

Bearing Surfaces in Hip Arthroplasty

Essay

*Submitted for Partial Fulfillment of Master Degree
In Orthopedics*

By

Mahmoud Ibrahim Abd Elkader El-Zeir

M.B., B.Ch
Al Azhar University

Supervised by

Prof. Dr. Mohammed Abdel Salam Wafa


Professor of Orthopedics
Faculty of medicine – Ain Shams University

Dr. Ahmed Salem Eid

Lecturer of Orthopedics
Faculty of Medicine – Ain Shams University

Faculty of Medicine
Ain Shams University

2013



بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ

قَالُوا سُبْحَانَكَ لَا عِلْمَ لَنَا إِلَّا مَا
عَلَّمْتَنَا إِنَّكَ أَنْتَ الْعَلِيمُ الْحَكِيمُ
صَدَقَ اللَّهُ الْعَظِيمُ

سورة البقرة آية (32)

LIST OF CONTENTS

| <i>Title</i> | <i>Page</i> |
|---|-------------|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Aim of work..... | 2 |
| Anatomy of the Hip Joint | 3 |
| Bony Anatomy | 4 |
| Capsule and ligaments..... | 8 |
| Vascular anatomy..... | 11 |
| Nerve supply of the hip joint..... | 14 |
| Biomechanics of the Hip | 17 |
| Movements of the hip joint | 17 |
| Stress distribution in the acetabulum | 23 |
| Stress distribution in the femur | 24 |
| Lubricate and wear mechanisms of articular cartilage: | 24 |
| Pathomechanics of arthritic hip..... | 28 |
| Biomechanics of total hip arthroplasty | 29 |
| Old Bearing Surface of the Hip..... | 37 |
| Biomaterials of total hip arthroplasty..... | 37 |
| Biocompatibility of implant material and bone | 39 |
| Implant materials..... | 42 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| History of management of arthritic hip | 46 |
| Evolution of hip arthroplasty | 47 |
| Improvement in socket design | 52 |
| Designs and selection of total hip components | 54 |
| Fixation concepts: | 54 |
| Acetabular components: | 56 |
| Head and neck design: | 58 |
| Recent Bearing Surfaces of Hip | 61 |
| Why replace old bearing surfaces? | 61 |
| What are the approaches to reducing wear particles? | 67 |
| Hard-on-hard hip replacement bearings | 70 |
| What are the concerning regarding modern bearing surfaces? | 79 |
| Summary | 86 |
| References | 89 |
| Arabic summary | |

List of Tables

| <i>Table No</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page</i> |
|-----------------|--|-------------|
| Table (1): | Nerve root innervation and function muscle action: muscles of the hip joint | 16 |
| Table (2): | Reported Survivorship for Various Bearing Couples .. | 83 |

List of Figures

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page</i> |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| Fig. (1): | Bony anatomy of the femur (anterior and posterior views)..... | 6 |
| Fig. (2): | Orientation of the acetabulum..... | 7 |
| Fig. (3): | Anterior views of the hip joint..... | 9 |
| Fig. (4): | Anterior views of the hip joint..... | 10 |
| Fig. (5) : | changes abductor level arm with changes in neck shaft angle. | 21 |
| Fig. (6): | Fluid film lubrication models include Hydrodynamic, Squeeze-film, Weeping..... | 25 |
| Fig. (7): | Types of lubrication. From Simon SR..... | 26 |
| Fig. (8): | Vertical offset of neck length of the hip..... | 31 |
| Fig. (9): | A-polyethylene cup..... | 44 |
| Fig. (10): | A-ceramic on ceramic bearing..... | 45 |
| Fig. (11): | Moore and Thompson..... | 48 |
| Fig. (12): | Charnley femoral stem..... | 49 |
| Fig. (13): | Old design of resurfacing hip..... | 51 |

| <i>Figure No.</i> | <i>Title</i> | <i>Page</i> |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| Fig. (14): | At the top Smith – Peterson and Aufranc interposition cup, bottom Urist and McBride Cups | 53 |
| Fig. (15): | Metal-backed ceramic acetabular component and fractured ceramic..... | 63 |
| Fig. (16): | Side view of the Tribo Fit PCU liner | 65 |
| Fig. (17): | The three different areas of bearing contact that can be employed for hard-on-hard bearing couple..... | 74 |



Introduction



Introduction

The role of the bearing surface has become even more important as patients undergoing arthroplasty seek high-performance prostheses to meet their expectations.

