

تقييم وتطوير نماذج التدريب فى جراحة التجميل

رسالة

مقدمة للحصول على درجة الدكتوراه فى جراحة التجميل

مقدمة من

الطبيب/ أحمد صبحى هويدى
ماجستير الجراحة العامة

تحت إشراف

الأستاذ الدكتور/ إكرام إبراهيم سيف

أستاذ جراحة التجميل

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الأستاذ الدكتور/ بول مال آرثر

أستشارى ومدير مركز مرساى لجراحة التجميل

وأستاذ جراحة التجميل

جامعة ليفر بول - المملكة المتحدة

الدكتور/ أمير سمير البربرى

أستاذ مساعد جراحة التجميل

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

الدكتور/ ياسر عبد الله عبد العزيز

أستاذ مساعد جراحة التجميل

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

كلية الطب - جامعة عين شمس

٢٠١٢

ASSESSMENT AND DEVELOPMENT OF TRAINING MODELS IN PLASTIC SURGERY

Thesis

Submitted for Partial Fulfilment of MD Degree in
Plastic Surgery

Presented By

Ahmed Sobhi Hweidi

M.B. B.Ch., MSc. in General Surgery

Under the Supervision of

Prof. Ikram Ibrahim Safe MD.

Professor of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Prof. Paul McArthur FRCS(Plast), PH.D

Consultant and Clinical Director of
Mersey Regional Plastic & Reconstructive
Surgery Center, Whiston Hospital.
Professor in Plastic Surgery, Liverpool John Moores University, UK

Dr. Amir Samir Elbarbary MD.

Assistant Professor of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Dr. Yasser Abdalla Abdalaziz MD.

Assistant Professor of Plastic & Reconstructive Surgery
Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine - Ain Shams University

2012

Acknowledgment

First of all, Thanks to God the most merciful for guiding me and giving me the strength to undertake and complete this work.

It is a pleasure to express my deepest thanks and appreciation to **Professor Ikram Safe MD**, Professor in Plastic Surgery Department, Ain Shams University Hospitals, for his continuous encouragement and valuable guidance. It has been a privilege to work under your generous supervision. Thank you for your generous support throughout the various stages of my training.

It is difficult to overstate my gratitude to my mentor **Professor Paul McArthur**, FRCS (Plast), Clinical director of Mersey Regional Plastic Surgery Centre, Liverpool, UK, the dedicated educator, the unrelenting effort and the meticulous supervision. You have given me a unique chance to learn, not only on the academic level, but on the clinical and operative levels as well. Thank you for your sense of commitment and for the perseverance in work that you generously shared with me in spite of your very busy schedule. Without your guidance and persistent help this thesis would not have been possible.

I'm indebted to Ms. **Jane McPhail**, consultant prosthetist at Whiston hospital in Liverpool, and to her marvellous team, who introduced me to the world of skills laboratories and simulators. Thank you for making my thesis possible by supporting my hypothesis by the wonderful models you made. Thank you for your precious time you spent in organising the experimental sessions in the laboratory, the courses and the dissection sessions in the mortuary. You have made my experience unforgettable by your cheerful and extremely supportive attitude.

I'm deeply grateful to **Dr.Amir Elbarbary MD**, Assistant professor in the Plastic Surgery Department, Ain Shams University Hospitals, for his kind support, help and careful supervision. Thank you for the constructive criticism and excellent advice during the preparation of this thesis. Thank you for being keen to visit me during my stay in the UK to closely supervise and support me.

I'm also grateful to **Dr.Yasser Abdalla MD**, Assistant professor in the Plastic Surgery Department, Ain Shams University Hospitals, for sharing in the supervision of this work.

I'm deeply grateful to Mr. **David Bell**, FRCS (Plast), Consultant hand surgeon, Mersey Regional Plastic Surgery centre, Liverpool, UK, for his great operative videos by which we created the flexor tendon surgery task analysis. I'm also grateful to **Gina Woolley** for being a wonderful cameraman.

I wish to express my warm thanks to **Helen Martin**, senior physiotherapist at Whiston hospital, for her meticulous proofreading of the manuscript. I'm very thankful as well to **Alun Owen**, mechanical engineer and a 5th year medical student at the University of Liverpool, for his valuable help during the tensile strength part of the study.

To **Jenny, Joannis, Anuj, Zahid, Fateh, Partha** and **Jason** my colleagues in Liverpool and Manchester units; My work would have been unfeasible without your valuable help. Thank you all.

