figure 29	After that the varnish was pushed & collected into the white syringe for delivery	84
Figure 30	Fx Flex tip was twisted & bent (up to 90 ⁰ angle), for convenience during application	84
Figure 31	dual-syringe delivery system was used for dispension and delivery of equal amounts of base and catalyst of the resin cement	86

Figure 32	components parts of cementing device	89
Figure 33	Metal coping sample within the base portion of the cementing device	89
Figure 34	Cemented metal coping sample within the cementing device	90
Figure 35	Schematic diagram illustrating the dimensions ,and the components of the assembled cementing device	90
Figure 36	Thermal cycling device	91
Figure 37	Carborandum disk	93
Figure 38	Sectioning device	93
Figure 39	A diamond disk	94
Figure 40	Samples after sectioning	94
Figure 41	Stereomicroscope	96
Figure 42	Histogram showing the different grades of microleakage among the cements	98
Figure 43	Histogram showing the different grades of microleakage among desensitizing agents	100
Figure 44	Histogram showing the different grades of microleakage for the different interactions between cements and desensitizing agents	102
Figure 45	Diagram showing <i>grade 1</i> microleakage of subgroup (C2D2), Resin cement with Gluma Comfort bond+Desensitizer. Magnification power (x15)	103
Figure 46	Diagram Showing <i>grade 1</i> microleakage of subgroup (C2D1), Resin cement with Potassium oxalate desensitizer `BisBlock`. Magnification power (x15).	104
Figure 47	Diagram Showing <i>grade 3</i> microleakage of subgroup (C2D3), Resin cement with Fluoride Varnish `Flor Opal Varnish`. Magnification power (x15).	105

Figure 48	Diagram Showing <i>grade 3</i> microleakage of subgroup (C1D0), <i>Glassionomer cement `control`</i> . Magnification power (x15).	106
Figure 49	Diagram Showing <i>grade 2</i> microleakage of subgroup (C1D1), <i>Glassionomer cement with Potassium oxalate desensitizer `BisBlock`</i> . Magnification power (x15).	107
Figure 50	Diagram Showing <i>grade 3</i> microleakage of subgroup (C1D2), <i>Glassionomer cement with Gluma Comfort bond+Desensitizer</i> . Magnification power (x15).	108
Figure 51	Diagram Showing <i>grade 4</i> microleakage of subgroup (C1D3), <i>Glassionomer cement with Fluoride Varnish `Flor Opal Varnish`</i> . Magnification power (x15).	109

Introduction

The dentin sensitivity is a well known clinical problem (*Shillingburg et al., 1981; Richardson et al., 1991*). Epidemiological researches suggested that 8%-35% of our patients will experience dentin sensitivity (*Brannstrom et al., 1963*) after tooth preparation.

It was reported that sensitivity is higher among people in their 30's & 40's, ranging from 45% to 52%. Researches has reported that after tooth preparation bacteria & it's toxins can penetrate into the dentinal tubules leading to clinically detectable symptoms (*Bergenholtz et al., 1982; Weber 1974; Addy, 2005*). Also, provisional restorations usually demonstrate microleakage (*Pashley, 1989*), thus allow bacterial ingress & fluid movement which will elicit pain(*Bergenholtz et al.,1982; Addy,2005*).

Dentin sensitivity can be defined as ;short , sharp pain arising from exposed dentin surfaces ,due to external chemical ,thermal or osmotic stimuli (*Shillingburg et al.,1981*)dentin sensitivity may be caused by enamel loss [from attrition ,abrasion , erosion ,or tooth preparation]. The therapeutic goals of dentin sensitivity treatment are either to interrupt pulpal neural response or to block the sensitive mechanism via occlusion of dentinal tubules, using resins, varnishes & dentin bonding agent (*Pashley, 1984; Langland, 1965*).

The principal mechanism of dentine hypersensitivity is explained by the hydrodynamic theory of Brännström., which states that a stimulus on

the dentine surface creates a current in the dentinal tubule. A cold stimulus and airflow creates a current directed to the surface of the dentinal tubule, whereas a warm stimulus creates the opposite effect (*Brännström, 1986*).