Since joint arthroplasty was first introduced, surgeons and engineers have made adjustments to try to increase its longevity and improve outcomes. One extremely important development is the introduction of a new generation of bearing surfaces. Improvements in design, advancements in manufacturing, and introduction of alternative bearing surfaces have positively affected THA outcomes over recent decades. Introduction of bearing surfaces with better wear characteristics led to a decline in the release of biologically active wear debris and tremendously reduced wear-related failures. Furthermore, availability of better bearing surfaces with increased resistance to wear has allowed orthopedic surgeons to use larger femoral heads, which in turn has led to a substantial decline in the incidence of instability after hip arthroplasty.



Aim of the Work



Aim of work

The aim of this study is to review different bearing surfaces in hip arthroplasty, the recent bearing methods, advantages and disadvantages of these methods.



Chapter -1

Anatomy of the Hip Joint



Anatomy of the Hip Joint

An accurate knowledge of the anatomy of the hip joint is required for any surgeon performing hip arthroplasty.

Knowledge of the osteology of the femur and acetabulum is important for preoperative evaluation, surgical technique, and selection of the prostheses.

Hip joint is a synovial joint of the ball and socket variety, it connects the pelvic girdle with the lower limb. The stability of hip joint is largely the result of the adaptation of the articulating surfaces of the acetabulum and femoral head to each other in addition to very strong ligaments in and around the hip joint that maintain the coaptation of the articular surfaces and limit their range of motion ⁽¹⁾.

Bony Anatomy

Proximal femur:

The proximal femur includes the head, neck, lesser and greater trochanters, and proximal femoral diaphysis. The form of the femur is relatively complex, with bowing and twisting that distort its basically tubular structure. The diaphysis of the femur has anterior bowing while the distal portion of it is inclined posteriorly to the knee and the proximal portion inclined anteriorly to the acetabulum (Fig. 1) ⁽²⁾.

The head:

The head of the femur is slightly more than half of a sphere and it faces anterosuperomedially to articulate with the acetabulum. Its smooth surface is interrupted by a small rough area called (fovea).

The sheath is pierced by ligamentum teres which extends from the acetabular notch to the fovea, although this intra-articular ligament appears to be in an ideal position to reinforce the hip joint, it is not sufficiently taut during the normal range of motion to provide significant support. Its major function is to convey a small artery to the head of the femur from obturator artery ⁽³⁾.

Neck shaft angle:

Femoral neck is about 5cm long in adult; it connects the head to the shaft at an angle known as neck shaft angle. It is the inclination of the neck to the shaft in the frontal plane. It plays an important role in freedom of motion of the hip joint as it offsets the shaft from the pelvis

laterally in addition, it lateralizes the abductors, which attach to the greater trochanter, this increases the torque generated by the abductors and reduces the overall force necessary to balance the pelvis.

The adult neck-shaft angle averages 125 degrees (range 106-155). Variations in the neck-shaft angle correlate with the relative position of the trochanters and the femoral head center to the femoral shaft, as the neck-shaft angle increases, the head center lies higher than the greater trochanter and closer to the axis of the medullary canal and the greater trochanteric tip is positioned more lateral to the femoral canal. These relationships change conversely as the neck-shaft angle decreases into varus ⁽⁴⁾.

These have an important implication during hip arthroplasty, as in a varus hip, the tip of the greater trochanter lies medially and often in line with the center of the medullary canal. Lateralization to the trochanteric tip is required to establish the correct entry point for the rasps in order to obtain optimum positioning of the femoral prosthesis ⁽⁵⁾.

Femoral version:

It is the inclination of the neck in the transverse plane; it is formed as a projection of the femoral head and transverse plane of the femoral condyles. During childhood, this angle progressively decreases until reaches the average adult anteversion of 10-15 degrees, the increase in anteversion beyond this range causes a portion of the femoral head to be uncovered and creates tendency towards internal rotation of the leg during gait (in-toeing) to keep the femoral head in the acetabular