I expand my thanks to all the staff members in the Mersey regional plastic surgery centre at St Helens and Knowsley NHS trust, for making my experience beneficial, enjoyable and unforgettable.

To the tremendous, vibrant **City of Liverpool**, An inspiring place that I fell in love with and with its people.

Last but not least, I owe my loving thanks to my family members who have lost a lot due to my stay abroad. Without their encouragement and understanding it would have been impossible for me to finish this work.

Contents

<i>Title</i>	<i>Page</i>
Introduction	1
Aim of the Work	5
Review of Literature	6
▪ The hand craft in surgery.....	6
▪ Theories of technical skills acquisition	7
▪ Assessment of operative skills	9
▪ Basic concepts and definitions	17
▪ Types of models and simulators.....	18
▪ Fidelity of a training model or simulator	21
▪ Validation and implementation of a training model or simulator.....	22
▪ Simulators for basic plastic surgery skills.....	27
▪ Cleft, carnio-maxillofacial and head and neck simulators	46
▪ Hand and microsurgery simulators	68
Materials and Methods	
▪ Opinion Gathering.....	101
▪ Training Need Analysis (TNA)	119
▪ The Design of Liverpool Stepwise Flexor Tendon Repair Module	127
Validation of the Module and Results	140
Discussion	170
Summary and Conclusion	179
Future Prospect	182
References	183
Arabic Summary	

List of Tables

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (1):	The Fitts-Posner Three stage theory of motor skill acquisition.....	8
Table (2):	Global rating scale.....	12
Table (3):	Different types of simulators, their advantages and disadvantages.	20
Table (4):	Trainees responses about their level of agreement whether simulators can prepare them for live surgery.....	104
Table (5):	Consultants responses about their level of agreement whether simulators can prepare trainees at different level of training for live surgery.	105
Table (6):	Trainees and consultants responses about whether trainees should be required to demonstrate mastery on a model (simulator) before allowed to operate on patients.	105
Table (7):	Responses about the preference of the skills lab facilities.....	107
Table (8):	Trainees and consultants responses regarding welcoming a nationally available skills lab curriculum.	108
Table (9):	Trainees responses when asked to tick the most important training models (top 5)	110
Table (10):	Consultants responses when asked to tick the top five training models	111
Table (11):	Proposed skills lab curriculum in plastic surgery according to level of training.	116
Table (12):	Flexor tendin surgery task analysis.....	121
Table (13):	Complications detected and their distribution.....	123

List of Tables (cont...)

<i>Table No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Table (14):	Common pitfalls, possible technical causes and methods of avoidance.....	125
Table (15):	Applying the 3 models in a comprehensive training module.....	139
Table (16):	Shows values of different elements of objective scoring for each candidate pre-training.	157
Table (17):	Shows values of different elements of objective scoring for each candidate post-training.	158
Table (18):	Comparison between checklist scores before and after training	159
Table (19):	Comparison between GRS score before and after training	160
Table (20):	Comparison between overall performance before and after training.....	161
Table (21):	Comparison between time taken to accomplish the procedure on cadavers before and after training.	162
Table (22):	Comparison between gliding resistance before and after training.....	163
Table (23):	Comparison between ultimate forces required to fail the repair before and after training.	164
Table (24):	Comparison between mode of failure before and after training	165
Table (25):	Mean scores for the trainees and trainers responses.	167

List of Figures

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (1):	Imperial College surgical assessment device.....	13
Fig. (2):	Virtual reality simulator	16
Fig. (3):	Adapted from B.Schout et al, 2010.....	25
Fig. (4):	Schout et al. approach for training model validation.	25
Fig. (5):	Using porcine skin as a skin substitute to simulate graft harvesting.....	29
Fig. (6):	The Z-plasty simulator.....	33
Fig. (7):	A rotational flap simulating using Coban	34
Fig. (8):	Simulated lesions and flaps design on a face with expression lines printed on Allevyn.....	37
Fig. (9):	Lesions excised, flaps raised and closure.....	37
Fig. (10):	Local flap training model using carbon mask and chicken skin.....	43
Fig. (11):	NAC reconstruction on foam rubber.....	45
Fig. (12):	Matthews Furlow palatoplasty simulator	48
Fig. (13):	Different steps of cleft palate repair as simulated in Vadodaria model.	49
Fig. (14):	Cleft alveolus simulator	52
Fig. (15):	Biodigital Cleft Lip and Palate Simulator showing surgical views of anatomy with skin and underlying bone structure.....	55
Fig. (16):	Craniosynostosis simulator	56
Fig. (17):	Different types of plates for various simulated fractures.....	59
Fig. (18):	Artificial skull include a layer of artificial skin cover over the bone, adapted from Sawbones website ¹¹⁸	59
Fig. (19):	Exposing the tip through an alar rim and open-tip approach.....	61

List of Figures (Cont...)

