



**The effect of number of abutments on the  
stresses induced by bar supported and  
retained mandibular overdenture  
(Strain gauge analysis)**

*Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University, In Partial fulfillment  
For the Master's Degree in Oral and Maxillofacial Prosthodontics.*

**By**

***Rana Mohammad Abd Al Rahman***

BDS of Dentistry, 2004  
Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University

Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University  
2013

# ***Supervisors***

## **Prof. Dr. Ingy T. Lebshtien**

Prof. of Prosthodontics & Chairman of Prosthodontic Dept.

Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain-Shams University

## **Dr. Magdy E. Mohammad**

Associate Prof. of Prosthodontics Dept.

Faculty of Dentistry  
Ain Shams University



{رب اشرح لي صدري}

ويسر لي أمري واخلح عقدة من لساني

يفقهوا قولي}



سورة ٢٥  
آية (25-28)

إلى أبي وأمي...  
أوين بكل ما أنا فيه وكل ما أنا عليه  
بكل ما أحلم به وكل ما وصلت إليه...

إلى زوجي الحبيب...  
الذي طامأ تحملني وتحمل عني  
ساندني وشد من أزمي...

من يترقبُ الصباحَ

صابراً

يُلاقِي الصباحَ قوياً

جُبران خليل جُبران

# *Acknowledgement*

*First of all, I wish to thank Allah for all the uncountable blessings, for always answering my prayers and lightening up my way.*

*I consider myself very fortunate to work under the guidance of **Prof. Dr. Ingy Talaat Lebshtien**, Professor & Chairman of prosthodontic Department ,Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams University, and I'm greatly thankful for all the support , understanding and encouragement .*

*Words can't even describe how deeply grateful I am to **Dr. Magdy Eid Mohamed**, Associate Professor of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Ain shams University for the great help , patience and for always showing me the best way to follow.*

*I would like to thank **Dr. Mohammad Salah Nasef**, Associate Professor Of Dental materials Department, Ain Shams University, for his kind cooperation.*

*Last but definitely not least, my sincerest gratitude to **Dr. Abu Bakr Abd AlRahman Abd AlMotaal** Associate Professor of Statistics, Faculty of commerce , South Valley University, for giving me generously of his time and high experience and for allowing me to be one of his knowledge seekers.*

**Rana Mohammad Abd Al Rahman**

---

# LIST OF CONTENTS

	Page
INTRODUCTION.....	1
REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	4
Overdenture	4
Advantages of overdenture	4
Disadvantages of overdenture	8
Indications of overdenture	10
Containindications of overdenture	11
Classifications of overdenture	12
<i>I-Tooth-supported overdenture</i>	12
<i>II-Implant supported overdenture</i>	15
Overdenture abutment selection	15
Overdenture abutment preparation	19
Overdenture Attachments	24
Stress Analysis methods	30
AIM OF THE STUDY.....	40
MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	41
RESULTS.....	63
DISCUSSION .....	78
SUMMARY .....	85
CONCLUSIONS.....	87
REFERENCES.....	88
ARABIC SUMMARY .....	-

---

## LIST OF FIGURES

---

<b>Fig. No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>1</b>	Wheatstone bridge.	<b>37</b>
<b>2</b>	Modified simulated educational acrylic mandibular model with two canines.	<b>42</b>
<b>3</b>	The two canines positioned in the rubber base impression, with their roots wrapped by tin foil.	<b>42</b>
<b>4</b>	Cast in wax containing the 2 canines.	<b>43</b>
<b>5</b>	The acrylic cast.	<b>43</b>
<b>6</b>	Dome shaped preparation of the canines.	<b>44</b>
<b>7</b>	Prepared posts	<b>45</b>
<b>8</b>	The stone cast.	<b>45</b>
<b>9</b>	Wax pattern of the copings connected with the bar.	<b>46</b>
<b>10</b>	Cast copings with the interconnecting bar of model I.	<b>46</b>
<b>11</b>	The posts, copings and bar seated on the two canines of the acrylic model.	<b>46</b>
<b>12</b>	The finished overdenture.	<b>48</b>
<b>13</b>	Clips placed in their positions on the bar.	<b>48</b>
<b>14</b>	The clip attachment secured to the acrylic overdenture.	<b>49</b>
<b>15</b>	Modified simulated educational acrylic mandibular model with two canines and right central incisor	<b>50</b>
<b>16</b>	The two canines and the central incisor placed in their positions in the impression with their roots wrapped in tin foil.	<b>50</b>
<b>17</b>	Cast in wax containing the central incisor and the two canines.	<b>51</b>
<b>18</b>	The acrylic cast.	<b>51</b>
<b>19</b>	Dome shaped reduction of the abutment teeth.	<b>52</b>
<b>20</b>	Stone cast.	<b>53</b>
<b>21</b>	Wax pattern of the copings connected with the bars.	<b>53</b>

