Solubility, Bond strength and Sealing ability of Biodentine as a retrograde filling

Thesis

Submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry,

Ain Shams University

In

Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for master degree

in

Endodontics

By

Dr. Ahmed Hussein AbuElEzz

B.D.S. Misr International University

بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم

"قالوا سبحانك لا علم لنا إلا ما علمتنا إنك أنت العليم الحكيم"

صدق الله العظيم

آيه 32 من سورة البقرة

Supervisors

Prof. Dr. Salma Hassan El Ashrey

Professor of Endodontics, Endodontic department, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Mostafa Ghobashy

Lecturer in Endodontics, Endodontic department, Faculty of Dentistry, Misr International University

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deep gratitude to *Professor Doctor Salma El Ashry*, Professor of Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for her kind guidance, sincerity, extraordinary supervision and unlimited support and help throughout my academic and clinical work.

I would like to thank *Dr. Ahmed Mostafa Ghobashy*, Lecturer in Endodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Misr International University for his excellent advice, invaluable stimulating guidance and help during this study.

I like. all Would to thank members of **Endodontic** Faculty Dentistry, department, of Misr International University for their support throught out this study.

I would like to thank *Professor Doctor Ihab Hassanein*, Chairman of endodontic department, and **all members of Endodontic department**, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain Shams University for their valuable help and cooperation.

Dedication

To my great Father

To my Dearest mother

To my lovely wife

List of contents

List of Contents

List of Tables	ii
List of Figures	iv
Introduction	1
Review of literature	3
I-Solubility II-Bond Strength III-Sealing Ability	3 9 30
Aim of the study	38
Materials and Methods	39
Results	58
Discussion	77
Summary and Conclusions	87
References	89

List of Tables

Table (1)	Classification of the samples for bond strength and	
	sealing ability.	43
Table (2)	Effect of different materials on mean solubility at	
	different time intervals.	59
Table (3)	The mean percentage(%) change values of solubility	
	samples of tested materials at different time	
	intervals	61
Table (4)	Mean Solubility at different time intervals in MTA	
	group	62
Table (5)	Mean Solubility at different time intervals in	
	Biodentine group	64
Table (6)	Mean push-out values and statistical significance of	
	MTA and Biodentine at different time intervals.	66
Table (7)	Mean differences of push-out values of MTA and	
	Biodentine at different time intervals.	67
Table (8)	Mean changes in push-out at different time intervals	
	for MTA	69
Table (9)	Mean changes in push-out at different time intervals	
	for Biodentine	70
Table (10)	The mean change in leakage of tested materials at	
	different time intervals	72

List of contents

Table (11)	Mean leakage at different time intervals in MTA	
	group	74
Table (12)	Mean leakage at different time intervals in	
	Biodentine group	75

List of Figures

Figure (1)	Teflon split molds	44
Figure (2)	Mixed Biodentine	44
Figure (3)	Four decimal balance	45
Figure (4)	Classification of the samples for bond strength and	
	sealing ability	45
Figure (5)	Decapitated teeth	53
Figure (6)	2mm slice sample for bond strength test	53
Figure (7)	Digital caliper	53
Figure (8)	Custom made fixture	54
Figure (9)	Sample loading for bond strength test	54
Figure (10)	Regulator attached to nitrogen gas tank	55
Figure (11)	Fluid filtration measurement device	55
Figure (12)	Micro syringe fixed in aluminum holder	56
Figure (13)	Restored roots mounted into a plastic syringe,	
	connected to the system	56
Figure (14)	Gauge to adjust pressure in the gas tank and reservoir	56
Figure (15)	Introduced air bubble in the micro pipette	57
Figure (16)	Mean solubility of tested materials at different time	
	intervals	59
Figure (17)	Mean solubility of different materials at different time	
	intervals.	60

List of contents

Figure (18)	Mean percentage (%) change values of solubility	
	samples of tested materials at different time intervals.	61
Figure (19)	Mean weight at different time intervals in MTA group	63
Figure (20)	Mean weight at different time intervals in Biodentine	
	group.	64
Figure (21)	Mean push out of tested materials.	66
Figure (22)	The mean differences of push-out values of MTA and	
	Biodentine at different time intervals	68
Figure (23)	Mean changes in push out at different time intervals	
	within each material.	70
Figure (24)	The mean leakage of different materials at different	
	time intervals	72
Figure (25)	Mean fluid filtration of different materials at different	
	time intervals.	73
Figure (26)	Mean leakage at different time intervals in MTA group	74
Figure (27)	Mean leakage at different time intervals in Biodentine	
	group	76

The success of any restorative procedure mainly depends on the sealing ability, biocompatibility and good mechanical properties of the filling material. In non-surgical approach leakage along the root canal system as a result of lack of hermetic seal leads to periapical lesions and failure of root canal therapy. Other possible causes of failure could be due to anatomical complexities or canal blockage. Therefore surgical intervention becomes mandatory. The most common surgical approach in endodontics is apicectomy with retrograde filling materials ⁽¹⁾.