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (20):	Elevation of the skin of the nasal tip and exposing the lower lateral cartilages.....	62
Fig. (21):	Rabbit nose: A) osseocartilaginous junction, B&C) upper and lower lateral cartilage.....	65
Fig. (22):	Resection of nasal dorsum.....	66
Fig. (23):	Lateral osteotomy being performed on rabbit nose	67
Fig. (24):	Using dental rolls to simulate tendon repair.....	69
Fig. (25):	Bungee cord cut section with a core suture inserted.....	71
Fig. (26):	Simulated tendon repair setup with transected bait worm.....	72
Fig. (27):	See-through phenomenon during core suture placement.....	74
Fig. (28):	The tendon with a slit made in each end, allowing lengths of elastic band to be threaded through.....	75
Fig. (29):	Repair of the tendon under elastic tension.....	75
Fig. (30):	The flexor tendon simulator by Rhodes and colleagues.	77
Fig. (31):	Porcine tendon.	79
Fig. (32):	Magnified view of the digital flexor apparatus in sheep.....	81
Fig. (33):	Artificial bone hand models with different types of internal and external fixation.....	85
Fig. (34):	Cross sections of (A) a chicken femur, (B) a human metacarpal and (C) a synthetic metacarpal bone for comparison.....	86

List of Figures (Cont...)

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (35):	(A) A controlled fracture of a chicken femur, (B) fixation with a plate and screws without surrounding soft tissues and (C) the same with surrounding soft tissues.....	87
Fig. (36):	Round the clock model	91
Fig. (37):	Nerve repair model	94
Fig. (38):	Practice rat	95
Fig. (39):	PVC rat	96
Fig. (40):	Steps of the TNA.	120
Fig. (41):	Practise tendon repair on the large scale clear silicon rods.	129
Fig. (42):	Right: The dental putty rods with desired size on the vacuum machine, Left: different sizes of thermoplastic moulds ready to be filled with silicon.	130
Fig. (43):	Practise repair on the actual tendon size clear silicon rods.	131
Fig. (44):	Appreciation of the core suture and the circumferential sutures configuration after performing the repair on the clear silicon rods.	132
Fig. (45):	Porcine tendon outside the body.....	133
Fig. (46):	Porcine trotter with 2 central and 2 subsidiary rays.....	135
Fig. (47):	Marking with Brunner incision	136
Fig. (48):	Tendon sheath exposure	136
Fig. (49):	Retrieving the tendon from a proximal incision.....	136
Fig. (50):	Passing N-G tube under the sheath in a retrograde fashion.....	137

List of Figures (Cont...)

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (51):	a) Securing the tendon with a needle, b) 2 ends ready for repair	137
Fig. (52):	Tendon repair insitu	138
Fig. (53):	Trainees practising on fresh frozen cadaveric hands.	143
Fig. (54):	Trainees being assessed by a faculty members	144
Fig. (55):	Candidates practising on the module and receiving feedback from faculty (assessors).	145
Fig. (56):	The comprehensive checklist used for flexor tendon repair in zone 2	147
Fig. (57):	Global rating scale (GRS) used to assess generic skills.....	148
Fig. (58):	Tendons dissection and harvesting.....	150
Fig. (59):	Packing and coding of harvested tendons.....	150
Fig. (60):	The computerized tensile testing machine (Instron 3345, USA).....	151
Fig. (61):	Graph shows traces of force required to fail tendon repair pre-training	152
Fig. (62):	Graph shows traces of force required to fail tendon repair post-training.....	153
Fig. (63):	Failure by core suture pull through.....	154
Fig. (64):	Failure by core suture snapping	154
Fig. (65):	Statistically significant improvement in the checklist score after training.	159
Fig. (66):	Statistically significant increase in the GRS scores after training.....	160
Fig. (67):	Significant increase in the overall performance after training.....	161

List of Figures (Cont...)

