

**Retention Of All Ceramic Crowns With Different
Preparation Height And Different Adhesive
Resin Cement Approaches**

THESIS

Submitted To the Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,

Cairo University

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements of
Master Degree in Fixed Prosthodontics

By

Ahmed Mohammed Sha'aban

B.D.S., October 6th University

2006

Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,

Cairo University

-2011-

رسالة

مقدمة الى كلية طب الفم و الاسنان ، جامعة القاهرة
تمهيداً للحصول على درجة الماجستير في الاستعاضات السنية
المثبتة

من

/

قسم الاستعاضات السنية المثبتة
كلية طب الفم و الاسنان
جامعة القاهرة
٢٠١١

()

سورة طه
الآية ١١٤

SUPERVISORS

DR. GIHAN ABD EL-HADY EL-NAGGAR

Assistant Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University

DR. RASHA NABIL MOHAMMED SAMI

Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics
Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine,
Cairo University

Abstract:

Aim:

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of two different abutments height (4mm preparation height and 5mm preparation height) and two adhesive cements (total etch adhesive resin cement and self-adhesive resin cement) on the retention of zirconia all ceramic crowns.

Materials and Methods: Twenty intact human lower molars were used; teeth were divided into two main groups according to the preparation height, each of (10) samples. Each group was further subdivided into two subgroups according to the type of resin cement, each of (5) samples. Standardized tooth preparation was carried out by engineering lathe to have: convergence angle of 10 degree, flat occlusal reduction and 1mm deep chamfer finish line. Two occluso-gingival heights were prepared, 4mm and 5mm. Copings were fabricated according to manufacturer's instructions using Ice zirconia blocks. Milling was carried out by copy milling Zircon-zhan machine. Milled copings were cemented to the prepared teeth using RelyX ARC total etch and Smart Cem2 adhesive resin cement. All samples were then tested for tensile bond strength.

Results: Independent t-test revealed that, statically insignificant difference between the (4mm preparation height and Rely X ARC total etch adhesive resin cement) group ($4.93 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.44$), and (5mm preparation height with Rely X ARC total etch adhesive resin cement) ($4.89 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.28$) group. While a statically significant difference was found between the (4mm preparation height and Rely X ARC total etch adhesive resin cement) group ($4.93 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.44$) and the (4mm preparation height and Smart Cem2 self-adhesive resin cement) group, that recorded a value of ($4.21 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.23$). On the other hand the use of (5mm preparation height

with Smart Cem2 self-adhesive resin cement) produced the lower significant tensile bond strength values ($3.68 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.15$) compared to the (4mm preparation height with Smart Cem2 self-adhesive resin cement) group ($4.21 \text{ MPa} \pm 0.23$).

Conclusion: The retentive strength of zirconia all ceramic copings is not influenced by preparation height when total etch adhesive resin cement is used, while when self-adhesive resin cement is used the 4.0 mm preparation height yielded higher retentive strength.

Acknowledgment

*First of all, I would like to thank **Allah** for instilling the curiosity in me to learn and know more diverse aspects of life. The more you know more humble you feel.*

*It is a great honor to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to **Dr.Gihan El-Nagar**, Assistant Professor of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for her valuable guidance, effort, and for all the time she gave me to make the thesis possible. She has been so kind and inspirational to me, always guided us into the right direction.*

*I take opportunity to send my honest thanks to **Dr. Rasha Nabil** , Lecturer of Fixed Prosthodontics, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for her kind suggestions, counseling, cooperation and scientific supervision during this study.*

*I am greatly thankful to **Dr.Ihab Elsayed Mosleh**, Professor and chairman of Fixed Prosthodontics Department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Cairo University for his encouragement and extending his valuable guidance and support during the post graduate course.*

*My great thanks are extended to **Dr. Mohamed Abaas** Lecturer of Dental Material department, Faculty of Oral and Dental Medicine, Azhar University for his encouragement and support during the work.*

Finally I would like to thank all staff members of Fixed Prosthodontics department and my colleagues who participated in making this work possible.

DEDICATION

❖ *To my dear Mother and dear Father*

For their prayer for me, encouragement and support.

❖ *To my Brothers and my Sisters*

For their support and wise advice during the years for work and personal life as well.

