



Faculty of Engineering
Structural Engineering Department

PERFORMANCE OF OFFSHORE PLATFORMS IN HURRICANES

By

Mohamed Abdel Aziz Mohamed El Gammal

B. Sc. Civil Engineering (2001)

Ain Shams University

A Thesis

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment for the Requirements of the Degree of
Master of Science in Civil Engineering

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Abdelrahim Khalil Dessouki

Professor of Steel Construction and Bridges

Structural Engineering Department

Ain Shams University

Dr. Said Youssif Aboul Haggag

Lecturer

Structural Engineering Department

Ain Shams University

Cairo 2011

EXAMINERS COMMITTEE

Signature

Prof. Dr. Nabil Sayed Mahmoud.....
Professor of Steel Construction and Bridges
Faculty of Engineering
Mansoura University

Prof. Dr. Ahmed Abdelsalam El-Serwi.....
Professor of Steel Construction and Bridges
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

Prof. Dr. Abdelrahim Khalil Dessouki.....
Professor of Steel Construction and Bridges
Faculty of Engineering
Ain Shams University

STATEMENT

This dissertation is submitted to Ain Shams University for the degree of Master of Science in Structural Engineering.

The work included in this thesis has been carried out by the author in the Department of Structural Engineering, Ain Shams University, from December 2005 to September 2011.

No part in this thesis has been submitted for a degree or a qualification at any other University or Institution.

Date:

Signature:

Name: Mohamed Abdel Aziz El Gammal

**Ain Shams University
Faculty of Engineering
Structural Engineering Department**

Abstract of M.Sc. thesis submitted by:

Mohamed Abdel Aziz Mohamed El Gammal

Title of the Thesis:

Performance of Offshore Platforms in Hurricanes

Supervisors: 1) Prof. Dr. Abdelrahim Khalil Dessouki
2) Dr. Said Youssif Aboul Haggag

Registration Date:

Examination Date:

ABSTRACT

Fixed offshore platforms are widely used structures in the field of oil and gas production. The high costs of fabricating, constructing , installing and maintaining of these structures and the high environmental and economic costs due to damage of these structures under extreme environmental conditions obligates us to enhance their performance under these conditions.

The main aim of this research is to study the performance of offshore platforms under hurricanes simulated in extreme wind and wave forces, trying to enhance their performance under these conditions by modifying the structural system of the platform and also providing a means or retrofitting existing structures to improve their response to hurricane induced loading.

For this purpose, the finite element computer package (SAP2000 V 14.1.0) is used to model the fixed offshore platform. A finite element model is presented and evaluated under the hurricane induced loads prior to modification. Other finite element models are proposed including different cable arrangements added to the datum structure. The results of these models have been compared with the results of the datum model to check the alteration in structural capacity over a wide parametric study.

Key words: Fixed Offshore Platforms, Hurricanes, Wind Load, Wave Load.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, praise and thanks to Almighty ALLAH, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful, and peace be upon His Prophet Mohamed.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Abdelrahim Khalil Dessouki for his invaluable guidance, support, and encouragement.

I also greatly appreciate the help, guidance, support and patience provided by Dr. Said Youssif Aboul Haggag throughout all the stages of the research.

Finally, I would like to express my heartfelt appreciation to my parents and the whole of my family for lots of support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

No.		Page
	Abstract.....	i
	Acknowledgements.....	ii
	Table of Contents.....	iii
	List of Tables.....	viii
	List of Figures.....	xiii
	Notations.....	xx
 CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION		
1.1	General.....	1
1.2	Problem Description.....	2
1.3	Aims of the Research.....	3
1.4	Outlines of the Thesis.....	3
 CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW		
2.1	General.....	5
2.2	Types of Offshore Structures.....	6
2.2.1	Template Piled or Jacket Platforms.....	7
2.2.2	Concrete Gravity Base Structures.....	8
2.2.3	Tension Leg Platform.....	13
2.2.4	Self Elevating Jack Ups.....	16
2.2.5	Guyed Tower	18
2.3	Hurricanes.....	19
2.3.1	General.....	19