<i>Fig. No.</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Page No.</i>
Fig. (68):	Statistically significant reduction in time taken to accomplish the procedure after training.....	162
Fig. (69):	Statistically significant improvement in gliding resistance scores after training.....	163
Fig. (70):	Statistically significant increase in the ultimate forces required to fail the repair after training.....	164
Fig. (71):	Statistically significant decrease in pull-through failure and rise in breakage failure after training.....	165
Fig. (72):	The micro silicon tubes compared to the size of a needle	168
Fig. (73):	The delicate micro silicon tubes integrated into the pig trotter simulating the digital arteries.....	169
Fig. (74):	The walls of the tubes are very delicate and can be easily breached.....	169
Fig. (75):	Advised process for developing a simulator teaching model	178

List of Abbreviations

<i>Abb.</i>	<i>Full term</i>
BAPRAS	British Association of Plastic, Reconstructive and Aesthetic Surgeons
bf	Bifrontal
CAM	Controlled active movement
CMF	Craniomaxillofacial
FDP	Flexor digitorum profundus
GRS	Global rating scale
HS	Highly significant
NAC	Nipple areola complex
OSATS	Objective structured assessment of technical skills
PIP	Proximal interphalngeal joint
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
RCS	Royal College of Surgeons
S	Significant
SD	Standard deviation
sob	Supraorbital bar
ST	Specialty training
TMS	Training media specifications
TNA	Training need analysis
TPD	Training program design
TRAM	Transverse rectus abdominus muscle flap
VR	Virtual reality

INTRODUCTION

The apprentice model of medical training dates to the antiquity when Egyptians would apprentice young boys to become master mechanical healers ¹. In the modern times the apprenticeship system for surgical training was introduced by **William Halsted** (An American surgeon and one of the "Big Four" founding professors at Johns Hopkins Hospital) at the end of the 19th century ^{2 3}. This system was adopted widely at that time and remains the cornerstone of surgical training more than a century later ⁴.

The Halsted system provides skill acquisition by the surgical trainee through a one-on-one teaching situation on a real patient, with an emphasis on graded responsibility summarized by the adage ‘See One, Do One, Teach One’ ^{4 5}. Aspiring surgeons have traditionally been introduced to their craft in the operating theatre. Firstly, the trainee helps the trainer and observes the procedures. Then gradually the trainee assumes the role of operator under supervision of the senior surgeon until sufficient skill and confidence have been developed to operate independently. Eventually, the trainees teach the procedure to their junior colleagues in similar fashion⁶.

Although this system has been successful in transferring surgical skills and knowledge from one generation to the next,

several authors have suggested that this model is no longer acceptable to either the surgical profession or to the well-informed public ^{2 4 7}. Trainers are under increasing pressure to perform procedures in a more cost effective manner, including shortening operating times and improving outcomes ⁸. Medico-legal constraints and the introduction of reduced working hours, all diminish the time available for teaching and training in theatres ². Many of these rules were imposed because of serious medical errors that were significantly injurious to patients. The days of ‘See One, Do One, and Teach One’ have gone and we have entered the era of evidence and outcome based medicine, where a new concept in surgical training has been introduced, ‘see many, learn from the outcome, do many with supervision and learn from the outcome, and finally teach many with supervision and learn from the outcome’ ⁵.

Nowadays, in the global economy, final outcome is more important than how much input applied. Applying this concept on surgical education, it would seem reasonable to focus efforts on making surgical education more productive, rather than defining the training program by the number of hours worked. Educational programs should strive to allow trainees to engage in meaningful and beneficial activities. The random opportunities of the current apprenticeship system need to be replaced by a curriculum or learning system that meets the needs of the surgical trainees and their future. Therefore, most of the surgical training programmes are now adopting a

competency based rather than a time based system for training⁸⁻¹⁰.

To cope with the current changes in training programs, surgical educators have turned to other methods of teaching operative skills in surgical skills laboratories where the trainees can learn operative skills on training models. Such laboratories have been developed not to replace the operating room experience, but rather to supplement it¹¹. Surgical skills laboratories are being used now in many surgical specialities including laparoscopic surgery, urology, otolaryngology and vascular surgery as substantial part of the curriculum¹²⁻¹⁵. Skills laboratories are considered educational hubs where training models and simulators provide a unique opportunity for repetitive skills training with the exploration of possible outcomes in a risk-free environment that can maximize the educational experience and reduce the time of training for surgeons in both simple and complex surgical techniques¹⁰.

In an effort to establish surgical skills laboratory training on a firm educational foundation many training bodies have begun implementing a phased approach to introduce comprehensive surgical skill curriculum using training models and simulators in general surgery¹⁶. It is believed that a similar plan should be applied to plastic surgery, being currently one of the most technically demanding specialities. Although the surgical literature is abundant in editorials, concept, and feasibility articles describing the potential of training models