Ideally, the material used in root-end filling should be biocompatible with the periapical tissues, stimulate regeneration of normal peridontium, dimensionally stable, and capable of adhering to root dentin to seal root-end cavities or improve that of existing filling material. It should also be non-resorbable, impervious to dissolution by tissue fluids and not affected by moisture either in set or unset state.

Many materials have been used as amalgam, cavit, resin and IRM for root-end fillings. Most of these materials exhibit significant shortcomings in one or more of the following areas: solubility, leakage, biocompatibility, and handling properties. Currently, Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA) is the main material used in repairing fulcural perforations and as a retrograde

filling, it has been favored due to its high biocompatibility and sealing ability (2).

Recently, Biodentine, with active biosilicate technology, has been introduced. It is a new class of dental materials which could conciliate high mechanical properties with excellent biocompatibility. This material can be used as dentin replacement. Biodentine is a calcium silicate material which can be used in root dentine repair, repair of perforations, apexification and root-end fillings. Therefore, it was thought that a study aiming to evaluate Biodentine as a retrograde filling material might be of value.

Solubility:

Water sorption and solubility assessment are important screening tests to insure the stability of any root-end filling material. Ideally, these materials should exhibit both lower water uptake and solubility. Mineral Trioxide Aggregate has been developed to seal off pathways of communication between the root canal system and the external tooth surface.

Torabineiad and Parirok (3) determined the solubility of MTA, and compared it with that of amalgam, Super EBA and IRM. After mixing, each substance was made into small discs (20mm x 1.5mm). Mixing and weighing the samples were performed at $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and relative humidity of $50 \pm 5\%$. Six discs of each material were fabricated and tested. They were then placed in 100% humidity for 21 hours and stored individually in glass bottles containing 50 ml of distilled water for one day. All discs were then desiccated for one hour at 37° C and weighed to the nearest microgram. Discs were replaced back in the bottles and the water inside was not changed nor added. This procedure was performed at 1, 7 and 21 days. Statistical analysis of the data regarding weight loss changes showed no significant changes for amalgam, Super-EBA, and MTA at different time intervals. However, when the mean weights of IRM specimens were compared between day 1 and those on days 7 and 21 days,

significant differences were noted. Similar differences were noted when the mean weight of the specimens were compared at day 7 versus day 21.

Fridland and Rosado ⁽⁴⁾ tested mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) solubility and porosity with different water to powder proportions. Four sets of specimens using the following water to powder proportions were prepared: 0.26, 0.28, 0.30, and 0.33 grams of water per gram of cement. It was determined that the degree of solubility and porosity increased as the water-to powder ratio increased. Significant differences were found among the sets of specimens. They stated that the calcium found in the solution should be in its hydroxide state at this high pH level. This ability to release calcium hydroxide could be of clinical significance because it could be related to the proven capacity of MTA to induce mineralization.

Chng et al (5) studied the physical properties of viscosity enhanced root repair material (VERRM); and compared it with Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA). VERRM has a composition similar to mineral trioxide aggregate, with handling characteristics and consistency similar to commercially available materials such as IRM and Super EBA. The pH, setting times, solubility, radiopacity, dimensional change upon setting, and apical sealing ability of VERRM were evaluated and compared to that of ProRoot MTA (GMTA) and ProRoot MTA (Tooth

Colored Formula) (WMTA). The results showed that VERRM had physical properties similar to WMTA. Further development of VERRM is indicated to produce a biocompatible root-end filling material with superior handling characteristics

Poggio et al ⁽⁶⁾ tested solubility of 3 root-end filling materials (IRM, Pro Root, and Superseal) and an endodontic sealer (Argoseal) used as positive control. The test was performed according to the International Standards Organization 6876 standard and the American Dental Association specification #30. Six specimens of each material were prepared and immersed in water. Solubility was determined after 24 hours and 2 months and analyzed statistically with a one-way analysis of variance test. All retrograde filling materials were of low solubility. Under the conditions of their study, IRM, Superseal, and Pro Root are virtually insoluble; this is an adequate physical property for use as root end filling materials.

Bodanezi et al ⁽⁷⁾ investigated the solubility of mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) and Portland cement since its mixture until 672 hours, by means of two complimentary methods. Metal ring molds filled with the cements were covered with distilled water and, at each experimental time (3, 24, 72, 168, 336 and 672 hours), were weighed as soon as the plates in which the samples have been placed. Empty rings served as the control group (n=8). Mean weight gain and loss was determined and analyzed