No.		Page
2.3.2	Hurricane Structure.....	20
2.3.2.1	The Eye.....	21
2.3.2.2	The Eyewall	21
2.3.2.3	The Spiral Rainbands.....	21
2.3.3	Saffir-Simpson Hurricane Scale.....	21
2.4	Damages due to Hurricanes.....	22
2.4.1	Background.....	22
2.4.2	Major Damages to Platforms.....	22
2.4.3	Typical Fixed-Platform Damage.....	23
2.5	Platform Damage Observations in Katrina and Rita.....	27
2.5.1	General Types of Observed Damage.....	27
2.5.2	Pancake Leg Damage.....	28
2.5.3	Performance of Platform Foundations.....	31

CHAPTER 3: WAVE THEORIES

3.1	General.....	34
3.2	Linear (Uniform) Wave Theory.....	34
3.2.1	Basic Equations and Boundary conditions.....	34
3.2.2	Balance Equations.....	35
3.2.3	Boundary Conditions.....	35
3.2.3.1	Particle Velocities.....	37
3.2.3.2	Pressure.....	38
3.2.3.3	Energy.....	38
3.2.3.4	Group Velocities.....	38
3.2.3.5	Power.....	38

No.		Page
3.3	Non Linear Wave Theories.....	39
3.4	Random Waves.....	41
3.4.1	Introduction.....	41
3.4.2	Wind Generation of Waves and Wave Prediction.....	42
3.4.3	Probabilistic Properties of Random Waves.....	44
3.4.3.1	Wave Heights.....	46
3.4.3.2	Design Wave Prediction.....	48
3.4.3.3	The Gumbell Extreme Value Distribution, (Extreme Value Distribution Type 1).....	48
3.4.3.4	The Weibull Extreme Value Distribution, (Extreme Value Distribution Type 3).....	49
3.4.3.5	Time Series Analysis and Wave Spectra.....	50
3.5	The Morison Regime.....	54

CHAPTER 4: MODEL DESCRIPTION

4.1	General.....	58
4.2	The Proposed Numerical Analysis Technique.....	59
4.2.1	Finite Element Method.....	59
4.2.2	The Elements Used.....	60
4.2.3	Geometric Nonlinearities.....	60
4.2.4	Non Linear Static Analysis.....	61
4.2.5	Description of the Finite Element Model.....	62

CHAPTER 5: PARAMETRIC STUDY OF STEEL JACKET MODEL

5.1	General.....	83
5.2	Finite Element Analysis.....	83

No.		Page
5.3	Design Procedures According to API.....	87
5.3.1	Axial Compression.....	87
5.3.2	Bending.....	88
5.3.3	Axial Tension.....	89
5.3.4	Beam Shear.....	89
5.3.5	Torsional Shear.....	89
5.3.6	Axial Compression and Bending.....	89
5.3.7	Axial Tension and Bending.....	90
5.3.8	Model Analysis.....	90
CHAPTER 6: RESULTS		
6.1	General.....	93
6.2	Study Results for Model Subjected to Load at 290 Degrees and Enhanced with Single Cable Elements.....	94
6.3	Study Results for Model Subjected to Load at 290 Degrees and Enhanced with Double Cable Elements....	107
6.4	Study Results for Model Subjected to Load at 65 Degrees and Enhanced with Single Cable Elements....	116
6.5	Study Results for Model Subjected to Load at 200 Degrees and Enhanced with Single Cable Elements...	125
6.6	Study Results for Model Subjected to Load at 200 Degrees and Enhanced with Double Cable Elements...	134
6.7	Combined Results for 290, 65 and 200 Degrees with Single and Double Cables.....	143
6.8	Locations of Studied Members.....	151
6.9	Figures for Model Subjected to Load at 290 Degrees and Enhanced with Single Cable Elements.....	160
6.10	Figures for Model Subjected to Load at 290 Degrees and Enhanced with Double Cable Elements.....	172
6.11	Figures for Model Subjected to Load at 65 Degrees and Enhanced with Single Cable Elements.....	185
6.12	Figures for Model Subjected to Load at 200 Degrees and Enhanced with Single Cable Elements.....	198

No.		Page
6.13	Figures for Model Subjected to Load at 200 Degrees and Enhanced with Double Cable Elements.....	211
CHAPTER 7: SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS		
7.1	Summary.....	236
7.2	Conclusions.....	237
7.3	Recommendations for Future Studies.....	241
LIST OF REFERENCES		242