---



---

---

<b>Fig. No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>22</b>	The cast copings with interconnecting bar of model II.	<b>54</b>
<b>23</b>	The cast bar attachment on the model connecting the central incisor and the two canines.	<b>54</b>
<b>24</b>	Clips are placed in their positions on the bar.	<b>55</b>
<b>25</b>	Clip attachments are secured to the acrylic overdenture.	<b>55</b>
<b>26</b>	Stone index of model II.	<b>56</b>
<b>27</b>	Light body rubber base simulating the mucosa In model I and model II .	<b>57</b>
<b>28</b>	Strain gauges.	<b>57</b>
<b>29</b>	The second molar groove.	<b>58</b>
<b>30</b>	Strain gauges fixed in positions in model I , and model II .	<b>59</b>
<b>31</b>	Load application to the acrylic model.	<b>61</b>
<b>32</b>	Unilateral load application on the second molar.	<b>61</b>
<b>33</b>	Bilateral load application on the second premolar.	<b>62</b>
<b>34</b>	Mean values of uniateral loading on 5 and 7 in model I and II.	<b>64</b>
<b>35</b>	Mean values of bilateral loading on 5 and 7 in model I and II.	<b>68</b>
<b>36</b>	Mean values of model I and II in unilateral loading on 5 and 7.	<b>71</b>
<b>37</b>	Mean values of model I and II in bilateral loading on 5 and 7.	<b>74</b>

---

## LIST OF TABLES

---

<b>Table No.</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>1</b>	Mean standard deviation (SD) values, 95% CI, Alternative Hypothesis T-test and Mann-Whitney test of Unilateral loading in Model I and Model II on lower second premolar and second molar teeth.	<b>62</b>
<b>2</b>	Mean standard deviation (SD) values, 95% CI Alternative Hypothesis, T-test and Mann-Whitney test of Bilateral loading in Model I and II at lower second premolar and second molar teeth.	<b>65</b>
<b>3</b>	Mean, standard deviation (SD) values, 95% CI Alternative Hypothesis, T-test and Mann-Whitney test of unilateral loading at lower 2nd premolar and 2nd molar teeth, in Model I and II.	<b>68</b>
<b>4</b>	Mean, standard deviation (SD) values , 95% CI Alternative Hypothesis ,T-test and Mann-Whitney test of bilateral loading at lower second premolar and second molar, in Model I and Model II.	<b>72</b>

---

# INTRODUCTION

---

# INTRODUCTION

Tooth supported overdenture is one of the most effective preventive prosthetic measures for the treatment of the pre-edentulous patients with severely mutilated dentition. Numerous articles have addressed the functional benefits of complete dentures supported by few remaining natural roots <sup>(1-3)</sup>.

These natural physiologic abutments offer more support and stability for dentures, reducing stresses transmitted to the alveolar bone and thereby preserve the alveolar bone height. The role of proprioceptors present in their retained roots is not only feedback mechanism, but also direction, tactile force sensitivity, dimensional discrimination and motor responses. In addition, tactile sensitivity, vertical and horizontal stabilization contribute to better masticatory performance encountered in overlaid denture wearers. Moreover, overdenture enables the patient to adapt and with relatively few problems compared to a complete denture. Improving the crown root ratio has a favorable impact on the periodontium of a potential abutment due to reduced lateral forces. The improvement in function together with the preservation of the mandibular ridge points out the legitimacy of overdenture in this treatment modality <sup>(4)</sup>.

The use of a wide variety of attachment system, including stud, magnet and bar attachments have proven both clinically predictable and effective results <sup>(5)</sup>. An attachment is defined as “A mechanical device for retention and stabilization of a prosthesis made up of two parts. One part is connected to a root, a tooth or an implant and the other part to prosthesis <sup>(6)</sup>.

Bar attachments have been used, because they provide a splinting mechanism between the overdenture abutment teeth. A bar overdenture is

an excellent prosthetic option that many patients choose over less expensive alternatives for a number of reasons. Bar attachment retained prosthesis can provide more retention, better support, more stability and being more comfortable to the patient. Bar attachment consists of a sleeve, incorporated in the overdenture which clips over a bar attached to the abutment teeth <sup>(2, 7-9)</sup>.

An important principle in selecting abutment teeth is the achievement of stability of the overdenture. This is made possible by distributing the abutments over the arch <sup>(7)</sup>. Whenever possible bilateral abutments symmetrically located in the dental arch should be used, this arrangement will offer the most satisfactory support for the denture and will minimize the possibility of fatigue fracture of the base or excessive loading of the abutments<sup>(8)</sup>.

Four widely separated abutments provide ideal support and stability for an overdenture. The overdenture support is nearly a tooth borne. Two canines and two molars are the most common pattern for four abutments. Three abutments, two canines and a premolar or a molar can be used where a fourth abutment is unavailable. A use of three abutments, is two maxillary canines and one central incisor. This distribution provides a tripod of support for the overdenture and is effective particularly when opposed by natural dentition. Two canine abutments are the abutment pattern used most frequently <sup>(9)</sup>. Overdenture abutments are preferably located where the occlusal force on the residual ridges has the greatest destructive potential. In edentulous ridges, the anterior parts of both arches are very susceptible to resorption, so it is advantageous to retain canines or premolars in these regions <sup>(10)</sup>.

In-vitro stress analysis studies have been widely used to provide good understanding of the nature of stresses and strains acting on dental structures, even more than in-vivo studies. Many experimental stress

analysis methods have been employed to evaluate biomechanical loads. These techniques comprise photo elastic stress analysis, strain gauge analysis, holographic interferometry and finite element stress analysis. The theory of strain gauges is based upon the fact that elongating a metal element such as a wire changes its resistance. Accordingly, strain gauges are constructed so that the strains in the body which they are attached are accompanied by a proportional change in the ohm resistance of the gauge. These changes are registered as strain indices <sup>(11-19)</sup>. So strain gauge analysis technology was used in this study to evaluate the effect of abutments number on the stresses transmitted to the supporting structures of mandibular tooth tissue supported and retained overdenture.

---

---

**REVIEW  
OF  
LITERATURE**

---

---