He also postulated that this occurred as a result of fluid movement in the dentinal tubules which stimulates odontoblasts & in turn elicited a response by nerve fibers and resulted in pain (*Weber, 1974; Addy, 2005*). Usually, exposed dentinal tubules will be closed as a result of reactive sclerosis and deposition of secondary and tertiary dentine (*Weber, 1974*).

Reactive sclerosis occurs through hypermineralisation of peritubular dentine and/or the precipitation of minerals from the saliva or fluid within the tubules (*Addy, 2005*) Unfortunately, This form of blockage can be removed through tooth brushing, eating and drinking acidic nutrients (*Pashley, 1989*). It has been also shown that the dentinal tubules in hypersensitive teeth are eight times more open and two times wider than dentine tubules in control teeth (*Oyama & Matsumoto, 1991; Absi et al., 1987; Absi et al., 1989*) .

Some luting cements like G.I. may be accompanied by post cementation hypersensitivity, their acidic nature (*Oyama & Matsumoto, 1991*) can remove part of the smear layer (. *Pashley, 1984*). Due to prolonged low pH of cement (*Smith & Ruse, 1986*) during setting and/or hydrostatic pressure that enabled cement to enter dentinal tubules (*Pashley, 1984; Oyama & Matsumoto, 1991*). Therefore, desensitizing agents could be used after tooth preparation & before final cementation to reduce sensitivity.

Application of desensitizing agents is gaining popularity, but unfortunately debates about their effect on leakage of crown are prevailing. And so, further studies are needed to clarify their actual effects plus offering the optimum performance

Review of Literature

➤ **Dentin Hypersensitivity:**

Dentinal hypersensitivity is defined as a sharp, sudden, painful reaction of short duration arising from exposed dentin in response to various stimuli (*Duran & Sengun, 2004*). Various theories have been cited to explain the mechanism involved in dentinal hypersensitivity, but the hydrodynamic theory is widely accepted. This theory is based on that when the tooth is subjected to temperature changes or physical osmotic changes, displacement of dentinal fluid occurs resulting in deformation of the nerve fibers in the dentinal tubules (*Patricia, 2005*). Some luting agents are also accompanied by Post-operative hypersensitivity, to overcome this problem desensitizing agents could be used after tooth preparation & before final cementation to reduce sensitivity. However bonding to desensitized dentin surfaces and its effect on leakage is still a matter of controversy between authors.

Dowell & Addy, in 1983, studied the dentine hypersensitivity its etiology, symptoms and theories of pain production. Management of the condition tends to be empirical due to the lack of knowledge concerning the mechanism of pain transmission through dentine. The literature reviews indicated that, at most, nerve fibers only penetrate a limited distance along some dentinal tubules. The theories that either the odontoblasts and their processes act as dentinal receptors or the nerves in the pulp are the pain receptors were discussed. Evidence for the stimulation of pulp nerve fibers by a hydrodynamic mechanism was the

most likely mechanism. Nevertheless, whichever theory proves to be correct, occlusion of dentinal tubules would appear an essential request for an effective desensitising agent.

Absi et al., in 1987, discussed the Dentine hypersensitivity they studied the patency of dentinal tubules in sensitive and non-sensitive dentine (*based* on the hydrodynamic theory for stimulus transmission across dentine). Hypersensitive teeth group showed highly significantly increased numbers of tubules per unit area (approximately 8 X) compared with non-sensitive teeth group. Tubule diameters were significantly wider (approximately 2 X) in hypersensitive compared to non-sensitive ones. The number of teeth showing the penetration of methylene blue through the zone of exposed cervical dentine was larger and the depth of penetration was greater in hypersensitive teeth compared to non-sensitive teeth. These results provide further evidence that stimulus transmission across dentine in hypersensitive teeth is mediated by a hydrodynamic mechanism.

Nqassapa DN, in 1996, performed a comparison of functional characteristics of intradental A- and C-nerve fibers in dental pain .The sensory nerve fibres in the pulp consist of myelinated A- and unmyelinated C-fibres which conduct nerve impulses. The A-fibres are larger in diameter and fast conducting, Most of them are in the A-delta group, but also the very fast A-beta fibres has been demonstrated. C-fibres are small and slow conducting. When natural stimuli such as heat, cold, drilling or drying of dentine with air blasts are applied on the tooth, the only sensation perceived is pain. On the other hand perception of pain symptoms in clinical situations varies from sharp and piercing to dull and poorly