LIST OF TABLES

Table (2-1): Types of offshore structures.....	6
Table (4-1): Member sections.....	71
Table (4-2): Member sections.....	72
Table (4-3): Member sections.....	73
Table (5-1): API Guideline Extreme Wave, Current, and Storm Tide Values For Twenty Areas in United States Waters (Water depth > 300 ft. (91m) except as noted).....	85
Table (5-2): Guideline of extreme wind speeds in Twenty areas in United States waters.....	86
Table (6-1): Interaction Values for Main Leg L1.....	107
Table (6-2): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L1..	107
Table (6-3): Interaction Values for Main Leg L2.....	108
Table (6-4): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L2..	108
Table (6-5): Interaction Values for Main Leg L3.....	109
Table (6-6): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L3..	109
Table (6-7): Interaction Values for Main Leg L4.....	110
Table (6-8): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L4..	110
Table (6-9): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View A..	111
Table (6-10): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View A.....	111
Table (6-11): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View B..	112
Table (6-12): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View B.....	112
Table (6-13): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View C..	113
Table (6-14): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View C.....	113
Table (6-15): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View D.	114
Table (6-16): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View D.....	114

Table (6-17): Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 104...	115
Table (6-18): % Change in Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 104.....	115
Table (6-19): Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 30....	115
Table (6-20): % Change in Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 30.....	115
Table (6-21): Interaction Values for Main Leg L1.....	116
Table (6-22): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L1	116
Table (6-23): Interaction Values for Main Leg L2.....	117
Table (6-24): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L2	117
Table (6-25): Interaction Values for Main Leg L3.....	118
Table (6-26): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L3	118
Table (6-27): Interaction Values for Main Leg L4.....	119
Table (6-28): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L4	119
Table (6-29): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View A	120
Table (6-30): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View A.....	120
Table (6-31): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View B	121
Table (6-32): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View B.....	121
Table (6-33): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View C	122
Table (6-34): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View C.....	122
Table (6-35): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View D	123
Table (6-36): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View D.....	123
Table (6-37): Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 104	124
Table (6-38): % Change in Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 104.....	124
Table (6-39): Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 30...	124
Table (6-40): % Change in Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 30.....	124

Table (6-41): Interaction Values for Main Leg L1.....	125
Table (6-42): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L1	125
Table (6-43): Interaction Values for Main Leg L2.....	126
Table (6-44): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L2	126
Table (6-45): Interaction Values for Main Leg L3.....	127
Table (6-46): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L3	127
Table (6-47): Interaction Values for Main Leg L4.....	128
Table (6-48): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L4	128
Table (6-49): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View A	129
Table (6-50): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View A.....	129
Table (6-51): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View B	130
Table (6-52): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View B.....	130
Table (6-53): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View C	131
Table (6-54): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View C.....	131
Table (6-55): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View D	132
Table (6-56): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View D	132
Table (6-57): Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 104	133
Table (6-58): % Change in Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 104.....	133
Table (6-59): Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 30	133
Table (6-60): % Change in Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 30.....	133
Table (6-61): Interaction Values for Main Leg L1.....	134
Table (6-62): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L1	134
Table (6-63): Interaction Values for Main Leg L2.....	135
Table (6-64): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L2	135

Table (6-65): Interaction Values for Main Leg L3.....	136
Table (6-66): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L3	136
Table (6-67): Interaction Values for Main Leg L4.....	137
Table (6-68): % Change in Interaction Values for Main Leg L4	137
Table (6-69): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View A	138
Table (6-70): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View A.....	138
Table (6-71): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View B	139
Table (6-72): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View B.....	139
Table (6-73): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View C	140
Table (6-74): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View C.....	140
Table (6-75): Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View D	141
Table (6-76): % Change in Interaction Values for Vertical Brace of View D.....	141
Table (6-77): Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 104	142
Table (6-78): % Change in Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 104.....	142
Table (6-99): Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 30....	142
Table (6-80): % Change in Interaction Values for Plan Brace at Level 30.....	142
Table (6-81): Highest Percentages Change for Main Legs.....	143
Table (6-82): Lowest Percentages Change for Main Legs.....	143
Table (6-83): Highest Percentages Change for Face Brace.....	144
Table (6-84): Lowest Percentages Change for Face Brace.....	144
Table (6-85): Highest Percentages Change for Main Legs.....	145
Table (6-86): Lowest Percentages Change for Main Legs.....	145
Table (6-87): Highest Percentages Change for Face Brace.....	145
Table (6-88): Lowest Percentages Change for Face Brace.....	146
Table (6-89): Highest Percentages Change for Main Legs.....	146