LIST OF CONTENTS

List of Figures.....	i
List of Tables.....	iv
Introduction.....	1
Review of Literature.....	3
Statement of Problem.....	17
Aim of the Study.....	18
Materials and Methods.....	19
Results.....	47
Discussion.....	55
Summary.....	64
Conclusion.....	66
Recommendations.....	67
References.....	68

Arabic summary

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NO.
1.	ICE Zirconia blocks.....	21
2.	Rely X ARC adhesive resin cement.....	21
3.	Smart Cem2 adhesive resin cement.....	21
4a.	A centralizing device for locating the tooth in the center of the special plastic ring.....	23
4b.	A tooth being centralized in the acrylic block.....	23
5.	Tooth embedded in acrylic base.....	24
6.	Centering of specimen using lathe machine.....	24
7.	Preparation of teeth using lathe machine.....	26
8a.	Prepared tooth with two preparation heights mounted in acrylic resin block.....	27
8b.	Diagram of the two preparation heights.....	27
9.	Impression with custom made tray	29
10.	Working die.....	29
11.	Assembled split copper counter dies.....	30

12.	Building up composite resin pattern into the assembled counter die.	30
13a.	Resin model in the counter die before trimming of the occlusal extension.....	32
13b.	Trimming of the flat occlusal extension.....	32
14a.	A central hole was made in the occlusal bar of each model.....	33
14b.	Resin model of coping on the prepared tooth.....	33
15.	Resin model of coping with the mark line.....	33
16.	Milling of the zirconia block.....	36
17.	Sinter furnace.....	36
18.	Zirconia copings.....	36
19a.	Custom made cementation device.....	39
19b.	A sample loaded in position in the cementing device.....	39
20a.	Coping attachment device.....	43
20b.	Specimen positioned in the coping attachment device.....	43
20c.	Schematic diagram of the specimen in the coping attachment device..	44
21.	Retention testing using coping attachment device.....	44
22a.	Diagrammatic representation of lathe prepared specimen.....	45

22b.	Geometric derivation of formula of "inverted cut cone".....	45
23.	Bar chart representing mean tensile bond strength with both preparation heights.....	48
24.	Bar charts representing mean tensile bond strength with both cement types.....	49
25.	Bar chart representing mean tensile bond strength with different variables combinations.....	51
26a.	Adhesive failure (cement remained on prepared tooth).....	53
26b.	Cohesive failure (fracture of tooth).....	53
26c.	Cohesive failure (fracture of coping).....	53
27.	Bar chart representing frequent distribution % of different failure modes with different variables interaction.....	54

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO,	TITLE	PAGE NO.
1.	Materials composition and manufactures.....	20
2.	Properties of the materials.....	20
3.	Samples grouping.....	25
4.	Categories for characterization of mode of failure after crown removal.....	46
5.	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of the tensile bond strength with both preparation heights.....	48
6.	Means and standard deviation (SD) values of the tensile bond strength with both cement types.....	49
7.	Mean and standard deviation (SD) values of tensile bond strength with different variables combination.....	51
8.	Frequent distribution (%) of failure modes for both heights as function of cement.....	52

INTRODUCTION

All-ceramic crowns are popular for the restoration of single teeth due to their esthetic appearance and metal-free structure. The interest in using high-strength zirconium oxide ceramics for oral rehabilitation has been rapidly growing in recent years. The attractive properties of zirconium oxide ceramics such as high strength, excellent mechanical properties, and biocompatibility allow several applications in restorative dentistry, one of which is a material for all ceramic crowns and fixed partial dentures.

Because of their high flexural strength, zirconia based ceramic restorations can be cemented with traditional cements or bonded with adhesive resin cements.⁽¹⁾ The retentive quality of conventional cement is primarily due to its physical strength and the geometric form of the prepared tooth. Geometric configuration of the prepared tooth namely taper, height and surface area is essential to promote retention between axial walls of preparation and the prosthetic restoration. In some all-ceramic restorative systems, an adhesive interface is a necessity for retention.

In general, dental luting cement has two main functions which are to establish or increase the retention of the fixed prostheses to abutments and to maintain its integrity. To succeed in both, an ideal material should fulfill specific biological, mechanical, and handling requirements.

Loss of crown retention was found to be one of the major causes of failure of traditional crowns and fixed partial dentures.⁽²⁾ Crown

displacement often occurs because the features of the tooth preparation do not counteract the forces directed against the restorations.

Therefore, the design of the tooth preparation is an important consideration in the retention of crowns and fixed partial dentures. However, the role of adhesive properties of cement on the retentive strength of zirconia all ceramic crowns with different degree of abutment taper and height is not clear.

Therefore, the present investigation was planned to study the effect of two different abutments height and two adhesive cements on the retentive strength of zirconia all ceramic crowns.